



Your Preferred Environmental and Social Solutions Partner

Providing innovative and sustainable solutions throughout the resources sector

# Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application

# **Biodiversity Screening Assessment**

Prepared for: PalRho Exploration (Pty) Ltd Project Number: PAL6882

March 2021

Digby Wells and Associates (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd Company Registration: 2010/008577/07 Turnberry Office Park, Digby Wells House. 48 Grosvenor Road, Bryanston,2191 Phone: +27 (0) 11 789 9495 Fax: +27 (0) 11 789 9495 E-mail: info@digbywells.com Website: www.digbywells.com Directors: J Leaver (Chairman)\*, NA Mehlomakulu\*, A Mpelwane, DJ Otto, M Rafundisani \*Non-Executive



This document has been prepared by Digby Wells Environmental.

Report Type:	Biodiversity Screening Assessment
Project Name:	Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application
Project Code:	PAL6882

Name	Responsibility	Signature	Date
Aamirah Dramat	Report Writer	A. Domat	March 2021
Willnerie Janse van Rensburg Cand.Sci.Nat	Soil and Wetland Specialist	Mansworkersburg	March 2021
Nathan Cook Cand.Sci.Nat	Aquatic Specialist	All the second sec	March 2021
Lisa Hester	Fauna and Flora Specialist	LIKUMARA	March 2021
Byron Bester Pr.Sci.Nat	Technical Reviewer: Manager: Aquatic Ecology	Deerle	March 2021

This report is provided solely for the purposes set out in it and may not, in whole or in part, be used for any other purpose without Digby Wells Environmental prior written consent.

Digby Wells and Associates (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd Company Registration: 2010/008577/07 Turnberry Office Park, Digby Wells House. 48 Grosvenor Road, Bryanston,2191 Phone: +27 (0) 11 789 9495 Fax: +27 (0) 11 789 9495 E-mail: info@digbywells.com Website: www.digbywells.com Directors: J Leaver (Chairman)\*, NA Mehlomakulu\*, A Mpelwane, DJ Otto, M Rafundisani \*Non-Executive



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	I	ntro	oduc	ction	1
2.	F	Proj	ject l	Location	1
3.	[	Des	cript	otion of the Activities to be Undertaken	4
3	3.1.	F	Pros	specting Operations	4
3	3.2.	F	Pros	specting Infrastructure	4
3	3.3.	F	Pros	specting Process	4
	3.	3.1	•	Permission to Drill	5
	3.	3.2		Drilling Process	5
	3.	3.3		Rehabilitation Process	5
4.	A	Ass	ump	ptions and Limitations	7
5.	S	Sco	pe c	of Work	7
6.	[	Deta	ails d	of the Specialist	8
7.	ſ	Met	hode	lology	9
8.	[	Des	sktop	p Assessment	11
9.	E	Biod	diver	rsity Screening Assessment Findings	22
ç	9.1.	١	Netla	lands	
ç	9.2.			atic Ecology	
	9.	2.1		Associated Aquatic Ecosystems	
		9.2	2.1.1	1. Desktop Information	
	9.	2.2		Expected Aquatic Biodiversity	
ç	9.3.	٦	Ferre	estrial Biodiversity	
	9.	3.1		Flora	
		9.3	3.1.1	1. Regional Vegetation	
	9.	3.2		Fauna	
		9.3	3.2.1	1. Mammals	29
		9.3	3.2.2	2. Birds	29
		9.3	3.2.3	3. Reptiles	
		9.3	3.2.4	4. Amphibians	



	9.3.2.5.	Invertebrates	30
10.	Sensitivity A	Analysis	30
10.	1. Wetland	ls	30
10.	2. Aquatics	5	32
10.	3. Terrestri	ial Biodiversity	32
1	10.3.1. F	Flora Species of Conservation Concern	32
	10.3.1.1.	IUCN Red Data Species	32
	10.3.1.2.	Protected Flora	32
1	10.3.2. F	auna Species of Conservation Concern	33
	10.3.2.1.	Mammals	33
	10.3.2.2.	Birds	33
	10.3.2.3.	Reptiles	34
	10.3.2.4.	Amphibians	34
	10.3.2.5.	Invertebrates	34
	10.3.2.6.	Overall Sensitivity Assessment	35
11.	Potential Im	npacts	35
12.	Conclusion	and Recommendations	37
13.	References	5	

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Locality Map	2
Figure 2-2: Land Tenure Map	3
Figure 3-1: Location of Prospecting Boreholes	6
Figure 7-1: Biodiversity Screening Assessment Methodology	. 10
Figure 8-1: Regional Vegetation	. 13
Figure 8-2: Quaternary Catchment	. 14
Figure 8-3: Limpopo Conservation Plan	. 15
Figure 8-4: National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (NFEPA) Wetlands	. 16
Figure 8-5: River National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA)	. 17



Figure 8-6: Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines	. 18
Figure 8-7: Protected Areas and National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES)	. 19
Figure 8-8: Land Cover	. 20
Figure 8-9: National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) Terrestrial Threat Status and Protect	
Figure 9-1: Wetland Delineation of Blinkwater 244KR	. 24
Figure 10-1: Wetland Sensitivity of Blinkwater 244KR	. 31

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Blinkwater PRA Properties	1
Table 8-1: Desktop Assessment of the Project Area	. 11
Table 9-1: Wetland HGM Units of the Project Area	. 23
Table 9-2: PESEIS Information for the Considered Aquatic Ecosystems	. 25
Table 9-3: Expected Macroinvertebrate Taxa in the Mogalakwena River	. 26
Table 9-4: Expected Fish Species in the Mogalakwena River	. 26
Table 10-1: Potentially Occurring Floral SCC	. 33
Table 10-2: Potentially Occurring Mammal SCC	. 33
Table 10-3: Potentially Occurring Bird SCC	. 34
Table 11-1: Potential Impacts to the Biodiversity of Blinkwater	. 35

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Methodology Appendix B: Expected Species



# ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITION

°C	Degree Celsius	
AIP	Alien Invasive Plant	
ВА	Basic Assessment	
СВА	Critical Biodiversity Area	
СVВ	Valley bottom wetlands with a channel	
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation	
Digby Wells	Digby Wells Environmental	
EA	Environmental Authorisation	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ESA	Ecological Support Area	
GIS	Geographic Information System	
HGM	Hydrogeomorphic	
HS	Hillslope Seepage	
km	Kilometre	
LC	Least Concern	
m	Metre	
mm	Millimetre	
MPRDA	Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)	
NBA	National Biodiversity Assessment	
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998)	
NEM:BA	National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004)	
NEM:PAA	National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act	
NFEPA	National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area	
NPAES	National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy	
NSV	Nupen Stauded de Vries Incorporated	
ONA	Other Natural Area	
PA	Protected Areas	
PalRho	PalRho Exploration Proprietary Limited	
PRA	Prospecting Right Application	
QDS	Quarter Degree Square	

Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882



SABAP2	South African Bird Atlas Project	
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute	
SARCA	South African Reptile Conservation Association	
SCC	Species of Conservation Concern	
SFI	Soil Form Indicator	
TOPS	Threatened or Protected Species	
TUI	Terrain Unit Indicator	
UVB	Valley bottom wetlands without a channel	



# 1. Introduction

PalRho Exploration Proprietary Limited (hereafter PalRho), a subsidiary of Ivanhoe Mines Limited, wishes to undertake prospecting activities on the farm Blinkwater 244KR which is located near Mokopane in the Limpopo Province. PalRho intends to obtain a Prospecting Right through the completion of a Prospecting Right Application (PRA) in terms of Section 16 of the Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002) (MPRDA).

The proposed project triggers Listed Activities in terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (GN R982 of 4 December 2014, as amended) (the "EIA Regulations, 2014") promulgated under the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA). The project specifically triggers activities in Listing Notice 1, thus requiring a Basic Assessment (BA) process to be undertaken. This process requires a comprehensive public consultation process.

This document serves as the **Biodiversity Screening Assessment** in support of the Environmental Authorisation (EA) to be completed for the PRA.

# 2. Project Location

The Blinkwater 244KR farm is in the Limpopo Province and falls under the Mokopane Magisterial District. The Blinkwater 244KR Prospecting Right area is located approximately 10 km southwest of the town of Mokopane in the Limpopo Province. The project area amounts to a total area of 3644.4 hectares (ha). The PRA is applicable to the properties included in Table 2-1. The locality map and land tenure map has been included in Figure 2-1 and Figure 2-2 respectively.

Farm	Portion	21 Digit Code
Blinkwater, 244KR	RE	T0KR0000000024400000
Blinkwater, 244KR	1	T0KR0000000024400001
Blinkwater, 244KR	2	T0KR0000000024400002
Blinkwater, 244KR	3	T0KR0000000024400003
Blinkwater, 244KR	4	T0KR0000000024400004
Blinkwater, 244KR	5	T0KR0000000024400005
Blinkwater, 244KR	6	T0KR0000000024400006
Blinkwater, 244KR	7	T0KR0000000024400007

### Table 2-1: Blinkwater PRA Properties




Figure 2-1: Locality Map



o Prospecting t Application	
linkwater 244 .ocal Setting	
Legend	
Settlement	
National Route	
Main Road	
Secondary Road	
Street	
Non-Perennial River/Stream	
Perennial River/Stream	
Dam/Lake	
Reservoir/Water tank	
Marsh/Swamp	
Blinkwater 244	
DIGBY WELLS	
ansverse Mercator 1984 dian: 29°E 2021 82_04_PC	
1 2 4	
Kilometres	
.com © Digby Wells Environmer	ntal

**Biodiversity Screening Assessment** Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882




Figure 2-2: Land Tenure Map





# 3. Description of the Activities to be Undertaken

PalRho intends to apply for a Prospecting Right to conduct prospecting activities on the farm Blinkwater 244KR. The minerals to be prospected includes Platinum, Palladium, Rhodium, Ruthenium, Iridium, Osmium, Platinum Group Elements, Gold, Copper, Cobalt, Nickel, Vanadium, Chromium, Iron, Phosphate Ore, Fluorspar, Tin Ore and Rare Earth Metals (including Scandium).

The project is located within an area dominated by sediments of the Transvaal Supergroup and intrusive rocks of the Bushveld Complex, namely the Northern or Potgietersrus Limb of the Bushveld Complex.

## 3.1. **Prospecting Operations**

Prospecting activities will include both invasive and non-invasive methods. Non-invasive methods include data capturing of all relevant geological data, a geophysical survey which utilising digital data to confirm proposed drill locations and structures as well as analytical work of the samples taken. Invasive methods will include the core drilling (approximately ten holes) to ascertain the stratigraphy sequence and reef horizons of the ore body. These proposed locations are shown in Figure 3-1. It should however be noted that these boreholes are estimated locations and changes to the actual locations of boreholes may change based on information available at the time of prospecting.

# 3.2. Prospecting Infrastructure

No permanent infrastructure will be constructed as part of the prospecting activities. Activities associated with the prospecting operations include:

- The establishment of temporary access route / tracks, which will make use of the shortest distance from an existing road;
- The clearing of vegetation for the drill rig;
- The establishment of three sumps / trenches to separate and store oil, sludge and water; and
- Rehabilitation following the prospecting activities.

### 3.3. Prospecting Process

Should the Prospecting Right be granted, the following steps will be undertaken in line with the Prospecting Work Programme, including drilling activities:

- Permission to Drill;
- Drilling Process; and
- Rehabilitation Process.



## 3.3.1. Permission to Drill

A borehole drilling plan is first compiled by the project appointed geologist. Once completed, a stakeholder engagement process is followed by which the landowners and/or lawful occupiers are informed of the project. A lead negotiator is then appointed to negotiate with the relevant landowners and/or lawful occupiers to obtain signed agreements to drill the boreholes in respect of their respective portions. Once signed, the landowners and/or lawful occupiers will be given an opportunity to accompany the drill contractor to the site where drilling has been proposed.

The locations of the drill sites are dependent on the environmental and heritage sensitivities of the prospecting area. Once the parties have agreed on the location of the borehole, the drill contractor will complete a risk assessment prior to undertaking any drilling activities and determine suitable mitigation measures to minimise environmental damage and to avoid areas of sensitivity. Additionally, where possible, large trees will be avoided, and minimal vegetation removed.

## 3.3.2. Drilling Process

Once all approvals have been obtained, the vegetation is cleared, and the drill sites are constructed. Existing farm roads are utilised where possible. Should new roads be required, these roads are firstly discussed with the landowners/lawful occupiers and incorporated within the signed agreement. Based on discussions with the landowners/lawful occupiers, these roads are usually left for communities to use once the prospecting boreholes have been drilled. Should the roads not be required, they will be left to naturally revegetate. If no vegetation is established within three months after rehabilitation, then re-seeding needs to be completed.

During the drill process, waste is kept to a minimum and spillages are cleaned up should they occur. All waste is segregated and removed off site when waste bins are full. All hazardous waste will be removed by a hazardous waste collector, while general waste is disposed of at a municipal landfill site.

### 3.3.3. Rehabilitation Process

Once the boreholes have been drilled and the core samples taken, the boreholes will be capped and marked in the event that PalRho wishes to access the boreholes again. All infrastructure is then removed. The prospecting areas are backfilled, taking care not to allow compaction of the soil. The vegetation is then allowed to naturally re-establish itself and blend into the original landscape. The rehabilitation of the site will be monitored by PalRho and the site will be vegetated with indigenous vegetation, if necessary.

Biodiversity Screening Assessment Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882




Figure 3-1: Location of Prospecting Boreholes





# 4. Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions were identified as part of the Biodiversity Screening Assessment:

- The Biodiversity Screening Assessment is based on documents provided by PalRho Exploration and supplemented by relevant further research. It is assumed that these are accurate;
- Due to increasing climate change events and the general non-perennial nature of the considered river systems associated with the project, the perceived aquatic biodiversity is assumed to be of low abundance and in poor ecological state. Ground truthing is recommended to confirm the presence of desktop-defined conditions, specifically the expected aquatic biota;
- The report was consolidated using desktop information only, no site visits, ground truthing or field assessments were undertaken;
- Wetland delineations were done using aerial imagery, together with contour data and as a result some discrepancies in terms of the extent of the delineated area might occur until verification can be undertaken through a field survey;
- No wetland health, services and functionality assessments were conducted, all data provided in this report is purely based on the specialist opinion based on desktop information available;
- No impact assessments were conducted;
- This report must be considered as a dynamic document and will be updated as information becomes available; and
- The described aquatic biodiversity and sensitivity within the considered ecosystems
  was done solely from a desktop level. Data was supplemented with specialist
  experience, where possible. However, it is worth noting that inaccuracies in aquatic
  species diversity is to be expected to a marginal extent, especially considering the
  dynamic nature of aquatic ecosystems.

# 5. Scope of Work

The Scope of Work for the Biodiversity Assessment comprised:

- Literature Review: Review of historical reports, catchment data, regional background information and identifying additional freshwater resources within the Project Area. Specialist experience in the project area, specifically from an aquatic ecology perspective, was also utilised to supplement gathered data;
- Wetland Delineation: Identification and characterisation of wetlands and buffer zones within the Project Area at desktop level; and



• **Sensitivity Mapping:** Provide recommendations on buffer zones according to the guidelines set out in the 'Preliminary Guideline for the Determination of Buffer Zones for Rivers, Wetlands and Estuaries' (Macfarlane, et al., 2014).

# 6. Details of the Specialist

The following is a list of Digby Wells' staff who were involved in the Biodiversity Screening Assessment:

• **Byron Bester** has experience and a broad knowledge of various aspects of aquatic ecosystem assessment throughout South Africa and abroad (i.e. Botswana, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Namibia, and Zambia), including water quality assessment, sediment composition, fish biometric indices determination, histopathological fish health assessments and human health risk assessments via the consumptive pathway. He has completed numerous specialist aquatic biodiversity assessments in a wide range of sectors, including mining (e.g. coal, gold, platinum, titanium, etc.), industrial (e.g. smelters, brick-making projects, special economic zones, etc.), transport infrastructure upgrades (e.g. roads, airports, etc.), as well as mixed-use, residential and commercial developments.

He attained his Master's degree in Aquatic Health from the University of Johannesburg by assessing the health status and edibility of selected fish species within various impoundments within the North West Province of South Africa. His passion for further research and exposure to water-related aspects of the natural system afforded him the opportunity to study at the renowned UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education in Delft, The Netherlands for a Special Programme in Environmental Science, for which he attained European Credit Transfer System points for the modules completed.

He is currently registered as a Professional Natural Scientist with the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (Reg. No. 400662/15) and is a member of the South African Society of Aquatic Scientists. Additionally, Byron has been accredited as a SASS5 River Health Programme practitioner with the Department of Water and Sanitation (previously Department of Water Affairs) since March 2012 and attended a number training sessions presented by the DWS for EcoStatus Determination and the River Ecosystem Monitoring Programme, describing the latest bioassessment tools in Present Ecological State (or Ecological Category) determination.

Willnerie Janse van Rensburg is a Soil Scientist and Wetland Specialist in the Rehabilitation, Closure and Soils department at Digby Wells. She received her Bachelor of Science in Environmental Geography as well as her Honours degree in Soil Science from the University of the Free State. She has five years' experience in the fields of Soil Science and Environmental Science. She has experience in proposal compilation, completing soil and wetland baseline and impact assessments, soil and wetland delineations, biodiversity plans, wetland offsetting, soil and wetland



rehabilitation, land use and capability assessments, irrigation scheduling and provides recommendations on soil amelioration. She has undertaken work in Mali, Lesotho, Botswana and throughout South Africa. Willnerie is registered as a Candidate Natural Scientist with the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professionals.

- Nathan Cook is a certified SASS5 practitioner with a BSc in environmental sciences and is currently working towards a BSc honors degree in Aquatic Ecosystem Health through North West University. Nathan has completed numerous aquatic ecology assessments (e.g. aquatic baseline assessments; aquatic impact assessments; aquatic biomonitoring studies) in South Africa and has a good technical understanding of the variable conditions within South African rivers as well as their biological compositions. He has also been involved in aquatic assessments in numerous countries in West Africa, as well as in the Kibali and Nzoro rivers in the Democratic Republic of Congo and the Zambezi and Chobe rivers in Botswana, Zambia and Namibia.
- **Lisa Hester** currently holds the position of Ecologist at Digby Wells Environmental in South Africa. She obtained her BSc Honour's degree in Ecology and Conservation from the University of Witwatersrand in South Africa. Her dissertation topic involved an in-depth ecological survey of the Croc River Mountain Conservancy in Nelspruit.

Since completion of her studies, Lisa has worked on numerous fauna and flora biomonitoring reports both locally and internationally (including Australia). Working on a multitude of surveys in various locations has allowed Lisa to engage upon a multifaceted professional forum. Various scopes of work involving, ecological baseline assessments, ecological rehabilitation, wetland assessments, nest-box installations, environmental impact assessment, protected tree surveys, bat surveys, species relocation and vegetation reports consists of her repertoire of work.

• Aamirah Dramat is an Assistant Rehabilitation Consultant in the Rehabilitation, Closure and Soils Department at Digby Wells. She received her Bachelor of Science Degree in Applied Biology and Environmental and Geographical Science (EGS) as well as her Honours Degree in Biological Sciences from the University of Cape Town. She joined Digby Wells in 2020 as a Rehabilitation Intern and has since gained experience in the environmental services sector with specialised focus in Soils, Wetlands and Rehabilitation, both locally and internationally. She has been involved in the report compilation and undertaking of Baseline Assessments, Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), Rehabilitation and Closure Plans (RCPs), Rehabilitation Strategy and Implementation Plans (RSIPs), Alien Invasive Plant (AIP) Assessments, Re-vegetation Trial Studies and Monitoring Assessments.

# 7. Methodology

This section provides an overview of the methodology used in the compilation of the Biodiversity Screening Assessment (Figure 7-1). A detailed methodology is described in Appendix A.

**Biodiversity Screening Assessment** Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882

# **National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas**

The NFEPA Project provided a collated, nationally consistent information source of wetland and river ecosystems for incorporating freshwater ecosystem and biodiversity goals into planning and decision-making processes.

# **Protected Areas**

The South African Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) is a GIS inventory of all protected areas in South Africa (SAPAD, 2020). The database contains spatial data for the conservation estate of South Africa. It includes spatial and attribute information for both formally protected areas and areas that have less formal protection.

# **National Biodiversity** Assessment Terrestrial **Threat Status and Protection Level**

The National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) aims to inform policy, planning and decision making in a range of sectors for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. The NBA has four indicators, providing information on the threat status and protection level of ecosystems and species (SANBI, 2018).

# **Mining and Biodiversity** Guidelines

The guideline provides us with a manual to integrate biodiversity into the planning process thereby encouraging informed decision-making around mining development and environmental authorisations.

# **Literature Review**

Relevant literature was reviewed with respect to the historical biodiversity associated with the Project Area including habitats and vegetation types.

# **Limpopo Conservation** Plan

The Limpopo Conservation Plan develops the spatial component of a bioregional plan. The purpose of a bioregional plan is to inform land-use planning, environmental assessment and authorisations, and natural resource management, by a range of sectors whose policies and decisions impact on biodiversity (Desmet, Holness, Skowno, & Egan, 2013).

# **National Protected Area Expansion Strategy**

The National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) sets the national framework for consolidating and expanding the existing protected area network in the country (Department of Environmental Affairs, 2016).

# Land Cover

Land cover was identified by aerial imagery during the desktop assessment. Land cover maps indicate delineated areas of similar land cover (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 - 2006).

Figure 7-1: Biodiversity Screening Assessment Methodology



# Sensitivity Analysis

To mitigate against any potential diffuse impacts (e.g. runoff contamination, erosion and sedimentation, etc.) likely to originate from the prospecting activities, a desktop-based buffer distance was determined using the Preliminary Guidelines for Buffer Zone Tool (Macfarlane & Bredin, 2016a, Macfarlane & Bredin, 2016b).

# **Desktop-based Delineation of Biodiversity Features**

Based solely on any available contour data and high-resolution aerial imagery, the freshwater resources within the vicinity of the proposed project was delineated within 500 m of the proposed mining extents at a desktop-level. Based on the national land cover data, as well as the vegetation map of South Africa, broadlevel terrestrial habitat types were also tentatively delineated and any habitat of significant potential concern (e.g. ridges) was flagged for further investigation.

# 8. Desktop Assessment

### Table 8-1: Desktop Assessment of the Project Area

Plant Species Characteristic of the Makhado Sweet Bushveld (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012) (Figure 8-1)		Plant Species Characteristic of the Waterberg Mountain Bushveld (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012) (Figure 8-1)		Plant Species Characteristic o Sourveld (Mucina &	
Small Trees	Acacia erubescens, A. gerrardii, A. mellifera subsp. detinens, A. rehmanniana, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum apiculatum, Acacia tortilis subsp. heteracantha, Terminalia sericea.	Tall and Small Trees	Tall Tree: Acacia robusta. Small Trees: Acacia caffra, Burkea africana, Combretum apiculatum, Croton gratissimus, Cussonia transvaalensis, Faurea saligna, Heteropyxis natalensis, Ochna pulchra, Protea caffra, Albizia tanganyicensis, Combretum molle, Englerophytum magalismontanum, Ficus burkei, F. glumosa, Ochna pretoriensis, Pseudolachnostylis maprouneifolia, Rhus lancea, Terminalia sericea, Vangueria infausta, V. parvifolia.	Small Trees	Englerophytum ma caffra, P. roupelliae Brachylaena rotuno saligna, Vangueria
Tall Shrubs	Commiphora pyracanthoides, Dichrostachys cinerea, Grewia flava, Hibiscus calyphyllus, Lycium shawii, Rhigozum obovatum.	Tall and Low Shrubs	Tall Shrubs: Diplorhynchus condylocarpon, Elephantorrhiza burkei, Combretum moggii, C. nelsonii, Dichrostachys cinerea, Euclea crispa subsp. crispa, Gnidia kraussiana, Olea capensis subsp. enervis, O. europaea subsp. africana, Searsia pyroides var. pyroides, Strychnos pungens, Vitex rehmannii Low Shrubs: Anthospermum rigidum subsp. rigidum, Barleria affinis, Felicia muricata, Helichrysum kraussii, Protea welwitschii subsp. welwitschii, Rhus rigida var. dentata.	Tall, Low and Succulent Shrubs	Tall Shrubs: Elepha Protea gaguedi, Rl Low Shrubs: Lopha magalismontana, A rehmannii, Anthosp rigidum, Erica drak Myrothamnus flabe hottentotta, Protea longipes. Succulent Shrubs: schinzii, Raphionad transvaalense, T. u
Low Shrubs	Barleria lancifolia, Hirpicium bechuanense, Indigofera poliotes, Melhania rehmannii, Pechuel-Loeschea leubnitziae.	Geoxylic Suffrutices, Succulent Shrubs and Woody Climbers	Geoxylic Suffrutices: Dichapetalum cymosum, Parinari capensis subsp. capensis. Succulent Shrubs: Aloe chabaudii, Lopholaena coriifolia. Woody Climbers: Ancylobotrys capensis, Rhoicissus revoilii.	Woody Climber, Geoxylic Suffrutices and Herbaceous Climber	Woody Climber <i>: Ar</i> Geoxylic Suffrutice <i>capensis.</i> Herbaceous Climbe
Graminoids	Anthephora pubescens, Aristida stipitata subsp. graciliflora, Cenchrus ciliaris, Enneapogon scoparius, Brachiaria nigropedata, Eragrostis trichophora, Panicum coloratum, P. maximum, Schmidtia pappophoroides, Urochloa mosambicensis.	Graminoids	Loudetia simplex, Schizachyrium sanguineum, Trachypogon spicatus, Brachiaria serrata, Digitaria eriantha subsp. eriantha, Elionurus muticus, Enneapogon scoparius, Setaria sphacelata, Themeda triandra, Tristachya leucothrix.	Graminoids	Aristida transvaale setifera, Diheterop Loudetia simplex, I sanguineum, Trach Andropogon schire serrata, Cymbopog brazzae, Eragrostis Monocymbium cero triandra, Tristachya



### c of the Waterberg-Magaliesberg Summit & Rutherford, 2012) (Figure 8-1)

nagalismontanum, Protea caffra subsp. liae subsp. roupelliae, Acacia caffra, undata, Combretum moggii, C. molle, Faurea ria infausta, Zanthoxylum capense.

ohantorrhiza burkei, Indigofera comosa, Rhus dentata.

pholaena coriifolia, Passerina montana, Rhus , Acalypha angustata, Aeschynomene ospermum hispidulum, A. rigidum subsp. akensbergensis, Euryops pedunculatus, bellifolius, Myrsine africana, Polygala ea welwitschii, Rhynchosia nitens, Tephrosia

s: Euphorbia clavarioides var. truncata, E. nacme burkei. Semiparasitic Shrubs: Thesium . utile.

Ancylobotrys capensis. ces: Elephantorrhiza elephantina, Parinari

nber: Rhynchosia totta.

alensis, Bulbostylis burchellii, Coleochloa opogon amplectens, Eragrostis nindensis, k, Melinis nerviglumis, Schizachyrium achypogon spicatus, Tristachya biseriata, irensis, Aristida aequiglumis, Brachiaria ogon caesius, Cyperus rupestris, Digitaria stis racemosa, E. stapfii, Microchloa caffra, eresiiforme, Panicum natalense, Themeda nya rehmannii. Biodiversity Screening Assessment

### Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application

PAL6882

Herbs	Chamaecrista absus, Corbichonia decumbens, Geigeria acaulis, Harpagophytum procumbens subsp. transvaalense, Heliotropium steudneri, Hemizygia elliottii, Hermbstaedtia odorata, Leucas sexdentata, Osteospermum muricatum, Tephrosia purpurea subsp. Leptostachya.		Herbs and Geophytic Herb		elongata, Hil retinervis.	neya insignis, Chamaecrista mimosoides, Geige biscus meyeri subsp. transvaalensis, Xerophyta erbs: Haemanthus humilis subsp. humilis, Hypo	Geophytic and	Herbs: Selaginella africana, C. erecta, Indigofera hedyanti Nidorella hottentotic Pseudognaphalium Vernonia galpinii, X Geophytic Herbs: A Ledebouria margina Succulent Herb: Cr
Status	Vulnerable		Status	Least Threatened		Status	Least Threatened	
Bioregional Context (Köppen & Geiger, 1936; Kleynhans, Thirion, & Moolman, 2005; Darwell, Smith, Tweddle, & Skelton, 2009; Climate- data.org, n.d.)				Limpopo Conservation Plan (Desmet, Holness, Skowno, & Egan, 2013) (Figure 8-3)				
Ecoregion		Western Bankenveld and Bushveld Basin		Majority of the Project Area is classified as a CBA1 with minor are		s in the east classified as CBA2 and ES		
WMA		Limpopo			National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (NFEPA) Wetland and River Freshwater Ecosystem Pr al., 2011) (Figure 8-4 and Figure 8-5).			
Sub-WMA		Mogalakwena	NF		FEPA Wetlands A Channelled Valley Bottom NFEPA Wetland (Rank 6) is located in the Bottom NFEPA Wetland (Rank 6) is located outside but adjacent to the Pr			
Quaternary Catchment (Figure 8-2)		A61F, A61G and A61J	River I		FEPA	Majority of the Project Area is classified as an Upstream Management Area with a m Fish Support Area.		
Altitude (m.a.m.s.l.) 1150		1150		Mining and Biodiversity Guideline Cate		uideline Category	v (DEA, 2013) (Figure	
Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP) (mm) (Secondary)		495		The Project Area is predominantly classified as C: High Biodiversity Importance – High Risk to Mining a Highest Risk for Mining, with a smaller area classified as D: Moderate Biodiversity Importance – Moder				
Rainfall Seasonality		Spring/Summer (November to March)	to March)		Protected Areas (SAPAD, 2020) and National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (Depar (Figure 8-7)			
Mean Annual Temp. (°C)		(*(:) 193		No Protected Areas or NPAES are located within the Project Area. However, the Rousseau Snyman Private located within 10 km south of the Project Area. Several other Protected Areas and NPAES are located within				
Köppen Climate Classification Bsh			Land Cover (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 - 2006; GEOTERRAIMAGE (GTI), 2					
National	-	ssessment (NBA) Terrestrial Threat Status an Level (SANBI, 2018) (Figure 8-9)	d	The Project Area is predominantly made up of land classified as Open Woodland, Natural Grassland, Dense and Old Fields. The remaining minor areas are classified as Herbaceous Wetlands, Commercial Annual Cro				
The entire Project Area was identified to be of Least Concern (LC).			Plantation Forest, Contiguous and Dense Plantation Forest and Subsistence/Small-Scale Annual Crops.					



la dregei, Acalypha angustata, Commelina ta, Helichrysum nudifolium var. nudifolium, antha, I. melanadenia, Ipomoea ommaneyi, otica, Oldenlandia herbacea, um undulatum, Rhynchosia monophylla,

Xerophyta retinervis.

Albuca setosa, Lapeirousia sandersonii, inata, Pellaea calomelanos.

Crassula lanceolata subsp. transvaalensis.

### SA2.

Priority Area (FEPA) Classification (Nel, et

of the Project Area. An Un-channelled Valley

ninor area towards the west classified as a

### re 8-6)

and **B: Highest Biodiversity Importance –** Ierate Risk for Mining.

artment of Environmental Affairs, 2016)

ate Nature Reserve, a Protected Area, is hin 20 km of the Project Area..

### , 2018) (Figure 8-8)

se Forest and Woodland and Fallow Land Crops Rain-fed/Dryland, Open and Sparse




Figure 8-1: Regional Vegetation






Figure 8-2: Quaternary Catchment



# PalRho Prospecting **Right Application**

### Blinkwater 244 **Quaternary Catchments**

### Legend

- Secondary Town
  - Other Town
  - Settlement
- National Route
- Main Road
- Secondary Road
- Non-Perennial River/Stream
- Perennial River/Stream
- Quaternary Catchment
  - A61J, A61G, A61F
- Blinkwater 244

BYWE		
se Mercator		N
۶E		A
04_PC		N
5	10	
lometres	1.4	
i Diahu	Wells Environ	men




Figure 8-3: Limpopo Conservation Plan






Figure 8-4: National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (NFEPA) Wetlands



Biodiversity Screening Assessment Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882




Figure 8-5: River National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA)






Figure 8-6: Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines






Figure 8-7: Protected Areas and National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES)



# **PalRho Prospecting Right Application**

# Blinkwater 244 Protected Areas

### Legend

- Non-Perennial River/Stream
- Perennial River/Stream
- Protected Areas (SAPAD, 2020)

	2		
	Y WEL		
	tercator	999) 	N
14			A
29°E			
W_16_	PC		N
5	5	10	
Kilor	netres		
m	© Digby W	lells Enviror	nmenta

**Biodiversity Screening Assessment** Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882




Figure 8-8: Land Cover






Figure 8-9: National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) Terrestrial Threat Status and Protection Level





# 9. Biodiversity Screening Assessment Findings

## 9.1. Wetlands

The accepted methodology from the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005) as well as the "Updated manual for identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas" (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2008) states the four wetland indicators as Soil Wetness Indicators (SWI), Soil Form indicator (SFI), Vegetation and Terrain Unit Indicator (TUI). The wetland delineations were solely based on the TUI.

Terrain indicators help to identify areas in the landscape where wetlands are more likely to occur. The topography is typically the physical characteristics of an area with a variation of soils against the slope, each with its own characteristics because of its relative position in the landscape and terrain. The topography of the Project Area is of the Highveld Lower Ecoregion with gentle, rolling grassland slopes and many valley systems. Detailed imagery and contours allow the geomorphic setting of the wetland and catchments to be understood and the HGM to be determined. Terrain indicators are important for understanding the specific functionality of the wetland and determining the potential risks from anthropological activities on the wetland. The topography of the Project Area consists of steep slopes on the west of the Floodplain, with ridges associated with these systems.

Based solely on any available contour data and high-resolution aerial imagery, the freshwater resources within the vicinity of the proposed project were delineated into the following hydrogeomorphic (HGM) units:

- Hillslope seepage wetlands connected to a watercourse (HS);
- Valley bottom wetlands with a channel (CVB);
- Valley bottom wetlands without a channel (UVB); and
- Floodplain.

Figure 9-1 illustrates the wetland delineations for the Project Area. The wetlands cover approximately 1453.92 ha which amounts to 39.9% of the total 3644.4 ha Project Area. The breakdown of the wetland types of the area is detailed in Table 9-1.



### Table 9-1: Wetland HGM Units of the Project Area

HGM Unit	Area (ha)	
Hillslope seepage wetlands connected to a watercourse (HS)	0.12	
Valley bottom wetlands with a channel (CVB)	465.05	
Valley bottom wetlands without a channel (UVB)	52.28	
Floodplain	936.47	
Total Wetlands (ha)	1453.92	




Figure 9-1: Wetland Delineation of Blinkwater 244KR



# PalRho Prospecting **Right Application**

Blinkwater 244 Wetland Delineation

### Legend

- ----- Non-Perennial River/Stream
  - Perennial River/Stream
  - Channeled Valley Bottom
  - Unchanneled Valley Bottom

erse Mercator 4 29°E	N
V_28_PC	A
5 1	2
Kilometres	_
Diahu W	elle Environmental



# 9.2. Aquatic Ecology

The following sections aim to outline the biodiversity within the aquatic ecosystems associated with the proposed prospecting area. The presence of species of potential conservation importance and sensitive taxa was also identified.

### 9.2.1. Associated Aquatic Ecosystems

The proposed prospecting area is largely associated with the Mogalakwena River, specifically Sub-quaternary Reach (SQR) A61F-000333 and SQR A61G-00297. In addition, a small portion of the eastern boundary of the prospecting area intersects the Rooisloot River (i.e. SQR A61F00276).

### 9.2.1.1. <u>Desktop Information</u>

According to the gathered Present Ecological State (PES), Ecological Importance (EI) and Ecological Sensitivity (ES) for the associated river ecosystems (DWS, 2014), all systems are considered to be in a largely modified state (Ecological Category D) of Moderate EI and ES (Table 9-2).

Component	Mogalakwena River (SQRs A61F-00333 & A61G00297)	Rooisloot River (A61F-00276 SQR)	
Present Ecological Status	D	D	
Ecological Importance	Moderate	Moderate	
Ecological Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate	
SQR Length (km)	4.48 & 13.90 respectively	33.49	

### Table 9-2: PESEIS Information for the Considered Aquatic Ecosystems

The gathered information further highlights existing impacts contributing to the modified conditions of the rivers in the form of water quality, agricultural encroachment, bed and channel disturbance, sedimentation, abstraction, dam construction, erosion, alien vegetation encroachment, overgrazing/trampling, urban runoff and vegetation removal.

Important taxa with relative sensitives are expected within the considered rivers (discussed in further detail below). However, due to the mostly dry nature of the project area, especially the lower Mogalakwena reach (i.e. SQR A61G-00297) (DWS, 2014), the probability of occurrence of said taxa is expected to be low, hence the Moderate EI and ES classifications.

# 9.2.2. Expected Aquatic Biodiversity

Focus was paid on the potential macroinvertebrate and ichthyofaunal compositions within the Mogalakwena River as part of the assessed/considered aquatic biodiversity.



A total of 33 macroinvertebrate taxa are expected to be present within the Mogalakwena River (DWS, 2014).

Family Names					
Turbellaria	Gomphidae	Leptoceridae			
Oligochaeta	Libellulidae	Dytiscidae			
Hirudinea	Belostomatidae	Gyrinidae			
Potamonautidae	Corixidae	Hydrophilidae			
Atyidae	Gerridae	Ceratopogonidae			
Hydracarina	Hydrometridae	Chironomidae			
Baetidae	Naucoridae	Culicidae			
Caenidae	Nepidae	Dixidae			
Chlorocyphidae	Notonectidae	Muscidae			
Ceonagrionidae	Pleidae	Tabanidae			
Aeshnidae	Veliidae	Tipulidae			

### Table 9-3: Expected Macroinvertebrate Taxa in the Mogalakwena River

According to the expected macroinvertebrate list, no taxa with a preference/dependence on high flow velocities (> 0.6 m<sup>3</sup>) as well as taxa that are highly sensitive to physio-chemical (i.e. water quality) modifications are expected within the river. However, some taxa such as Atyidae, Hydracarina, Chlorocyphidae, Aeshnidae and Dixidae are classified as moderately intolerant to water quality deterioration/changes.

In addition, and according to the gathered desktop information (DWS, 2014), a total of 12 fish species are expected within the considered Mogalakwena River (Table 9-4). Each species sensitivity ratings towards physio-chemical and no-flow conditions have been provided for together with their conservation status according to the IUCN List of Threatened Species.

### Table 9-4: Expected Fish Species in the Mogalakwena River

Fish Species	Common Name	<b>Toler</b> (1-2=tolerant; 2 tolerant; >3-4 intolerant; >4	Conservation Status	
		Physio- chemical	No-flow	
Chetia flaviventris	Canary Kurper	2.0	1.3	LC

### **Biodiversity Screening Assessment**

Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882



Fish Species	Common Name	<b>Toler</b> (1-2=tolerant; 2 tolerant; >3-4 intolerant; >4	Conservation Status			
		Physio- chemical	No-flow			
Clarias gariepinus	African Catfish	1.0	1.7	LC		
Enteromius paludinosus	Straightfin Barb	1.8	2.3	LC		
Enteromius trimaculatus	Threespot Barb	1.8	2.7	LC		
Enteromius unitaeniatus	Longbeard Barb	2.2	2.3	LC		
Enteromius viviparus	Bowstripe Barb	3.0	2.3	LC		
Labeobarbus marequensis	Lowveld Largescale Yellowfish	2.9	3.3	LC		
Micropanchax johnstoni	Johnston's Topminnow	3.8	1.5	LC		
Oreochromis mossambicus	Mozambique Tilapia	1.3	0.9	VU		
Pseudocrenilabrus philander	Southern Mouthbrooder	1.4	1.0	LC		
Tilapia rendalli	Red-breasted Tilapia	2.1	1.8	LC		
Tilapia sparrmanii	Banded Tilapia	1.4	0.9	LC		
LC=Least Concern; VU=Vulnerable						

Of the expected fish species, only a single species is moderately intolerant to physio-chemical modifications (i.e. *Micropanchax johnstoni*) and a single species moderately intolerant to no-flow conditions (i.e. *Labeobarbus marequensis*). Based on specialist experience within the project area, it is unlikely that all of these species are currently present within the Mogalakwena River, especially in light of the non-perennial nature of the river compounded by the severe pollution sources observed from the associated urban areas.

On the contrary, a single species of conservation concern classified as Vulnerable (i.e. *Oreochromis mossambicus*) is expected and is known to be present within the lower portion of the Mogalakwena as well as the Rooisloot River. This species and some of the other species of low probability of occurrence, such as the aforementioned *Micropanchax johnstoni* and *Labeobarbus marequensis*, have the potential to be utilising the large dams within the river as refuge areas from the dry conditions. However, ground truthing will be needed to accurately determine this.



# 9.3. Terrestrial Biodiversity

This section outlines the receiving terrestrial (fauna and flora) environment and highlights any sensitivities that may occur within the Project Area and its' surroundings. The proposed Project Areas lie within two Quarter Degree Square (QDS) 2428BB and 2428BD. Based on the following desktop findings below and due to the potential occurrence of Species of Conservation Concern (SCC), a pre-screening survey is recommended to locate such species and identify sensitive habitats that may support potentially threatened species.

## 9.3.1. Flora

### 9.3.1.1. <u>Regional Vegetation</u>

As described by Mucina & Rutherford (2012), the Blinkwater 244KR Project Area falls within the Makhado Sweet Bushveld (SVcb 20), Waterberg Mountain Bushveld (SVcb 17) and Waterberg Magaliesberg Summit Sourveld (Gm 29) vegetation types. The vegetation types fall within two of the nine South African plant Biomes, namely the Savanna and Grassland. The Grassland Biome is situated primarily on the central plateau of South Africa, and the inland areas on Kwa-Zulu Natal and the Eastern Cape provinces. This biome is rich in fauna and flora but is under threat due to agricultural activities, expansion of mining and industrial activities. The Savanna Biome predominates in the northern regions of South Africa and almost encompasses the entire Grassland Biome.

### Makhado Sweet Bushveld

It occurs on the plains south of the Soutpansberg, east of the Waterberg and on the apron surrounding the Blouberg and Lerataupje Mountains and extends into the Polokwane Plateau with an altitude of 850-1 200m. The landscape and vegetation are characterised by short and shrubby bushveld with a poorly developed grass layer. The conservation status is considered Vulnerable with only around 1 % statutorily conserved, mainly in the Bellevue Nature Reserve. Approximately 27% of the vegetation type has been transformed, primarily due to cultivation and urbanisation. The southwestern half of the unit has densely populated rural communities.

### Waterberg Mountain Bushveld

This bushveld expands across the Waterberg Mountains and stretches to the north of Bela-Bela and west of Mokopane. The altitude sits at around 1 000-1 600m, which is slightly lower than the Waterberg-Magaliesberg Summit Sourveld (Gm 29). The rugged mountains are tasselled with vegetation such as *Faurea saligna* and *Protea caffra* on the higher slopes that grades into the Gm 29. The transition occurs through the broad-leaved deciduous bushveld (*Diplorhynchus condylocarpon*) on rocky footslopes to *Burkea africana-Terminalia sericea* in the lower-lying valleys. The grass layer is moderately well developed. The conservation status is Least Threatened.



### Waterberg-Magaliesberg Summit Sourveld

This sourveld can be observed in isolated patches on the summits of the Waterberg with altitudes reaching up to 2 088m. the landscape features include summit positions such as crests with steep rocky scarps covered with wiry tussock grasslands. Patches of open *Protea caffra* savannoid vegetation and open shrubland *Englerophytum magalismontanum* are typical of this sourveld. Summits are characterised by high spatial heterogeneity influencing the soil profile, drainage and hydrological conditions. The varying aspects are generally associated as important predictors in biodiversity. The conservation status is listed as Least Threatened.

All list of floral species characterised by the discussed vegetation types is represented in Table 8-1. The location of the vegetation types in relation to the Project Areas is depicted in Figure 8-1.

### 9.3.2. Fauna

This section will cover various groups of animals including mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians and invertebrates.

### 9.3.2.1. <u>Mammals</u>

Mammals form a vital component of ecosystems. Not only are they important for nutrient cycling, habitat modification, consumers of plants and seed dispersal but they're also a considerable component of predators in healthy ecosystems. Mammals expected to occur are listed in Appendix B.

### 9.3.2.2. <u>Birds</u>

Birds have been viewed as good ecological indicators, since their presence or absence tends to represent conditions pertaining to the proper functioning of an ecosystem. Bird communities and ecological condition are linked to land cover. As the land cover of an area changes, so do the types of birds in that area. Land cover is directly linked to habitats within the project area. The diversity of these habitats should support many different species.

According to the South African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP2), almost 290 species of birds have been identified in the designated QDS (see Appendix B).

### 9.3.2.3. <u>Reptiles</u>

Reptiles are ectothermic (cold-blooded) meaning their internal basal temperature is influenced by their surrounding external environment, as a result, reptiles are dependent on environmental heat sources. Thus, many reptiles regulate their body temperatures by basking in the sun, or warmer surfaces (or substrates). Substrates are an important determining factor for identifying which habitats are suitable for which species of reptile. Rocky outcrops and suitable woody vegetation would increase habitat and intern diversity of reptiles within the Project Area. Reptiles expected to occur on site are listed in Appendix B.



### 9.3.2.4. <u>Amphibians</u>

Amphibians are viewed to be good indicators of changes to the whole ecosystem as they are sensitive to changes in the aquatic and terrestrial environments (Waddle, 2006). Most species of amphibians are dependent on the aquatic environment for reproduction. Additionally, amphibians are sensitive to water quality and ultraviolet radiation because of their permeable skin (Gerlanc, 2005).

18 species of amphibian have previously been recorded and are list in Appendix B.

### 9.3.2.5. Invertebrates

Butterflies are a good indication of the various habitats available in a specific area (Woodhall, 2005). Although many species are eurytropes (able to use a wide range of habitats) and are widespread and common, South Africa has many stenotrope (specific habitat requirements with populations concentrated in a small area) species which may be very specialised (Woodhall, 2005). Butterflies are useful indicators as they are relatively easy to locate and catch, and to identify. A list of expected and previously recorded Lepidoptera species within the Project Areas QDS is listed in Appendix B.

# **10.** Sensitivity Analysis

## 10.1. Wetlands

Figure 10-1 illustrates that 4 of the 10 proposed drilling locations are located within desktop delineated wetlands, whereas 6 of the 10 drilling locations are proposed to be within the 100 m and/ or 500 m zone of regulation. A Channelled Valley Bottom NFEPA Wetland (Rank 6) is also located within the Project Area (Figure 8-4). Therefore, as per Section 21 (c) and (i) of the Nation Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998) (NWA), the activities will require a full Water Use Licence (WUL) application or a General Notice (GN) application, depending on the outcome of the DWS risk assessment. However, if the boreholes were to be relocated outside of the water courses and their associated buffer zones, no water authorisation would be required.




Figure 10-1: Wetland Sensitivity of Blinkwater 244KR





# 10.2. Aquatics

Some species of varying sensitivities and habitat preferences are expected within the systems, including macroinvertebrate taxa moderately intolerant to water quality modifications and no-flow conditions, and a single fish species moderately intolerant to water quality modifications (i.e. *Micropanchax johnstoni*) and a single fish species moderately intolerant to no-flow conditions (i.e. *Labeobarbus marequensis*).

In addition, the Vulnerable fish species *Oreochromis mossambicus* is expected to occur within the considered aquatic ecosystems. Its presence is extant within the lower Mogalakwena River based on specialist experience within the project area.

## **10.3.** Terrestrial Biodiversity

## 10.3.1. Flora Species of Conservation Concern

## 10.3.1.1. IUCN Red Data Species

The proposed Project Areas lie within two QDS 2428BB and 2428BD. According to PRECIS two Red Data listed species are expected to be present within the identified QDS and are listed in Table 10-1 with their respective status. A full list of expected plant species to occur is listed in Appendix B.

### 10.3.1.2. Protected Flora

The Plants of South Africa (NEWPOSA) species list was obtained from the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) (https://posa.sanbi.org/), it lists all the Red Data plant species officially recorded by SANBI for South African QDS grid. In order for a flora species to be included in this list, a specimen collected in this grid must be supplied to SANBI to be verified and recorded. This list is therefore not a comprehensive list representing only those species that may occur in the aforementioned grids, but rather a guideline as to what is to be expected. Generally, the sites sampled are small portions of the whole grid and habitats suitable for certain species. It is therefore not unusual for species in the POSA list to be absent from the sampling sites.

The flora species list obtained from the NEWPOSA indicate that two species are classified as Vulnerable (VU), might occur within in the Project Area. The species are considered Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) and are listed in Table 10-1 below.



### Table 10-1: Potentially Occurring Floral SCC

Family	Species Name	Conservation Status	
	Species Maine	SANBI Red List Provincial <sup>1</sup>	
Crassulaceae	Kalanchoe longiflora	VU (Criteria D2 <sup>2</sup> )	Not listed
Poaceae	Oryza longistaminata	VU (Criteria D21)	Not Listed

VU = Vulnerable

### 10.3.2. Fauna Species of Conservation Concern

### 10.3.2.1. <u>Mammals</u>

Mammals expected to occur are listed in Appendix B. It has been noted that four of these potentially occurring species have been assigned a Red Data status. The protected species are tabulated below in Table 10-2 with their assigned SANBI Red List, Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS) (DEA, 2007), and Provincial Legislation (Limpopo Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2003) conservation statuses.

Family	Species Name	Common Name	SANBI Red List (2016)	TOPS (2007)	Provincial
Felidae	Leptailurus serval	Serval	Near Threatened	Protected	Schedule 3
Felidae	Panthera pardus	Leopard	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	Schedule 3
Hyaenidae	Hyaena brunnea	Brown Hyena	Near Threatened	Protected	Schedule 3
Rhinolophidae	Rhinolophus smithersi	Smithers' Horseshoe Bat	Near Threatened	None	Not Listed

### Table 10-2: Potentially Occurring Mammal SCC

VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened

### 10.3.2.2. <u>Birds</u>

According to SABAP2, almost 290 species of birds have been identified in the designated QDS (see Appendix B). Of these species, seven have been assigned a Red Data status (Taylor MR, 2015) and are listed in Table 10-3 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Limpopo Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2003)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Criterion D: critically small population size or very restricted distribution



Common Name	Species Name	NEMBA	Provincial
Verreaux's Eagle	Aquila verreauxii	VU	Schedule 8
Greater Flamingo	Phoenicopterus ruber	Phoenicopterus ruber NT S	
European Roller	Coracias garrulus NT		Schedule 8
Secretarybird	Sagittarius serpentarius VU		Schedule 8
Marabou Stork	Leptoptilos crumeniferus NT Sch		Schedule 8
Saddle-billed Stork	Ephippiorhynchus senegalensis EN S		Schedule 8
Yellow-billed Stork	Mycteria ibis	eria ibis EN Schedu	

### Table 10-3: Potentially Occurring Bird SCC

EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened

### 10.3.2.3. <u>Reptiles</u>

Reptiles expected to occur on site are listed in Appendix B. Of these species one has been assigned a Red Data status, namely the Northern Crag Lizard (*Pseudocorylus transvaalensis*). This lizard is listed as Near Threatened by the South African Reptile Conservation Association (SARCA, 2014) and Least Concern (Declining population) by SANBI Red List of South African Species (http://speciesstatus.sanbi.org/). This species is endemic to the Limpopo Province and has three allopatric (geographically isolated) subpopulations in: Thabazimbi, Mokopane and Woodbush/Haenertsburg area (Tolley, 2017). The rationale behind the conservation assessment for this species is due to the impacts of urbanisation and afforestation to the three isolated subpopulations, leading to its decline in numbers.

### 10.3.2.4. Amphibians

No Red Data listed amphibians have previously been recorded within the Project Areas' identified QDS. However, 18 species of amphibian have previously been recorded and are list in Appendix B.

### 10.3.2.5. Invertebrates

Lepidoptera (moths and butterflies) was used as the primary focus for invertebrate surveys. A Rear-horned Baboon Spider (*Ceratogyrus darlingi*) (Family Theraphosidae) was previously recorded with the Project Areas QDS. In South Africa, Theraphosidae species are the most threatened spiders, as they are targeted for the pet trade (SANBI, 2010). Therefore, all species of the Theraphosidae family are a TOPS listed species and are protected in South Africa. A list of expected and previously recorded Lepidoptera species within the Project Areas QDS is listed in Appendix B.



### 10.3.2.6. Overall Sensitivity Assessment

It is important to allow for detailed conservation planning to meet biodiversity targets in South Africa's variety of ecosystems. Guidelines are essential to consult for development projects, and form part of the sensitivity analysis. Areas reserved for meeting conservation and biodiversity targets should not be developed, and therefore hold a high sensitivity value. In addition, sensitivity analysis in the field based on much finer scale data can be used to verify the larger scale assessments and put it into a more localised context. Protected Areas Several designated NPAES (Department of Environmental Affairs, 2016) and SAPAD (2020) protected areas are within close proximity to the Project Area (Figure 8-7). Most notably, the Doorndraai Nature Reserve, a formally protected area (Department of Environmental Affairs, 2016) is situated approximately 11 km east of the Project Area. The 7 000 ha nature reserve boasts a wide variety of woodland, savanna, riverine and grassland habitats. The diverse range of habitats supports numerous faunal species such as Tsessebe, Sable Antelope, Mountain Reedbuck, Kudu, Bushbuck, Blue Wildebeest, Leopard, Aardvark, Brown Hyena, African Wild Cat, Black-footed Cat and Honey Badger. Other formally protected areas within close proximity to the Project Areas include Witvinger, Entabeni and the Percy Fyfe Nature Reserves. Adjacent to the Project Areas, situated directly east, lies a conglomerate of Fossil Hominid Sites. Protected areas known in South Africa as the Cradle of Humankind, the region of Sterkfontein, Swartkrans, Kromdraai and environs has one of the world's richest concentrations of hominid fossils, evidence of human evolution over the last 3.5-million years and declared a UNESCO World Heritage Site.

## **11. Potential Impacts**

The potential impacts to the biodiversity are described in Table 11-1 below.

Activities	Potential Impacts	Mitigation Type	Prior to Mitigation
The establishment of temporary access route / tracks which will make use of the shortest distance from an existing road	<ul> <li>Fragmentation of the wetland resources as a result of road crossings;</li> <li>Contamination of wetland resources;</li> <li>Impacts to water quality as a result of spills;</li> <li>Compaction of soils;</li> <li>Loss of habitat and biodiversity;</li> <li>Increased potential for sheet runoff from paved/cleared surfaces; and</li> <li>Increased potential for erosion.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Control through design, management, maintenance and mitigation;</li> <li>Remedy through concurrent rehabilitation and monitoring; and</li> <li>Remediate using commercially available emergency clean up kits.</li> </ul>	Medium
The clearing of vegetation for the drill rig	<ul> <li>Habitat fragmentation;</li> <li>Spread of alien and invasive species;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Control through design, management,</li> </ul>	High

### Table 11-1: Potential Impacts to the Biodiversity of Blinkwater

Environmental Authorisation Process for the Blinkwater Prospecting Right Application PAL6882



Activities	Potential Impacts	Mitigation Type	Prior to Mitigation
	<ul> <li>Soil disturbance and/or compaction;</li> <li>Increased incidence of erosion;</li> <li>Sedimentation from erosion;</li> <li>Potential water quality deterioration; and</li> <li>Disturbance to avifauna and other fauna utilising the freshwater resources thus resulting in an overall loss of biodiversity.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>maintenance and mitigation; and</li> <li>Remedy through concurrent rehabilitation and monitoring.</li> </ul>	
The establishment of three sumps / trenches to separate and store oil, sludge and water	<ul> <li>Soil and water contamination;</li> <li>Loss of wetland habitat;</li> <li>Potential habitat fragmentation;</li> <li>Increased erosion potential;</li> <li>Potential impacts as a result of sedimentation;</li> <li>Loss of water supply;</li> <li>Impacts to natural flow regimes;</li> <li>Potential loss of water quality further downstream;</li> <li>Loss of biodiversity;</li> <li>Alterations to natural river channels; and</li> <li>Alterations to water distribution and volume.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Control through design, management, maintenance and mitigation;</li> <li>Remedy through concurrent rehabilitation and monitoring; and</li> <li>Remediate using commercially available emergency clean up kits.</li> </ul>	High
Rehabilitation following the prospecting activities	<ul> <li>Improper infilling and profiling, resulting in the creation of preferential flow paths and thus increasing the potential for erosion;</li> <li>Improper rehabilitation of compacted soils, resulting in poor vegetation cover; and</li> <li>Increased potential for the spread; and establishment of alien and invasive species.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Control through design, management, maintenance and mitigation;</li> <li>Remedy through concurrent rehabilitation and monitoring; and</li> <li>Remediate using commercially available emergency clean up kits.</li> </ul>	Medium
Rehabilitation Process	<ul> <li>Erosion onset;</li> <li>Sedimentation; and</li> <li>Establishment of alien plants.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Control through design, management, maintenance and mitigation;</li> <li>Remedy through concurrent rehabilitation and monitoring; and</li> </ul>	Low



Activities	Potential Impacts	Mitigation Type Prior to Mitigation
		Remediate using
		commercially available
		emergency clean up
		kits.

# 12. Conclusion and Recommendations

### Wetlands

Based solely on available contour data and high-resolution aerial imagery, the freshwater resources within the vicinity of the proposed project were delineated into hillslope seepage wetlands connected to a watercourse, valley bottom wetlands with a channel, valley bottom wetlands without a channel and a floodplain.

All 10 of the proposed drilling locations are located within desktop delineated wetlands, and or within the 100 m and 500 m zone of regulations. A CVB, NFEPA Wetland (Rank 6) is also located within the Project Area. Therefore, as per Section 21 (c) and (i) of the NWA, the activities will require a full WUL application or a GN application, depending on the outcome of the DWS risk assessment.

It is therefore recommended to conduct a risk assessment (including a site visit confirming the wetland delineations and impacts) to identify the possible risks to these wetlands and to confirm whether a WULA or a GA is applicable to the Blinkwater Project.

### **Aquatics**

Based on the gathered desktop information, the considered aquatic ecosystems appear to be in a largely modified state (Ecological Category D) (DWS, 2014). The EI and ES of all systems are further classified as Moderate due to the presence of mostly common aquatic biota that are largely tolerant.

Some species of varying sensitivities and habitat preferences are expected within the systems, including aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa moderately intolerant to water quality modifications and no-flow conditions, and a single fish species moderately intolerant to water quality modifications (i.e. *Micropanchax johnstoni*) and a single fish species moderately intolerant to no-flow conditions (i.e. *Labeobarbus marequensis*).

In addition, the Vulnerable fish species *Oreochromis mossambicus* is expected to occur within the considered aquatic ecosystems. Its presence is extant within the lower Mogalakwena River based on specialist experience within the project area. However, ground truthing is recommended to confirm its presence, as well as the presence of the aforementioned moderately intolerant taxa, within the direct proposed prospecting area.



### **Terrestrial Biodiversity**

Due to the location of the CBA 1, proximity of the Protected Areas, potential occurrence of certain SCC and numerous wetlands within the Project Area, an assessment will need to be conducted to assess potential impacts such as habitat loss, habitat fragmentation, AIP proliferation and loss of faunal and floral SCC.

Field verification will focus on the proposed drilling locations, where impacts are expected to be greatest. It is therefore recommended to conduct an impact assessment to assess the expected losses of vegetation and flora along with associated faunal habitats. In combination with, and as part of, the EIA the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines 2013 and LCP v2 will be considered.

A strategic environmental management plan for the area should be developed and adhered to. This should include the conservation of CBAs and sensitive areas (identified during upcoming fieldwork) as well as provision of corridors for faunal migration.



## 13. References

- Climate-data.org. (n.d.). *Climate-data.org*. Retrieved from Climate-data.org: https://en.climate-data.org/
- Darwell, W., Smith, K., Tweddle, D., & Skelton, P. (2009). *The status and distribution of freshwater biodiversity in southern Africa.* Grahamstoen, South Africa: SAIAB: Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.
- DEA. (2007). Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS) Regulations.
- Department of Environmental Affairs. (2016). *National Protected Area Expansion Strategy for South Africa.* Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Environmental Affairs, Department of Mineral Resources, Chamber of Mines, South African Mining and Biodiversity Forum, & South African National Biodiversity Institute. (2013). *Mining and Biodiversity Guideline: Mainstreaming biodiversity into the mining sector.* Pretoria.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. (2005). A practical field procedure for the identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas. Pretoria: DWAF.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. (2008). Updated manual for identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas. Pretoria: DWAF.
- Desmet, P., Holness, S., Skowno, A., & Egan, V. (2013). Limpopo Conservation Plan v.2: Technical Report. Contract Number EDET/2216/2012. Report for Limpopo Department of Economic Development, Environment & Tourism (LEDET) by ECOSOL GIS.
- Driver, A., Nel, J., Snaddon, K., Murray, K., Roux, D., & Hill, L. (2011). *Implementation Manual* for Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas. Draft Report for the Water Research Commission. Retrieved from http://www.wrc.org.za/Knowledge Hub D
- Friedman, Y., & Daly, B. (2004). Red Data Book of the Mammals of South Africa: A Conservation Assessment. South Africa: CBSG Southern Africa, Conservation Breeding Specialist Group (SSC/IUCN), Endangered Wildlife Trust.
- GEOTERRAIMAGE (GTI). (2018). South African National Land Cover.
- Gerlanc, N. M. (2005). Habitat origin and changes in water chemistry influence developemnt of Westren Chorus Frogs. *Journal of Herpatology 39(2)*, 254-265.
- Kleynhans, C., Thirion, C., & Moolman, J. (2005). A Level 1 River Ecoregion classification System for South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Water.
- Köppen, W., & Geiger, R. (1936). Handbuch der klimatologie. Berlin.
- Kotze, D., & Marneweck, G. (1999). Guidelnes for delineating the wetland boundary and zones within a wetland under the South African Water Act.



- Land Type Survey Staff. (1972 2006). *Land Types of South Africa: Digital Map (1:250 000) and Soil Inventory Databases.* . Pretoria: Agricultural Research Council - Institue for Soil, Climate and Water.
- Lötter, M. C. (2015). *Technical Reort for the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan MBSP.* Nelspruit: Mpumalanga Tourism & Parks Agency.
- Macfarlane, D., Bredin, I., Adams, J., Zungu, M., Bate, G., & Dickens, C. (2014). *Preliminary Guideline for the Determination of Buffer Zones for Rivers, Wetlands and Estuaries: Consolidated Report (WRC Report No. TT 610/14, September 2014).*
- Mucina, L., & Rutherford, M. C. (2012). *The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland.* Pretoria: South African National Biodiversity Institute.
- Nel, J., Murray, K., Maherry, A., Petersen, C., Roux, D., Driver, A., . . . Nienaber, S. (2011). *Technical Report for the National Freshwater Priority Areas project.* WRC: 1801/2/11.
- SANBI. (2010). Threatened Species: A guide to Red Lists and their use in conservation. *Threaatened Species Programme*, 28.
- SANBI. (2018). National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: The status of South Africa's ecosystems and biodiversity. Synthesis Report. Pretoria: South African National Biodiversity Institute, an entity of the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries.
- SAPAD. (2020). South African Protected Areas Database.
- Skinner, J., & Chimimba, T. (2005). *The mammals of the Southern African Subregion. 3rd Edition.* South Africa: Cambridge University Press.
- Taylor MR, P. F. (2015). *The Eskom Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland.* Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.
- Tolley, M. B. (2017, June). Northern Crag Lizard (Pseudocororylus transvaalensis). RetrievedfromSouthAfricanbiodiversityhttp://speciesstatus.sanbi.org/assessment/last-assessment/275/
- Waddle, J. H. (2006). Use of amphibians as ecosystem indicator species. Dissertation. University of Florida.
- Woodhall, S. (2005). Field Guide to Butterflies of South Africa. Cape Town: Struik Publisher.
- WRC. (2007). WET-RoadMap: A Guide to the Wetland Management Series. Water Research Commission.



# Appendix A: Methodology



# **METHODOLOGY**

# **Literature Review**

Relevant literature was reviewed with respect to the biodiversity associated with the Project Area. This was completed to obtain relevant information on the wetland, freshwater and fauna and flora ecology of the Project Area and its vicinity to acquire enough information to compile a Biodiversity Screening Assessment Report.

For the purpose of this assessment, wetland areas were identified, and preliminary wetland boundaries were delineated at the desktop level using detailed aerial imagery and wetland signatures, along with 5 m contours. Baseline and background information were researched and used to understand the area on a desktop level prior to fieldwork confirmation. This included but was not limited to:

- A practical field procedure for the identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005);
- WET-RoadMap: A Guide to the Wetland Management Series (WRC, 2007);
- National Freshwater Ecological Priority Areas (NFEPA) (Driver, et al., 2011; Nel, et al., 2011);
- Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines, DEA et al. (2013);
- Limpopo Conservation Plan (Desmet, Holness, Skowno, & Egan, 2013);
- National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (Department of Environmental Affairs, 2016);
- National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: The status of South Africa's ecosystems and biodiversity (SANBI, 2018); and
- South African Protected Areas Database (SAPAD, 2020).

Relevant and available historical studies conducted within, or surrounding the Project Area, the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI), Water Management Areas (WMA) and Quaternary Catchments, the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment, Vegetation types of South Africa (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012) and Fauna distribution and identification books of South Africa (Friedman & Daly, 2004; Skinner & Chimimba, 2005) were some of the platforms used to identify and create a background study of the area.

## National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas

The NFEPA Project provides a collated, nationally consistent information source of wetland and river ecosystems for incorporating freshwater ecosystem and biodiversity goals into planning and decision-making processes (Nel, et al., 2011). The spatial layers (FEPAs) include the nationally delineated wetland areas that are classified into Hydro-geomorphic



(HGM) units and ranked in terms of their biodiversity importance. These layers were assessed to evaluate the importance of the wetlands.

The NFEPA Project represents a multi-partner Project between the CSIR, SANBI, WRC, DWS, DEA, WWF, SAIAB and SANParks. The NFEPA Project provides a collated, nationally consistent information source of wetland and river ecosystems for incorporating freshwater ecosystem and biodiversity goals into planning and decision-making processes (Nel, et al., 2011).

More specifically, the NFEPA Project aims to:

- 1. Identify FEPAs to meet national biodiversity goals for freshwater ecosystems; and
- 2. Develop a basis for enabling effective implementation of measures to protect FEPAs, including free-flowing rivers.

The first aim uses systematic biodiversity planning to identify priorities for conserving South Africa's freshwater biodiversity within the context of equitable social and economic development. The second aim is comprised of two separate components: the (i) national component aimed to align DWS and DEA policy mechanisms and tools for managing and conserving freshwater ecosystems, while the (ii) sub-national component is aimed to use three case studies to demonstrate how NFEPA products should be implemented to influence land and water resource decision-making processes. The Project further aimed to maximize synergies and alignment with other national level initiatives, including the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) and the Cross-Sector Policy Objectives for Inland Water Conservation (Driver, et al., 2011).

Based on a desktop-based modelled wetland condition and a combination of special features, including expert knowledge (e.g. intact peat wetlands, presence of rare plants and animals, etc.) and available spatial data on the occurrence of threatened frogs and wetland-dependent birds, each of the wetlands within the inventory were ranked in terms of their biodiversity importance and as such, Wetland FEPAs were identified in an effort to achieve biodiversity targets (Driver, et al., 2011). Table 1 below indicates the criteria that were considered for the ranking of each of these wetland areas. Whilst being a valuable tool, it is important to note that the FEPAs were delineated and studied at a desktop and relatively low-resolution level. Thus, the wetlands delineated via the desktop delineations and ground-truthing work done through this study may differ from the NFEPA data layers. The NFEPA assessment does, however, hold significance from a national perspective.

### Table 1: NFEPA Wetland Classification Ranking Criteria (Nel et al., 2011)

Criteria	Rank
Wetlands that intersect with a Ramsar site.	1



Criteria	Rank
<ul> <li>Wetlands within 500 m of an International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) threatened frog point locality;</li> <li>Wetlands within 500 m of a threatened water-bird point locality;</li> <li>Wetlands (excluding dams) with most of their area within a sub-quaternary catchment that has sightings or breeding areas for threatened Wattled Cranes, Grey Crowned Cranes and Blue Cranes;</li> <li>Wetlands (excluding dams) within a sub-quaternary catchment identified by experts at the regional review workshops as containing wetlands of exceptional Biodiversity importance, with valid reasons documented; and</li> <li>Wetlands (excluding dams) within a sub-quaternary catchment identified by experts at the regional review workshops as containing wetlands of exceptional Biodiversity importance, with valid reasons documented; and</li> <li>Wetlands (excluding dams) within a sub-quaternary catchment identified by experts at the regional review workshops as containing wetlands that are good, intact examples from which to choose.</li> </ul>	2
Wetlands (excluding dams) within a sub-quaternary catchment identified by experts at the regional review workshops as containing wetlands of biodiversity importance, but with no valid reasons documented.	3
Wetlands (excluding dams) in A or B condition AND associated with more than three other wetlands (both riverine and non-riverine wetlands were assessed for this criterion); and Wetlands in C condition AND associated with more than three other wetlands (both riverine and non-riverine wetlands were assessed for this criterion).	
Wetlands (excluding dams) within a sub-quaternary catchment identified by experts at the regional review workshops as containing Impacted Working for Wetland sites.	5
Any other wetland (excluding dams).	6

## • Limpopo Conservation Plan

The Limpopo Conservation Plan develops the spatial component of a bioregional plan (i.e. map of Critical Biodiversity Areas and associated land-use guidelines) (Desmet, Holness, Skowno, & Egan, 2013). Bioregional plans are one of a range of tools provided for in the Biodiversity Act that can be used to facilitate biodiversity conservation in priority areas outside the protected area network. The purpose of a bioregional plan is to inform land-use planning, environmental assessment and authorisations, and natural resource management, by a range of sectors whose policies and decisions impact on biodiversity (Desmet, Holness, Skowno, & Egan, 2013).

The publication includes terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity areas that are mapped and classified in Protected Areas (PAs), Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs), Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) or Other Natural Areas (ONAs) (Table 2).



# Table 2: Limpopo Conservation Plan Categories (Desmet, Holness, Skowno, & Egan,<br/>2013)

Map Category	Definition	Land Management Objectives
ΡΑ	Formal Protected Areas and Protected Areas pending declaration under the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (NEM:PAA).	Maintain in a natural state with limited or no biodiversity loss. Rehabilitate degraded areas to a natural or near natural state and manage for no further degradation. Development subject to Protected Area objectives and zoning in a NEMPAA compliant and approved management plan.
CBA 1	Irreplaceable Sites. Areas required to meet biodiversity pattern and/or ecological processes targets. No alternative sites are available to meet targets.	Maintain In a natural state with limited or no biodiversity loss. Rehabilitate degraded areas to a natural or near natural state and manage for no further degradation.
CBA 2	Best design selected sites. Areas selected to meet biodiversity pattern and/or ecological process targets. Alternative sites may be available to meet targets.	Maintain in a natural state with limited or no biodiversity loss. Maintain current agricultural activities. Ensure that land use is not intensified and that activities are managed to minimize impact on threatened species.
ESA 1	Natural, near natural and degraded areas supporting CBAs by maintaining ecological processes.	Maintain ecosystem functionality and connectivity allowing for limited loss of biodiversity pattern.
ESA 2	Areas with no natural habitat that is important for supporting ecological processes.	Avoid additional/new impacts on ecological processes.
ONA	Natural and intact but not required to meet targets, or identified as CBA or ESA.	No management objectives, land management recommendations or land-
No Natural Habitat Remaining	Areas with no significant direct biodiversity value. Not natural or degraded natural areas that are not required as ESA, including intensive agriculture, urban, industry; and human infrastructure.	use guidelines are prescribed. These areas are nevertheless subject to all applicable town and regional planning guidelines and policy.



### • Protected Areas

The South African Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) is a GIS inventory of all protected areas in South Africa (SAPAD, 2020). The database contains spatial data for the conservation estate of South Africa. It includes spatial and attribute information for both formally protected areas and areas that have less formal protection. Data is collected by parcels which are aggregated to protected area level and is updated on a continuous basis. It forms the basis for the Register of Protected Areas which is a legislative requirement under the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, Act 57 of 2003.

The purposed of SAPAD is to produce and maintain a comprehensive spatial database on the conservation estate in South Africa. SAPAD is suitable for a wide range of planning, assessment, and analysis and display purposes.

### National Protected Area Expansion Strategy for South Africa

The National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) sets the national framework for consolidating and expanding the existing protected area network in the country (Department of Environmental Affairs, 2016). Formally protected areas, either provincially or nationally, that occur within proximity to the project site could have consequences as far as impact on these areas is concerned. The NPAES are areas designated for future incorporation into existing protected areas (both National and Informal protected areas). These areas are large, mostly intact areas required to meet biodiversity targets, and suitable for protection. They may not necessarily be proclaimed as protected areas in the future and are a broad scale planning tool allowing for better development and conservation planning.

NPAES have been developed to coordinate the expansion of protected areas in order to ensure that a representative sample of all ecosystems as well as key ecological processes are included in the protected area network.

### National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) Terrestrial Threat Status and Protection Level

The National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) presents the best available science on South Africa's biodiversity (SANBI, 2018). It aims to inform policy, planning and decision making in a range of sectors for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. The NBA 2018 builds on the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment 2004 and 2011 thus providing a comprehensive picture of South Africa's biodiversity threat status and protection level over time (SANBI, 2018).

The NBA has four indicators, providing information on the threat status and protection level of ecosystems and species. The threat status indicators use the established IUCN Red List of Species and Red List of Ecosystems assessment frameworks. The risk of extinction (species) or collapse (ecosystems) is evaluated across all realms and for taxonomic groups for which



sufficient data exists. The protection level indicators reflect how well our species and ecosystem types are represented in the protected area network (SANBI, 2018).

### Land Cover

The current land cover was identified by aerial imagery during the desktop assessment. The maps indicate delineated areas of similar land use (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 - 2006; GEOTERRAIMAGE (GTI), 2018). Land use categories are split into:

- Plantations;
- Natural;
- Waterbodies;
- Mines;
- Urban built-up; and
- Agriculture.

### • Mining and Biodiversity Guideline

The Mining and Biodiversity Guideline was developed collaboratively by SANBI, the DEA, the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR), the Chamber of Mines and the South African Mining and Biodiversity Forum (2013). The purpose of the guideline was to provide the mining sector with a manual to integrate biodiversity into the planning process thereby encouraging informed decision-making around mining development and environmental authorisations. The aim of the guideline is to explain the value for mining companies to consider biodiversity management throughout the planning process. The guideline highlights the importance of biodiversity in managing the social, economic and environmental risk of the proposed mining Project. The country has been mapped into biodiversity priority areas including the four categories each with associated risks and implications (Department of Environmental Affairs, Department of Mineral Resources, Chamber of Mines, South African Mining and Biodiversity Forum, & South African National Biodiversity Institute, 2013) (Table 3).

Category	tegory Risk and Implications for Mining	
Legally Protected	Mining prohibited; unless authorised by ministers of both the DEA and DMR.	
Highest Biodiversity Importance	Highest Risk for Mining: the EIA process must confirm significance of the biodiversity features that may be a fatal flaw to the proposed Project. Specialists must provide site-specific recommendations for the application of the mitigation hierarchy that informs the decision-making processes of mining licences, water use licences and environmental authorisations. If granted, authorisations should set limits on allowed activities and specify biodiversity related management outcomes.	

### Table 3: Mining and Biodiversity Guideline Categories (DEA et al., 2013)



Category	Risk and Implications for Mining	
High Biodiversity Importance	High Risk for Mining: the EIA process must confirm the significance of the biodiversity features for the conservation of biodiversity priority areas Significance of impacts must be discussed as mining options are possible but must be limited. Authorisations may set limits and specify biodiversity related management outcomes.	
Moderate Biodiversity Importance	Moderate Risk for Mining: the EIA process must confirm the significance of the biodiversity features and the potential impacts as mining options must be limited but are possible. Authorisations may set limits and specify biodiversity related management outcomes.	

# **Desktop-based Delineation of Biodiversity Features**

### Wetlands

Based solely on any available contour data and high resolution aerial imagery, the freshwater resources within the vicinity of the proposed project was delineated within 500 m of the proposed mining extents at a desktop-level, and earmarked for verification in accordance with the South African Practical Field Procedure for Identification and Delineation of Wetlands and Riparian Areas (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005) as well as the "Updated manual for identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas" (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2008). These methodologies use the:

- **Terrain Unit Indicator**: Identifies those parts of the landscape where wetlands are more likely to occur;
- **Soil Form Indicator**: Identifies the soil forms, which are associated with prolonged and frequent saturation;
- **Soil Wetness Indicator**: Identifies the morphological "signatures" developed in the soil profile as a result of prolonged and frequent saturation; and
- **Vegetation Indicator**: Identifies hydrophilic vegetation associated with frequently saturated soils.

Although it is recommended that a field survey is undertaken to verify the presence and extent of the wetland delineation, if the prospecting activities remain outside these desktop-based delineated areas, it is envisaged that the ground-truthing can be delayed until a Mining Right Application.

### • Terrain Unit Indicator

Terrain Unit Indicator (TUI) areas include depressions and channels where water would be most likely to accumulate. These areas are determined with the aid of topographical maps,



contour data, aerial photographs and engineering and town planning diagrams (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). In accordance with the guidelines provided by the DWS (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005) wetlands are identified and classified into various HGM units based on their individual characteristics and setting within the landscape. The HGM unit classification system focuses on the hydro-geomorphic setting/position of wetlands in a landscape which incorporates geomorphology; water movement into, through and out of the wetland. The HGM unit is dependent on various aspects, including whether the drainage is open or close, water is dominating the system or is sub-surface water, how the water flows from and into the wetlands and how water is contained within the wetland. Once wetlands have been identified, they are categorised into HGM units as shown in Table 4.

Hydromorphic Wetland Type	Diagram	Description
Floodplain		Valley bottom areas with a well-defined stream channel stream channel, gently sloped and characterised by floodplain features such as oxbow depression and natural levees and the alluvial (by water) transport and deposition of sediment, usually leading to a net accumulation of sediment. Water inputs from main channel (when channel banks overspill) and from adjacent slopes.
Valley bottom with a channel		Valley bottom areas with a well-defined stream channel but lacking characteristic floodplain features. May be gently sloped and characterized by the net accumulation of alluvial deposits or may have steeper slopes and be characterised by the net loss of sediment. Water inputs from the main channel (when channel banks overspill) and from adjacent slopes.
Valley bottom without a channel		Valley bottom areas with no clearly defined stream channel usually gently sloped and characterised by alluvial sediment deposition, generally leading to a net accumulation of sediment. Water inputs mainly from the channel entering the wetland and also from adjacent slopes.
Hillslope seepage linked to a stream channel		Slopes on hillsides, which are characterised by colluvial (transported by gravity) movement of materials. Water inputs are mainly from sub-surface flow and outflow is usually via a well-defined stream channel connecting the area directly to a stream channel.

### Table 4: Description of the Various HGM Units for Wetland Classification



Hydromorphic Wetland Type	Diagram	Description
Isolated hillslope seepage		Slopes on hillsides that are characterised by colluvial transport (transported by gravity) movement of materials. Water inputs are from sub-surface flow and outflow either very limited or through diffuse sub-surface flow but with no direct link to a surface water channel.
Pan/Depression		A basin-shaped area with a closed elevation contour that allows for the accumulation of surface water (i.e. It is inward draining). It may also receive subsurface water. An outlet is usually absent and so this type of wetland is usually isolated from the stream network.

### Soil Indicators

### Soil Form Indicators

Hydromorphic soils are characterized as soils that has undergone redox reactions because of the fluctuation of water and oxygen within the soil profile, creating segregations of iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) particles. This fluctuation of water and oxygen in the soils can be attributed to the fluctuating ground water table, creating seasonal, temporary and permanent wet zones. Hydromorphic soils are thus Soil Form Indicators (SFI) which will display unique characteristics resulting from prolonged and repeated water saturation (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). The permanent, as well as occasional saturation of soil results in anaerobic conditions of the soils causing a chemical, physical and biological change to the soil.

Hydromorphic soils are often identified by the colours of various soil components. The frequency and duration of the soil saturation periods strongly influences the colours of these components. Grey colours become more prominent in the soil matrix the higher the duration and frequency of saturation in a soil profile (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). A feature of hydromorphic soils are coloured mottles (iron and manganese accumulation) which are usually absent in permanently saturated soils and are most prominent in seasonally saturated soils and are less abundant in temporarily saturated soils (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). The hydromorphic soils must display signs of wetness within 50 cm of the soil surface, as this is necessary to support hydrophytic vegetation.

Soils that are commonly associated with wetlands are: Champagne, Rensburg, Arcadia, Katspruit, Kroonstad, Longlands, Fernwood and Westley soil forms. These soil forms are associated with high clay content and accumulation of clay, promoting water logging and



creating low drainage, thus water logging conditions. These soils are commonly associated with low-laying landscapes such as valley bottoms, foot-slopes and mid-slopes.

### Soil Wetness Indicators

In practice, the Soil Wetness Indictor (SWI) is used as the primary indicator (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). Iron and manganese accumulation in a soil profile, termed mottles, are some of the recognized 'wet-indicators'. These two elements are insoluble under aerobic (unsaturated) conditions and become soluble when the soil becomes anaerobic (saturated). The fluctuating water table creates these conditions by increasing and reducing the oxygen levels in the soil profile by increased and reduced water levels. Iron is one of the most abundant elements in soils and is responsible for the red and brown chroma of many soils.

During anaerobic (saturated) conditions, the iron and manganese in the soils are mobile and thus begin to leach out of the soil profile. Where oxidation takes place around for example roots, aggregate surfaces and pores, relatively insoluble ferric oxides is deposited leading to formation of red/green mottles and concretions. These soil profiles are commonly known as leached soils, gleysol, E-horizons or Albic horizons. Resulting from the prolonged anaerobic conditions, the soil matrix is left a grey, greenish or bluish colour, and is said to be "gleyed". Recurrence of the cycle of wetting and drying over many decades concentrates these insoluble iron compounds. Thus, soil that is gleyed and has mottles within the first 0.5 m of the surface are indicating a zone that is seasonally or temporarily saturated, interpreted and classified as a wetland (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005).

### • Vegetation Indicator

Plant communities undergo distinct changes in species composition along the wetness gradient from the centre of the wetland to the edge, and into adjacent terrestrial areas. Valuable information for determining the wetland boundary and wetness zone is derived from the change in species composition. A supplementary method for employing vegetation as an indicator is to use the broad classification of the wetland plants according to their occurrence in the wetlands and wetness zones (Kotze & Marneweck, 1999; Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). This is summarised in Table below.

When using vegetation indicators for delineation, emphasis is placed on the group of species that dominate the plant community, rather than on individual indicator species (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). Areas where soils are a poor indicator (black clay, vertic soils), vegetation (as well as topographical setting) is relied on to a greater extent and the use of the wetland species classification as per Table 5 becomes more important. If vegetation was to be used as a primary indicator, undisturbed conditions and expert knowledge are required (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005). Due to this uncertainty, greater emphasis is often placed on the SWI to delineate wetland areas.



### Table 5: Classification of Plant Species According to Occurrence in Wetlands

Туре	Description
Obligate Wetland Species (OW)	Almost always grow in wetlands: > 99% of occurrences.
Facultative Wetland Species (FW)	Usually grow in wetlands but occasionally are found in non- wetland areas: 67-99% of occurrences.
Facultative Species (F)	Are equally likely to grow in wetlands and non-wetland areas: 34-66% of occurrences.
Facultative Dry-land Species (FD)	Usually grow in non-wetland areas but sometimes grow in wetlands: 1-34% of occurrences.

(Source: (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2005))

Based on the national land cover data, as well as the vegetation map of South Africa, broadlevel terrestrial habitat types were also tentatively delineated and any habitat of significant potential concern (e.g. ridges) was flagged for further investigation.

### Flora and Fauna

The following ecological databases were researched in line with the locality of the Project Area guided by the QDS (2428BB and 2428BD):

- The South African Bird Atlas Project 2 (SABAP2) database for expected bird species;
- The SANBI Plants of South Africa (NEWPOSA) for expected floral species to occur; and
- The Animal Demographic Unit Virtual Museum database (<u>http://vmus.adu.org.za</u>) for expected faunal species to occur.

## **Sensitivity Analysis**

In an effort to mitigate against any potential diffuse impacts (e.g. runoff contamination, erosion and sedimentation, etc.) likely to originate from the prospecting activities, a desktop-based buffer distance was determined using the Preliminary Guidelines for Buffer Zone Tool (Macfarlane & Bredin, 2016a, Macfarlane & Bredin, 2016b). There are number of functions that these potential buffer zones are expected to fulfil when these delineations and/or demarcations are provided a standard measure of mitigation.

Similarly, the Conservation Plan applicable to the Limpopo Province helped inform the importance and sensitivity of the delineated habitat types and potential presence of species of conservation concern. All other publicly available databases was also reviewed in an effort to support the conservation of habitat of significant importance to ecological functionality and/or preservation of biodiversity.



# Appendix B: Expected Species



# Table 1: Expected Plant Species

Family	Genus	Sp1	Rank1	Sp2	Sp3	
Rubiaceae	Afrocanthium	gilfillanii				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Albizia	tanganyicensis	subsp.	tanganyicensis		Indigenous
Icacinaceae	Apodytes	dimidiata	subsp.	dimidiata		Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Aptosimum	patulum				Indigenous; En
Asparagaceae	Asparagus	cooperi				Indigenous
Aspleniaceae	Asplenium	aethiopicum				Indigenous
Aspleniaceae	Asplenium	cordatum				Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Barleria	mackenii				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Bauhinia	petersiana	subsp.	macrantha		Indigenous
Rhamnaceae	Berchemia	zeyheri				Indigenous
Elatinaceae	Bergia	salaria				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Berkheya	carlinopsis	subsp.	magalismontana		Indigenous; En
Acanthaceae	Blepharis	breyeri				Indigenous; En
Acanthaceae	Blepharis	diversispina				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Brachylaena	huillensis				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Brachylaena	ilicifolia				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Brachylaena	rotundata				Indigenous
Phyllanthaceae	Bridelia	mollis				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Burkea	africana				Indigenous
Capparaceae	Cadaba	aphylla				Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Chascanum	hederaceum	var.	hederaceum		Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Chascanum	incisum				Indigenous
Cleomaceae	Cleome	hirta				Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Clerodendrum	ternatum				Indigenous
Cucurbitaceae	Coccinia	sessilifolia				Indigenous
Combretaceae	Combretum	imberbe				Indigenous
Combretaceae	Combretum	molle				Indigenous
Combretaceae	Combretum	apiculatum	subsp.	apiculatum		Indigenous
Combretaceae	Combretum	zeyheri				Indigenous

Ecology	
ndemic	
ndemic	
ndemic	



Family	Genus	Sp1	Rank1	Sp2	Sp3	
Combretaceae	Combretum	hereroense				Indigenous
Commelinaceae	Commelina	africana	var.	krebsiana		Indigenous
Burseraceae	Commiphora	pyracanthoides				Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Convolvulus	sagittatus				Indigenous
Amaryllidaceae	Crinum	lugardiae				Indigenous
Cucurbitaceae	Cucumis	cinereus				Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus	pseudokyllingioides				Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus	obtusiflorus	var.	obtusiflorus		Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus	rupestris	var.	rupestris		Indigenous
Poaceae	Dactyloctenium	aegyptium				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Denekia	capensis				Indigenous
Pedaliaceae	Dicerocaryum	senecioides				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Dichrostachys	cinerea	subsp.	africana	africana	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Dombeya	rotundifolia	var.	rotundifolia		Indigenous
Poaceae	Eleusine	indica				Indigenous
Equisetaceae	Equisetum	ramosissimum	subsp.	ramosissimum		Indigenous
Loranthaceae	Erianthemum	ngamicum				Indigenous
Sapindaceae	Erythrophysa	transvaalensis				Indigenous
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis				Not indigenous; Invasive
Ebenaceae	Euclea	natalensis	subsp.	angustifolia		Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Euclea	sp.				
Ebenaceae	Euclea	sekhukhuniensis				Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Euclea	undulata				Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia	schinzii				Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia	lugardiae				Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia	limpopoana				Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia	guerichiana				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Faidherbia	albida				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Felicia	mossamedensis				Indigenous

Ecology
s; Cultivated; Naturalised;



Family	Genus	Sp1	Rank1	Sp2	Sp3	
Moraceae	Ficus	tettensis				Indigenous
Moraceae	Ficus	abutilifolia				Indigenous
Moraceae	Ficus	thonningii				Indigenous
Phyllanthaceae	Flueggea	virosa	subsp.	virosa		Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Freylinia	tropica				Indigenous
Iridaceae	Gladiolus	dolomiticus				Indigenous; End
Malvaceae	Gossypium	herbaceum	subsp.	africanum		Indigenous
Malvaceae	Grewia	vernicosa				Indigenous; End
Malvaceae	Grewia	subspathulata				Indigenous
Malvaceae	Grewia	flava				Indigenous
Malvaceae	Grewia	flavescens				Indigenous
Pedaliaceae	Harpagophytum	zeyheri	subsp.	zeyheri		Indigenous
Pedaliaceae	Harpagophytum	sp.				
Rhamnaceae	Helinus	integrifolius				Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hermannia	boraginiflora				Indigenous
Apiaceae	Heteromorpha	arborescens				Indigenous
Annonaceae	Hexalobus	monopetalus	var.	monopetalus		Indigenous
Sapindaceae	Hippobromus	pauciflorus				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Hirpicium	bechuanense				Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Huernia	zebrina	subsp.	zebrina		Indigenous
Hypoxidaceae	Hypoxis	hemerocallidea				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera	enormis				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera	heterotricha				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera	subulata				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera	flavicans				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera	bainesii				Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Ipomoea	bolusiana				Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Ipomoea	sinensis	subsp.	blepharosepala		Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Ipomoea	transvaalensis				Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Ipomoea	crassipes				Indigenous

Ecology
ndemic
ndemic



Family	Genus	Sp1	Rank1	Sp2	Sp3	
Scrophulariaceae	Jamesbrittenia	atropurpurea	subsp.	atropurpurea		Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Jatropha	zeyheri				Indigenous
Juncaceae	Juncus	rigidus				Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Justicia	divaricata				Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Justicia	flava				Indigenous
Crassulaceae	Kalanchoe	longiflora				Indigenous; Er
Kirkiaceae	Kirkia	wilmsii				Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Kyllinga	alba				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Leobordea	hirsuta				Indigenous; End
Limeaceae	Limeum	sulcatum	var.	sulcatum		Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Lipocarpha	nana				Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Lippia	scaberrima				Indigenous
Solanaceae	Lycium	cinereum				Indigenous
Maesaceae	Maesa	lanceolata				Indigenous
Celastraceae	Maytenus	undata				Indigenous
Malvaceae	Melhania	rehmannii				Indigenous
Sapotaceae	Mimusops	zeyheri				Indigenous
Cucurbitaceae	Momordica	repens				Indigenous
Cucurbitaceae	Momordica	balsamina				Indigenous
Geraniaceae	Monsonia	glauca				Indigenous
Lythraceae	Nesaea	dinteri	subsp.	elata		Indigenous
Stilbaceae	Nuxia	gracilis				Indigenous; End
Lamiaceae	Ocimum	pseudoserratum				Indigenous; End
Loranthaceae	Oncocalyx	bolusii				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Ormocarpum	trichocarpum				Indigenous
Colchicaceae	Ornithoglossum	vulgare				Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Orthosiphon	suffrutescens				Indigenous
Poaceae	Oryza	longistaminata				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Otoptera	burchellii				Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Ozoroa	paniculosa	var.	paniculosa		Indigenous

Ecology
Endemic
ndemic
ndemic
ndemic



Family	Genus	Sp1	Rank1	Sp2	Sp3	
Anacardiaceae	Ozoroa	albicans				Indigenous; End
Asteraceae	Parapolydora	fastigiata				Indigenous
Malvaceae	Pavonia	transvaalensis				Indigenous; End
Asteraceae	Pentzia	calcarea				Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Petalidium	oblongifolium				Indigenous; End
Asteraceae	Phymaspermum	athanasioides				Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Plectranthus	neochilus				Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala	producta				Indigenous
Asteraceae	Printzia	auriculata				Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Priva	flabelliformis				Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Priva	adhaerens				Indigenous
Molluginaceae	Psammotropha	mucronata	var.	foliosa		Indigenous
Pedaliaceae	Pterodiscus	ngamicus				Indigenous
Vitaceae	Rhoicissus	revoilii				Indigenous
Vitaceae	Rhoicissus	tridentata	subsp.	cuneifolia		Indigenous
Fabaceae	Rhynchosia	densiflora	subsp.	chrysadenia		Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Ruellia	patula				Indigenous
Salicaceae	Salix	mucronata	subsp.	woodii		Indigenous
Fabaceae	Schotia	brachypetala				Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia	discolor				Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Securidaca	longepedunculata	var.	longepedunculata		Indigenous
Fabaceae	Senegalia	nigrescens				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Senegalia	caffra				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Senna	didymobotrya				Not indigenous; Invasive
Malvaceae	Sida	chrysantha				Indigenous
Malvaceae	Sida	ovata				Indigenous
Solanaceae	Solanum	campylacanthum				Indigenous
Poaceae	Sorghum	bicolor	subsp.	arundinaceum		Indigenous
Asteraceae	Sphaeranthus	peduncularis	subsp.	peduncularis		Indigenous

Ecology
ndemic
ndemic
ndemic
is; Cultivated; Naturalised;



Family	Genus	Sp1	Rank1	Sp2	Sp3	
Orobanchaceae	Striga	gesnerioides				Indigenous
Loganiaceae	Strychnos	madagascariensis				Indigenous
Loganiaceae	Strychnos	cocculoides				Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Syncolostemon	petiolatus				Indigenous
Myrtaceae	Syzygium	cordatum	subsp.	cordatum		Indigenous
Fabaceae	Tephrosia	burchellii				Indigenous
Combretaceae	Terminalia	sericea				Indigenous
Combretaceae	Terminalia	prunioides				Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Thunbergia	neglecta				Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Tragia	dioica				Indigenous
Malpighiaceae	Triaspis	glaucophylla				Indigenous; End
Fabaceae	Tylosema	fassoglense				Indigenous
Typhaceae	Typha	capensis				Indigenous
Poaceae	Urochloa	trichopus				Indigenous
Poaceae	Urochloa	panicoides				Indigenous
Poaceae	Urochloa	brachyura				Indigenous
Poaceae	Urochloa	mosambicensis				Indigenous
Poaceae	Urochloa	oligotricha				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Vachellia	erioloba				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Vachellia	grandicornuta				Indigenous
Fabaceae	Vachellia	tortilis	subsp.	heteracantha		Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Vangueria	triflora				Indigenous; End
Rubiaceae	Vangueria	madagascariensis				Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Vitex	rehmannii				Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Volkameria	glabra				Indigenous
Solanaceae	Withania	somnifera				Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Xenostegia	tridentata	subsp.	angustifolia		Indigenous
Aizoaceae	Zaleya	pentandra				Indigenous

Ecology
ndemic
ndemic



# Table 2: Expected Mammals

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Conservation Status
Bathyergidae	Cryptomys hottentotus	Southern African Mole-rat	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	Aepyceros melampus	Impala	Least Concern
Bovidae	Connochaetes taurinus	Blue Wildebeest	Least Concern (ver 3.1, 2017)
Bovidae	Connochaetes taurinus taurinus		Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	Damaliscus lunatus lunatus	(Southern African) Tsessebe	Vulnerable (2016)
Bovidae	Hippotragus niger niger		Vulnerable (2016)
Bovidae	Kobus ellipsiprymnus ellipsiprymnus		Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	Oreotragus oreotragus	Klipspringer	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	Raphicerus campestris	Steenbok	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	Redunca fulvorufula	Mountain Reedbuck	Least Concern
Bovidae	Sylvicapra grimmia	Bush Duiker	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	Tragelaphus angasii	Nyala	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	Tragelaphus scriptus	Bushbuck	Least Concern
Bovidae	Tragelaphus strepsiceros	Greater Kudu	Least Concern (2016)
Canidae	Canis mesomelas	Black-backed Jackal	Least Concern (2016)
Equidae	Equus quagga	Plains Zebra	Least Concern (2016)
Felidae	Caracal caracal	Caracal	Least Concern (2016)
Felidae	Leptailurus serval	Serval	Near Threatened (2016)
Felidae	Panthera pardus	Leopard	Vulnerable (2016)
Galagidae	Galago senegalensis	Senegal Bushbaby	Least Concern (2016)
Giraffidae	Giraffa giraffa giraffa	South African Giraffe	Least Concern (2016)
Herpestidae	Helogale parvula	Common Dwarf Mongoose	Least Concern (2016)
Hyaenidae	Hyaena brunnea	Brown Hyena	Near Threatened (2015)
Hystricidae	Hystrix africaeaustralis	Cape Porcupine	Least Concern
Leporidae	Lepus saxatilis	Scrub Hare	Least Concern
Muridae	Aethomys ineptus	Tete Veld Aethomys	Least Concern (2016)
Muridae	Aethomys namaquensis	Namaqua Rock Mouse	Least Concern
Muridae	Gerbilliscus leucogaster	Bushveld Gerbil	Least Concern (2016)
Muridae	Mastomys coucha	Southern African Mastomys	Least Concern (2016)



Muridae	Mastomys natalensis	Natal Mastomys	Least Concern (2016)
Muridae	Mus (Nannomys) minutoides	Southern African Pygmy Mouse	Least Concern
Muridae	Otomys angoniensis	Angoni Vlei Rat	Least Concern (2016)
Muridae	Rattus rattus	Roof Rat	Least Concern
Muridae	Thallomys paedulcus	Acacia Thallomys	Least Concern (2016)
Mustelidae	Mellivora capensis	Honey Badger	Least Concern (2016)
Nesomyidae	Saccostomus campestris	Southern African Pouched Mouse	Least Concern (2016)
Orycteropodidae	Orycteropus afer	Aardvark	Least Concern (2016)
Pedetidae	Pedetes capensis	South African Spring Hare	Least Concern (2016)
Rhinolophidae	Rhinolophus smithersi	Smithers' Horseshoe Bat	Near Threatened (2016)
Sciuridae	Paraxerus cepapi	Smith's Bush Squirrel	Least Concern (2016)
Soricidae	Crocidura hirta	Lesser Red Musk Shrew	Least Concern (2016)
Suidae	Phacochoerus africanus	Common Warthog	Least Concern (2016)
Suidae	Potamochoerus porcus	Red River Hog	Least Concern (2016)
Viverridae	Civettictis civetta	African Civet	Least Concern (2016)

## Table 3: Expected Birds

Group	Common Name	Genus	Species	Conservation Status
Apalis	Bar-throated	Apalis	thoracica	LC
Apalis	Yellow-breasted	Apalis	flavida	LC
Avocet	Pied	Recurvirostra	avosetta	LC
Babbler	Arrow-marked	Turdoides	jardineii	LC
Barbet	Acacia Pied	Tricholaema	leucomelas	LC
Barbet	Black-collared	Lybius	torquatus	LC
Barbet	Crested	Trachyphonus	vaillantii	LC
Batis	Chinspot	Batis	molitor	LC
Bee-eater	Blue-cheeked	Merops	persicus	LC
Bee-eater	European	Merops	apiaster	LC
Bee-eater	Little	Merops	pusillus	LC



Bee-eater	White-fronted	Merops	bullockoides	LC
Bee-eater	European	Merops	apiaster	LC
Bishop	Southern Red	Euplectes	orix	LC
Bishop	Yellow-crowned	Euplectes	afer	LC
Bittern	Little	Ixobrychus	minutus	LC
Boubou	Southern	Laniarius	ferrugineus	LC
Brownbul	Terrestrial	Phyllastrephus	terrestris	LC
Brubru	Brubru	Nilaus	afer	LC
Bulbul	Dark-capped	Pycnonotus	tricolor	LC
Bunting	Cinnamon-breasted	Emberiza	tahapisi	LC
Bunting	Golden-breasted	Emberiza	flaviventris	LC
Bush-shrike	Grey-headed	Malaconotus	blanchoti	LC
Bush-shrike	Orange-breasted	Telophorus	sulfureopectus	LC
Buttonquail	Kurrichane	Turnix	sylvaticus	LC
Buzzard	Steppe	Buteo	vulpinus	LC
Buzzard	Lizard	Kaupifalco	monogrammicus	LC
Canary	Black-throated	Crithagra	atrogularis	LC
Canary	Yellow	Crithagra	flaviventris	LC
Canary	Yellow-fronted	Crithagra	mozambicus	LC
Chat	Familiar	Cercomela	familiaris	LC
Cisticola	Lazy	Cisticola	aberrans	LC
Cisticola	Rattling	Cisticola	chiniana	LC
Cisticola	Zitting	Cisticola	juncidis	LC
Cisticola	Desert	Cisticola	aridulus	LC
Cisticola	Lazy	Cisticola	aberrans	LC
Cisticola	Levaillant's	Cisticola	tinniens	LC
Cliff-chat	Mocking	Thamnolaea	cinnamomeiventris	LC
Coot	Red-knobbed	Fulica	cristata	LC
Cormorant	Reed	Phalacrocorax	africanus	LC
Cormorant	White-breasted	Phalacrocorax	carbo	LC
Coucal	Burchell's	Centropus	burchellii	LC



Crake	Black	Amaurornis	flavirostris	LC
Crombec	Long-billed	Sylvietta	rufescens	LC
Crow	Pied	Corvus	albus	LC
Cuckoo	Black	Cuculus	clamosus	LC
Cuckoo	Diderick	Chrysococcyx	caprius	LC
Cuckoo	Jacobin	Clamator	jacobinus	LC
Cuckoo	Klaas's	Chrysococcyx	klaas	LC
Cuckoo	Levaillant's	Clamator	levaillantii	LC
Cuckoo	Red-chested	Cuculus	solitarius	LC
Cuckoo	Diderick	Chrysococcyx	caprius	LC
Cuckoo-shrike	Black	Campephaga	flava	LC
Darter	African	Anhinga	rufa	LC
Dove	Laughing	Streptopelia	senegalensis	LC
Dove	Namaqua	Oena	capensis	LC
Dove	Red-eyed	Streptopelia	semitorquata	LC
Dove	Rock	Columba	livia	LC
Drongo	Fork-tailed	Dicrurus	adsimilis	LC
Duck	African Black	Anas	sparsa	LC
Duck	Fulvous	Dendrocygna	bicolor	LC
Duck	Knob-billed	Sarkidiornis	melanotos	LC
Duck	Mallard	Anas	platyrhynchos	LC
Duck	White-backed	Thalassornis	leuconotus	LC
Duck	White-faced	Dendrocygna	viduata	LC
Duck	Yellow-billed	Anas	undulata	LC
Duck	Knob-billed	Sarkidiornis	melanotos	LC
Duck	Массоа	Oxyura	тассоа	LC
Duck	Yellow-billed	Anas	undulata	LC
Eagle	Long-crested	Lophaetus	occipitalis	LC
Eagle	Tawny	Aquila	rapax	LC
Eagle	Verreaux's	Aquila	verreauxii	VU
Eagle	Wahlberg's	Aquila	wahlbergi	LC



1				
Egret	Little	Egretta	garzetta	LC
Egret	Yellow-billed	Egretta	intermedia	LC
Egret	Cattle	Bubulcus	ibis	LC
Egret	Great	Egretta	alba	LC
Eremomela	Burnt-necked	Eremomela	usticollis	LC
Eremomela	Yellow-bellied	Eremomela	icteropygialis	LC
Eremomela	Burnt-necked	Eremomela	usticollis	LC
Falcon	Amur	Falco	amurensis	LC
Finch	Scaly-feathered	Sporopipes	squamifrons	LC
Finch	Cut-throat	Amadina	fasciata	LC
Finch	Red-headed	Amadina	erythrocephala	LC
Firefinch	Jameson's	Lagonosticta	rhodopareia	LC
Firefinch	Red-billed	Lagonosticta	senegala	LC
Firefinch	African	Lagonosticta	rubricata	LC
Fiscal	Common (Southern)	Lanius	collaris	LC
Fish-eagle	African	Haliaeetus	vocifer	LC
Flamingo	Greater	Phoenicopterus	ruber	NT
Flycatcher	Fiscal	Sigelus	silens	LC
Flycatcher	Marico	Bradornis	mariquensis	LC
Flycatcher	Pale	Bradornis	pallidus	LC
Flycatcher	Southern Black	Melaenornis	pammelaina	LC
Flycatcher	Spotted	Muscicapa	striata	LC
Francolin	Crested	Dendroperdix	sephaena	LC
Francolin	Coqui	Peliperdix	coqui	LC
Go-away-bird	Grey	Corythaixoides	concolor	LC
Goose	Egyptian	Alopochen	aegyptiacus	LC
Goose	Spur-winged	Plectropterus	gambensis	LC
Goshawk	African	Accipiter	tachiro	LC
Grebe	Great Crested	Podiceps	cristatus	LC
Grebe	Little	Tachybaptus	ruficollis	LC
Greenbul	Yellow-bellied	Chlorocichla	flaviventris	LC



Green-pigeon	African	Treron	calvus	LC
Greenshank	Common	Tringa	nebularia	LC
Guineafowl	Helmeted	Numida	meleagris	LC
Hamerkop	Hamerkop	Scopus	umbretta	LC
Harrier-Hawk	African	Polyboroides	typus	LC
Helmet-shrike	White-crested	Prionops	plumatus	LC
Heron	Black	Egretta	ardesiaca	LC
Heron	Black-headed	Ardea	melanocephala	LC
Heron	Goliath	Ardea	goliath	LC
Heron	Green-backed	Butorides	striata	LC
Heron	Grey	Ardea	cinerea	LC
Heron	Purple	Ardea	purpurea	LC
Heron	Squacco	Ardeola	ralloides	LC
Honeyguide	Greater	Indicator	indicator	LC
Honeyguide	Lesser	Indicator	minor	LC
Ноорое	African	Upupa	africana	LC
Hornbill	Southern Red-billed	Tockus	rufirostris	LC
Hornbill	African Grey	Tockus	nasutus	LC
Hornbill	Southern Yellow-billed	Tockus	leucomelas	LC
House-martin	Common	Delichon	urbicum	LC
Ibis	African Sacred	Threskiornis	aethiopicus	LC
Ibis	Glossy	Plegadis	falcinellus	LC
Ibis	Hadeda	Bostrychia	hagedash	LC
Indigobird	Village	Vidua	chalybeata	LC
Jacana	African	Actophilornis	africanus	LC
Kestrel	Greater	Falco	rupicoloides	LC
Kestrel	Lesser	Falco	naumanni	LC
Kestrel	Rock	Falco	rupicolus	LC
Kingfisher	Brown-hooded	Halcyon	albiventris	LC
Kingfisher	Giant	Megaceryle	maximus	LC
Kingfisher	Malachite	Alcedo	cristata	LC



Kingfisher	Pied	Ceryle	rudis	LC
Kingfisher	Striped	Halcyon	chelicuti	LC
Kingfisher	Woodland	Halcyon	senegalensis	LC
Kite	Yellow-billed	Milvus	aegyptius	LC
Kite	Black-shouldered	Elanus	caeruleus	LC
Korhaan	Red-crested	Lophotis	ruficrista	LC
Lapwing	African Wattled	Vanellus	senegallus	LC
Lapwing	Blacksmith	Vanellus	armatus	LC
Lapwing	Crowned	Vanellus	coronatus	LC
Lark	Rufous-naped	Mirafra	africana	LC
Lark	Sabota	Calendulauda	sabota	LC
Lark	Red-capped	Calandrella	cinerea	LC
Mannikin	Bronze	Spermestes	cucullatus	LC
Martin	Brown-throated	Riparia	paludicola	LC
Martin	Banded	Riparia	cincta	LC
Martin	Rock	Hirundo	fuligula	LC
Masked-weaver	Lesser	Ploceus	intermedius	LC
Masked-weaver	Southern	Ploceus	velatus	LC
Moorhen	Common	Gallinula	chloropus	LC
Mousebird	Red-faced	Urocolius	indicus	LC
Mousebird	Speckled	Colius	striatus	LC
Myna	Common	Acridotheres	tristis	LC
Neddicky	Neddicky	Cisticola	fulvicapilla	LC
Night-Heron	Black-crowned	Nycticorax	nycticorax	LC
Nightjar	Freckled	Caprimulgus	tristigma	LC
Nightjar	Fiery-necked	Caprimulgus	pectoralis	LC
Nightjar	Freckled	Caprimulgus	tristigma	LC
Oriole	Black-headed	Oriolus	larvatus	LC
Osprey	Osprey	Pandion	haliaetus	LC
Ostrich	Common	Struthio	camelus	LC
Owl	Barn	Туto	alba	LC



Owlet	Pearl-spotted	Glaucidium	perlatum	LC
Oxpecker	Red-billed	Buphagus	erythrorhynchus	LC
Paradise-flycatcher	African	Terpsiphone	viridis	LC
Paradise-whydah	Long-tailed	Vidua	paradisaea	LC
Penduline-tit	Саре	Anthoscopus	minutus	LC
Petronia	Yellow-throated	Petronia	superciliaris	LC
Pigeon	Speckled	Columba	guinea	LC
Pipit	African	Anthus	cinnamomeus	LC
Pipit	Buffy	Anthus	vaalensis	LC
Plover	Kittlitz's	Charadrius	pecuarius	LC
Plover	Three-banded	Charadrius	tricollaris	LC
Pochard	Southern	Netta	erythrophthalma	LC
Pratincole	Black-winged	Glareola	nordmanni	LC
Prinia	Black-chested	Prinia	flavicans	LC
Prinia	Tawny-flanked	Prinia	subflava	LC
Puffback	Black-backed	Dryoscopus	cubla	LC
Pytilia	Green-winged	Pytilia	melba	LC
Quailfinch	African	Ortygospiza	atricollis	LC
Quelea	Red-billed	Quelea	quelea	LC
Rail	African	Rallus	caerulescens	LC
Reed-warbler	Great	Acrocephalus	arundinaceus	LC
Reed-warbler	African	Acrocephalus	baeticatus	LC
Robin-chat	Саре	Cossypha	caffra	LC
Robin-chat	White-throated	Cossypha	humeralis	LC
Roller	European	Coracias	garrulus	NT
Roller	Lilac-breasted	Coracias	caudatus	LC
Roller	Purple	Coracias	naevius	LC
Ruff	Ruff	Philomachus	pugnax	LC
Rush-warbler	Little	Bradypterus	baboecala	LC
Sandpiper	Common	Actitis	hypoleucos	LC
Sandpiper	Wood	Tringa	glareola	LC



Sandpiper	Marsh	Tringa	stagnatilis	LC
Scimitarbill	Common	Rhinopomastus	cyanomelas	LC
Scrub-robin	Kalahari	Cercotrichas	paena	LC
Scrub-robin	White-browed	Cercotrichas	leucophrys	LC
Secretarybird	Secretarybird	Sagittarius	serpentarius	VU
Seedeater	Streaky-headed	Crithagra	gularis	LC
Shikra	Shikra	Accipiter	badius	LC
Shoveler	Саре	Anas	smithii	LC
Shrike	Crimson-breasted	Laniarius	atrococcineus	LC
Shrike	Lesser Grey	Lanius	minor	LC
Shrike	Magpie	Urolestes	melanoleucus	LC
Shrike	Red-backed	Lanius	collurio	LC
Shrike	Southern White-crowned	Eurocephalus	anguitimens	LC
Shrike	Magpie	Urolestes	melanoleucus	LC
Snake-eagle	Brown	Circaetus	cinereus	LC
Snake-eagle	Black-chested	Circaetus	pectoralis	LC
Snipe	African	Gallinago	nigripennis	LC
Sparrow	Саре	Passer	melanurus	LC
Sparrow	House	Passer	domesticus	LC
Sparrow	Southern Grey-headed	Passer	diffusus	LC
Sparrow-weaver	White-browed	Plocepasser	mahali	LC
Spoonbill	African	Platalea	alba	LC
Spurfowl	Natal	Pternistis	natalensis	LC
Spurfowl	Swainson's	Pternistis	swainsonii	LC
Starling	Cape Glossy	Lamprotornis	nitens	LC
Starling	Red-winged	Onychognathus	morio	LC
Starling	Violet-backed	Cinnyricinclus	leucogaster	LC
Starling	Wattled	Creatophora	cinerea	LC
Starling	Burchell's	Lamprotornis	australis	LC
Stilt	Black-winged	Himantopus	himantopus	LC
Stint	Little	Calidris	minuta	LC



Stonechat	African	Saxicola	torquatus	LC
Stork	Marabou	Leptoptilos	crumeniferus	NT
Stork	Saddle-billed	Ephippiorhynchus	senegalensis	EN
Stork	Yellow-billed	Mycteria	ibis	EN
Sunbird	Amethyst	Chalcomitra	amethystina	LC
Sunbird	Marico	Cinnyris	mariquensis	LC
Sunbird	White-bellied	Cinnyris	talatala	LC
Sunbird	Greater Double-collared	Cinnyris	afer	LC
Swallow	Barn	Hirundo	rustica	LC
Swallow	Greater Striped	Hirundo	cucullata	LC
Swallow	Lesser Striped	Hirundo	abyssinica	LC
Swallow	Pearl-breasted	Hirundo	dimidiata	LC
Swallow	Red-breasted	Hirundo	semirufa	LC
Swallow	White-throated	Hirundo	albigularis	LC
Swamphen	African Purple	Porphyrio	madagascariensis	LC
Swamp-warbler	Lesser	Acrocephalus	gracilirostris	LC
Swift	Little	Apus	affinis	LC
Swift	White-rumped	Apus	caffer	LC
Swift	Horus	Apus	horus	LC
Tchagra	Black-crowned	Tchagra	senegalus	LC
Tchagra	Brown-crowned	Tchagra	australis	LC
Teal	Hottentot	Anas	hottentota	LC
Teal	Red-billed	Anas	erythrorhyncha	LC
Tern	Whiskered	Chlidonias	hybrida	LC
Tern	White-winged	Chlidonias	leucopterus	LC
Thick-knee	Spotted	Burhinus	capensis	LC
Thrush	Groundscraper	Psophocichla	litsipsirupa	LC
Thrush	Karoo	Turdus	smithi	LC
Thrush	Kurrichane	Turdus	libonyanus	LC
Tinkerbird	Yellow-fronted	Pogoniulus	chrysoconus	LC
Tit	Ashy	Parus	cinerascens	LC



Tit	Southern Black	Parus	niger	LC
Tit-babbler	Chestnut-vented	Parisoma	subcaeruleum	LC
Tit-flycatcher	Grey	Myioparus	plumbeus	LC
Turtle-dove	Саре	Streptopelia	capicola	LC
Wagtail	African Pied	Motacilla	aguimp	LC
Warbler	Willow	Phylloscopus	trochilus	LC
Warbler	Icterine	Hippolais	icterina	LC
Warbler	Marsh	Acrocephalus	palustris	LC
Warbler	Olive-tree	Hippolais	olivetorum	LC
Warbler	Sedge	Acrocephalus	schoenobaenus	LC
Waxbill	Blue	Uraeginthus	angolensis	LC
Waxbill	Common	Estrilda	astrild	LC
Waxbill	Orange-breasted	Amandava	subflava	LC
Waxbill	Violet-eared	Granatina	granatina	LC
Waxbill	Black-faced	Estrilda	erythronotos	LC
Weaver	Spectacled	Ploceus	ocularis	LC
Weaver	Thick-billed	Amblyospiza	albifrons	LC
Weaver	Village	Ploceus	cucullatus	LC
Weaver	Саре	Ploceus	capensis	LC
Weaver	Red-headed	Anaplectes	rubriceps	LC
White-eye	Саре	Zosterops	virens	LC
Whydah	Pin-tailed	Vidua	macroura	LC
Whydah	Shaft-tailed	Vidua	regia	LC
Widowbird	White-winged	Euplectes	albonotatus	LC
Widowbird	Red-collared	Euplectes	ardens	LC
Wood-dove	Emerald-spotted	Turtur	chalcospilos	LC
Wood-hoopoe	Green	Phoeniculus	purpureus	LC
Woodpecker	Bearded	Dendropicos	namaquus	LC
Woodpecker	Cardinal	Dendropicos	fuscescens	LC
Woodpecker	Golden-tailed	Campethera	abingoni	LC
Wren-warbler	Barred	Calamonastes	fasciolatus	LC



## Table 4: Expected Reptiles

Family	Species Name	Common Name	Conservation Status
Agamidae	Acanthocercus atricollis	Southern Tree Agama	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Agamidae	Agama aculeata distanti	Distant's Ground Agama	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Chamaeleonidae	Chamaeleo dilepis	Common Flap-neck Chameleon	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Colubridae	Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia	Red-lipped Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Colubridae	Dispholidus typus viridis	Northern Boomslang	Not evaluated
Colubridae	Telescopus semiannulatus semiannulatus	Eastern Tiger Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Cordylidae	Cordylus jonesii	Jones' Girdled Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Cordylidae	Cordylus vittifer	Common Girdled Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Cordylidae	Platysaurus guttatus	Dwarf Flat Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Cordylidae	Pseudocordylus transvaalensis	Northern Crag Lizard	Near Threatened (SARCA 2014)
Cordylidae	Smaug breyeri	Waterberg Girdled Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Elapidae	Elapsoidea sundevallii media	Highveld Garter Snake	
Elapidae	Naja annulifera	Snouted Cobra	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Elapidae	Naja mossambica	Mozambique Spitting Cobra	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	Chondrodactylus turneri	Turner's Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	Lygodactylus capensis	Common Dwarf Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	Pachydactylus affinis	Transvaal Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	Pachydactylus capensis	Cape Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	Pachydactylus vansoni	Van Son's Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gerrhosauridae	Gerrhosaurus flavigularis	Yellow-throated Plated Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lacertidae	Ichnotropis capensis	Ornate Rough-scaled Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lacertidae	Meroles squamulosus	Common Rough-scaled Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lacertidae	Nucras holubi	Holub's Sandveld Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lacertidae	Pedioplanis lineoocellata lineoocellata	Spotted Sand Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lacertidae	Pedioplanis lineoocellata pulchella	Common Sand Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Amblyodipsas polylepis polylepis	Common Purple-glossed Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Aparallactus capensis	Black-headed Centipede-eater	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Atractaspis duerdeni	Duerden's Stiletto Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)



Lamprophiidae	Boaedon capensis	Brown House Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Lycophidion capense capense	Cape Wolf Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Lycophidion variegatum	Variegated Wolf Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Psammophis angolensis	Dwarf Sand Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Psammophis brevirostris	Short-snouted Grass Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Psammophylax tritaeniatus	Striped Grass Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Pseudaspis cana	Mole Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Leptotyphlopidae	Leptotyphlops incognitus	Incognito Thread Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Pelomedusidae	Pelomedusa galeata	South African Marsh Terrapin	Not evaluated
Pythonidae	Python natalensis	Southern African Python	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	Trachylepis capensis	Cape Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	Trachylepis margaritifera	Rainbow Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	Trachylepis punctatissima	Speckled Rock Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	Trachylepis sp. (Transvaal varia)	Skink sp. 1	
Scincidae	Trachylepis striata	Striped Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	Trachylepis varia sensu lato	Common Variable Skink Complex	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Testudinidae	Kinixys lobatsiana	Lobatse Hinged Tortoise	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Testudinidae	Kinixys spekii	Speke's Hinged Tortoise	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Typhlopidae	Afrotyphlops schlegelii	Schlegel's Beaked Blind Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Varanidae	Varanus albigularis albigularis	Rock Monitor	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)



## Table 5: Expected Amphibians

Family	Species Name	Common Name	Conservation Status
Brevicepitidae	Breviceps adspersus	Bushveld Rain Frog	Least Concern
Bufonidae	Poyntonophrynus fenoulheti	Northern Pygmy Toad	Least Concern
Bufonidae	Schismaderma carens	Red Toad	Least Concern
Bufonidae	Sclerophrys garmani	Olive Toad	Least Concern (IUCN, 2016)
Bufonidae	Sclerophrys gutturalis	Guttural Toad	Least Concern (IUCN, 2016)
Bufonidae	Sclerophrys pusilla	Flatbacked Toad	Least Concern (IUCN, 2016)
Hyperoliidae	Hyperolius marmoratus	Painted Reed Frog	Least Concern (IUCN, 2013)
Hyperoliidae	Kassina senegalensis	Bubbling Kassina	Least Concern
Microhylidae	Phrynomantis bifasciatus	Banded Rubber Frog	Least Concern
Phrynobatrachidae	Phrynobatrachus natalensis	Snoring Puddle Frog	Least Concern (IUCN, 2013)
Ptychadenidae	Ptychadena anchietae	Plain Grass Frog	Least Concern
Ptychadenidae	Ptychadena mossambica	Broadbanded Grass Frog	Least Concern
Pyxicephalidae	Amietia delalandii	Delalande's River Frog	Least Concern (2017)
Pyxicephalidae	Amietia poyntoni	Poynton's River Frog	Least Concern (2017)
Pyxicephalidae	Cacosternum boettgeri	Common Caco	Least Concern (2013)
Pyxicephalidae	Tomopterna cryptotis	Tremelo Sand Frog	Least Concern
Pyxicephalidae	Tomopterna natalensis	Natal Sand Frog	Least Concern
Rhacophoridae	Chiromantis xerampelina	Southern Foam Nest Frog	Least Concern (2013)



## Table 6: Expected Lepidoptera Species and Arachnid SCC

Family	Species Name	Common Name	Conservation Status
Theraphosidae	Ceratogyrus darlingi	Rear Horned Baboon Spider (Tarantula)	TOPS Listed
COSSIDAE	Azygophleps sp.		
COSSIDAE	Azygophleps asylas		Not listed
CRAMBIDAE	Pardomima sp.		
CRAMBIDAE	Pyrausta sp.		
CRAMBIDAE	Synclera traducalis		Not listed
ELACHISTIDAE	Ethmia sp.		
EREBIDAE	Acantholipes trimeni		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Amata atricornis		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Cyligramma latona		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Eublemma bolinia		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Eublemma staudingeri		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Grammodes euclidioides euclidioides		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Lithacodia sp.		
EREBIDAE	Pericyma mendax		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Plecoptera annexa		Not listed
EREBIDAE	Saenura flava		Not listed
GEOMETRIDAE	Isturgia arizeloides		Not Threatened (NT) [not an IUCN category]
GEOMETRIDAE	Lhommeia subapicata		Not Threatened (NT) [not an IUCN category]
GEOMETRIDAE	Microloxia ruficornis		Not Threatened (NT) [not an IUCN category]
GEOMETRIDAE	Rhodometra sacraria		Not Threatened (NT) [not an IUCN category]
GEOMETRIDAE	Scopula sp.		
HESPERIIDAE	Abantis tettensis	Spotted velvet skipper	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Coeliades forestan forestan	Striped policeman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Coeliades pisistratus	Two-pip policeman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Eretis umbra umbra	Small marbled elf	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Gegenes pumilio gambica	Dark dodger	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Kedestes callicles	Pale ranger	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)



HESPERIIDAE	Leucochitonea levubu	White-cloaked skipper	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Metisella willemi	Netted sylph	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Parosmodes morantii morantii	Morant's orange	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Platylesches ayresii	Peppered hopper	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Platylesches moritili	Honey hopper	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Pyrrhiades anchises anchises	One-pip policeman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Spialia delagoae	Delagoa sandman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Spialia dromus	Forest sandman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Spialia ferax	Striped sandman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Spialia mafa mafa	Mafa sandman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Tsitana tsita	Dismal sylph	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LASIOCAMPIDAE	Anadiasa punctifascia		Not listed
LASIOCAMPIDAE	Eucraera salammbo		Not listed
LYCAENIDAE	Actizera lucida	Rayed blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Alaena amazoula ochroma	Yellow zulu	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Aloeides taikosama	Dusky russet	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Aloeides trimeni trimeni	Brown russet	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Anthene amarah amarah	Black-striped ciliate blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Anthene definita definita	Steel-blue-ciliate blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Anthene livida livida	Pale ciliate blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Axiocerses amanga amanga	Bush scarlet	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Axiocerses tjoane tjoane	Eastern scarlet	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Azanus jesous	Topaz babul blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Azanus ubaldus	Velvet-spotted babul blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Cacyreus lingeus	Bush bronze	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Capys disjunctus	Russet protea	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Chilades trochylus	Grass jewel blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Cigaritis ella	Ella's silverline	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Cigaritis natalensis	Natal silverline	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Crudaria leroma	Silver-spotted grey	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Cupidopsis cissus cissus	Meadow blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)



LYCAENIDAE	Cupidopsis jobates jobates	Tailed meadow blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Eicochrysops messapus mahallakoaena	Cupreous ash blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Euchrysops dolorosa	Sabie smoky blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Euchrysops malathana	Grey smoky blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Euchrysops osiris	Osiris smoky blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Hypolycaena philippus philippus	Purple-brown hairstreak	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Iolaus mimosae rhodosense	Mimosa sapphire	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Iolaus trimeni	Protea sapphire	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Lampides boeticus	Pea blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops patricia	Patrician giant cupid	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops plebeia plebeia	Twin-spot giant cupid	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Leptomyrina henningi henningi	Plain black-eye	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Leptotes pirithous pirithous	Common zebra blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Myrina silenus ficedula	Common fig tree blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Pseudonacaduba sichela sichela	Dusky line blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Stugeta bowkeri tearei	Bowker's marbled sapphire	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Tarucus sybaris sybaris	Dotted pierrot	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Tuxentius calice	White pie	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Tuxentius melaena melaena	Black pie	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Zintha hintza hintza	Hintza pierrot	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Zizeeria knysna knysna	African grass blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
LYCAENIDAE	Zizula hylax	Tiny grass blue	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NOCTUIDAE	Acontia sp.		
NOCTUIDAE	Acontia transfigurata		Not listed
NOCTUIDAE	Leucania sp.		
NOCTUIDAE	Mythimna tacuna		
NOCTUIDAE	Xanthodes albago		Not listed
NOLIDAE	Earias biplaga		Not listed
NYMPHALIDAE	Acraea acara acara	Acara acraea	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Acraea aglaonice	Clear-spotted acraea	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Acraea anemosa	Broad-bordered acraea	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)



NYMPHALIDAE	Acraea axina	Little acraea	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Acraea caldarena caldarena	Black-tipped acraea	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Acraea neobule neobule	Wandering donkey acraea	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Brakefieldia perspicua perspicua	Marsh patroller	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Byblia ilithyia	Spotted joker	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Catacroptera cloanthe cloanthe	Pirate	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Charaxes achaemenes achaemenes	Bushveld charaxes	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Charaxes saturnus saturnus	Foxy charaxes	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Charaxes vansoni	Van Son's charaxes	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Charaxes varanes varanes	Pearl charaxes	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Danaus chrysippus orientis	African plain tiger	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Hamanumida daedalus	Guineafowl	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Hypolimnas misippus	Common diadem	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Junonia hierta cebrene	Yellow pansy	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Junonia oenone oenone	Dark blue pansy	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Phalanta phalantha aethiopica	African leopard	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Physcaeneura panda	Dark-webbed ringlet	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Precis archesia archesia	Garden inspector	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Stygionympha wichgrafi wichgrafi	Wichgraf's hillside brown	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Telchinia rahira rahira	Marsh telchinia	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Telchinia serena	Dancing telchinia	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Vanessa cardui	Painted lady	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Ypthima impura paupera	Impure three-ring	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PAPILIONIDAE	Graphium antheus	Large striped swordtail	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PAPILIONIDAE	Graphium morania	White lady	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PAPILIONIDAE	Papilio demodocus demodocus	Citrus swallowtail	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PAPILIONIDAE	Papilio nireus lyaeus	Narrow green-banded swallowtail	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Belenois aurota	Pioneer caper white	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Belenois creona severina	African caper white	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Catopsilia florella	African migrant	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Colias electo electo	African clouded yellow	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)



PIERIDAE	Colotis antevippe gavisa	Red tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Colotis euippe omphale	Southern round-winged orange tip	Least Concern (LC)
PIERIDAE	Colotis evagore antigone	Small orange tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Colotis evenina evenina	African orange tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Colotis ione	Bushveld purple tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Colotis pallene	Bushveld orange tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Colotis regina	Queen purple tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Colotis vesta argillaceus	Southern veined arab	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Eurema brigitta brigitta	Broad-bordered grass yellow	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Mylothris agathina agathina	Eastern dotted border	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Mylothris rueppellii haemus	Twin dotted border	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Pinacopteryx eriphia eriphia	Zebra white	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Teracolus agoye agoye	Speckled sulphur tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Teracolus eris eris	Banded gold tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Teracolus subfasciatus	Lemon traveller	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
SPHINGIDAE	Acherontia atropos		Not listed
SPHINGIDAE	Basiothia medea		Not listed
SPHINGIDAE	Rufoclanis numosae		Not listed