# BOTANICAL MUSEUM LEAFLETS HARVARD UNIVERSITY

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS, JUNE 30, 1976

# THE GENUS OECEOCLADES LINDL. BY LESLIE A. GARAY AND PETER TAYLOR\*

In 1832 while describing Oeceoclades, as a genus different from Angraecum, Lindley offered the following observation: "The genus Angraecum. . . is known by its undivided lip, which is neither cucullate, nor articulate with the column; by its spreading perianthium, which never has the segments turned upwards as in Eulophia; by its long taper-jointed spur, which is rarely enlarged at the base; and finally, by all these characters being connected with coriaceous leaves that are never ribbed or plaited. Such being the definition of Angraecum, it will be apparent that, ... such as our Angraecum maculatum and a few of those of Du Petit Thouars, must be excluded; these form a genus nearly related to Eulophia, from which they are to be distinguished by their coriaceous leaves, by the perianthium never being secund, and by the want of a crest upon the lip."

With this introduction Lindley also provided a separate generic description in Latin as well as a list of names — five under Angraecum and four under Limodorum which he considered belonged to Oeceoclades, but without making the proper nomenclatorial transfers. The following year, in 1833, in his Genera and Species of Orchidaceous Plants, he treated Oeceoclades in a similar

\* Orchid Herbarium, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, England.

[249]

fashion, but with a somewhat different content. On both occasions, however, he included the sympodial Eulophialike Angraecum maculatum with the remainder, all monopodial orchids. At that time Lindley remarked: "Oeceoclades will probably comprehend all the Eulophialike epiphytes...; it is very near Eulophia, from which its coriaceous, not plaited leaves, distinguish it among other things."

Thus, from the very beginning Lindley considered Oeceoclades to represent Eulophia-like plants. He emphasized his conviction once more in 1859 (Journ. Linn. Soc. 3: 36) by saying "... it is probably that O. maculata is the only plant to which the generic name will attach." Since that time the remaining species of Oeceoclades have all been transferred to various angraecoid genera. Consequently the genus Oeceoclades must be typified by O. maculata, the only Eulophia-like plant.

In 1887, Pfitzer in his Entwurf einer natürlichen Anordnung der Orchideen p. 87–88 established the monotypic genus *Eulophidium* which he also based on *Angraecum maculatum*. It is difficult to understand why he chose to follow that particular course when he was fully aware of Lindley's suggestion that the name *Oeceoclades* be applied only to *O. maculata:* "Ich muss *Eulophidium maculatum* entsprechend Lindley's Vermuthung als den Typus einer besondren Gattung betrachten. . . "\*

Since both genera, Oeceoclades Lindl. and Eulophidium Pfitz. are based on the same type—Angraecum maculatum Lindl.—Oeceoclades must be reinstated because of the rule of priority.

Summerhayes, in 1957, published a synopsis of the genus *Eulophidium* (Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27 (3): 391-403). In that study he argued that Pfitzer and sub-

\* In keeping with Lindley's supposition, I must regard *Eulophidium* maculatum as the type of a special genus.''

sequently Schlechter, both in their studies emphasized the vegetative aspects of the plants without paying much attention to floral details, which resulted in a rather poor circumscription of the genus.

To augment this one-sided presentation, Summerhayes provides the following observations:

"For some time now I have been struck by the marked similarity in floral structure between typical members of Eulophidium, such as E. maculatum (LINDL.) PFITZ., on the one hand, and species which have always been retained in Eulophia such as E. saundersiana RCHB.F. and the Asiatic E. macrostachya LINDL., on the other. All these species have a marked quadrilobed labellum with two short parallel or slightly divergent calli at the base and no long keels or hair-like outgrowths such as are so widely distributed in Eulophia. The side lobes almost invariably have marked darker veins. . . Sometime the two lower lobes, or lateral lobes if you prefer to call them that, are much reduced, occasionally so much that the labellum is almost bilobed. The spur is relatively short and often swollen, sometimes it is more or less shortly bilobed at the apex.

"As regards the vegetative structure the aerial pseudobulbs may be heteroblastic with 1–3 leaves at the apex or homoblastic (with several elongated internodes) with one or more leaves at the apex. All intermediates can be found between a clearly heteroblastic condition with no cataphylls or leaves arising along the pseudobulbs, through forms in which the lower swollen internodes are quite short and other forms with only 2 elongated swollen internodes, to typical homoblastic conditions where there are clearly several well-defined elongated swollen internodes with cataphylls arising from the lower nodes and leaves from the uppermost.

"The great majority of species have markedly petio-

late leaves, but in a few cases the petiole is very short. It is almost invariably articulate with a number of sharp or blunt teeth at the joint, this articulation usually being some distance above the base of the leaf, and sometimes in the centre of the long slender petiole. Reichenbach and Schlechter, when dealing with some species, have treated the lower part of the petiole below the articulation as being the rostrate upper internode or prolongation of the pseudobulb, but this is clearly not a possible interpretation in plants like *E. saundersiana* where there are two leaves, both with their petioles articulate some distance above the base. The leaves are usually rather coriaceous and often banded or spotted with paler markings.

"As these variable characters are associated with very similar floral structures I feel that too much emphasis should not be placed on them and that all these species should be placed in an enlarged *Eulophidium*."

In no way diminishing the value of these important observations, we are compelled to reexamine some of its crucial points. We believe that the distinction between homoblastic and heteroblastic pseudobulbs is not clearly understood by many. Both types of pseudobulbs are derived from the common sympodial stem which consists of a set of nodes and internodes. In the case of the homoblastic pseudobulbs, each internode or most of the internodes are equally developed and enlarged throughout the entire length of the sympodium, at the nodes leaves or sheaths or cataphylls are produced. In the case of the heteroblastic pseudobulbs only one internode is fully developed and enlarged throughout the entire length of the sympodium. The nodes are closely approximate, often so congested that no visible separation is apparent; rarely the leaf-bearing nodes are separated by obvious distances, yet minimal in proportion to the internode that represent the true heteroblastic pseudobulb. The one to three

[252]

leaves produced at the top of a heteroblastic pseudobulb are originating independently from separate nodes, which may or may not be closely approximate. The misunderstanding of the nature of the heteroblastic pseudobulb caused Summerhayes to enlarge and alter the generic description of *Eulophidium*, which unfortunately now encompasses several criteria applicable only to *Eulophia*.

Likewise the similarities in floral structure, mentioned by him, we believe are produced through convergent evolution rather than through the processes of speciation.

Although florally Eulophia macrostachya is very similar to those found in many plants of Oeceoclades, the thin, plicate leaves and the homoblastic pseudobulbs immediately exclude it from that relationship. If E. macrostachya is to be admitted to Oeceoclades, then E. graminea, E. euglossa, E. guineënsis, and other related species would have to be included also. For additional names see the list of Eulophia Sect. Pulchrae Krzl. at the end of this paper.

Both Lindley and Pfitzer were explicit about such generic characters as the heteroblastic pseudobulbs, coriaceous, conduplicate leaves and Eulophia-like flowers. Consequently we adhere to the original circumscription of the genus in our assignment of the species.

Oeceoclades Lindl. in Bot. Reg. 18: sub t. 1522, Sept.

1, 1832.

Syn.: Aeceoclades Duch. in Orbigny, Dict. 9: 170, 1849.

Saccolabium Sect. Oeceoclades (Lindl.) Cordem., Fl. Reunion 197, 1895.

Lectotype: Angraecum maculatum Lindl.— Lindl. in Journ. Linn. Soc. 3: 36, 1859. Eulophidium Pfitz., Entw. Natur. Anordn. Orch. 87-89, 1887.

[253]

Eulophia Sect. Eulophidium (Pfitz.) H. Perr. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 82: 147, 1935.

Lissochilus Subgen. Eulophidium (Pfitz.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 17, 1941.

# Type: Angraecum maculatum Lindl.—Pfitz. ibid.

Sepals and petals variously spreading; lip 3-lobed, basally produced in a spur, midlobe commonly lobulate or emarginate; disc either with a pair of approximate, quadrate or triangular calli at the entrance to the spur or with three variously thickened, parallel ridges which together with the lateral veins are sparsely but distinctly papillose or hirsute; column erect, rather short, oblique at base; stigmata confluent; rostellum short; anther cucullate to cristate; pollinia 2, on a short or rudimentary stipe; viscidium large.

Pseudobulbs more or less approximate, heteroblastic, one- to three-leaved at apex; leaves coriaceous, conduplicate, never plicate, commonly petiolate, rarely sessile, articulate with colliferous apex of pseudobulbs; inflorescence lateral, racemose or paniculate; bracts inconspicuous; flowers rather small and thin in texture, resupinate.

31 species native to tropics and subtropics of Seychelles, Madagascar, the Mascarene Islands, Africa, South America, West Indies and Bahamas.

# Type of the genus: Angraecum maculatum Lindl.

### Key to Species

1.	Petals at most $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{3}$ the length of the sepals		·	2
la.	Petals and sepals more or less equal in length			6
2.	Pseudobulbs ovoid to cylindrical; leaves lorate [at least 40 cm. long]; inflorescence diffusely branched .			3
2 <b>a</b> .	Pseudobulbs globose to pyriform; leaves linear [at most 20 cm. long]; inflorescence racemose or rarely with few short branches			4

3.	Pseudobulbs 2-leaved; sepals spathulate to oblan-
	ceolate, obtuse; petals elliptic, obtuse; spur of lip
	forward projecting under lip O. calcarata
3a.	Pseudobulbs 1-leaved; sepals and petals lanceolate-
	elliptic, acute to subacuminate; spur of lip project-
	ing away from lip O. Hebdingiana
4.	Sepals spathulate, obtuse; spur globose O. spathulifera
4a.	Sepals obovate-oblanceolate, acute 5
5.	Leaves sessile; sepals at least 14 mm. long; petals
	elliptic, acute; spur cylindric O. Decaryana
5a.	Leaves petiolate; sepals not more than 8 mm. long;
	petals suborbicular, obtuse; spur subglobose, ven-
	trally compressed O. angustifolia
6.	Lip ecallose at base; basal halves of 3 parallel veins
	of disc somewhat carinate-thickened 7
6a.	Lip with a bilobed callus, or bilamellate at or near base 13
7.	Pseudobulbs elongate, slender, fusiform to cylindric,
	2-3 leaved, approximately the length of the petiolate
	leaves or longer
7a.	Pseudobulbs short, ovoid, 2-leaved, much shorter
	than the petiolate leaves
8.	Lip broadly elliptic; midlobe of lip rounded at base,
	overlapping with lateral lobes without a sinus; disc
-	ecallose at junction of lateral and median lobes 9
8a.	Lip narrowly ovate-oblong to elliptic-oblong; midlobe
	of lip cuneate at base, forming a distinct sinus with
	lateral lobes; disc with a pair of fleshy gibbosities at junction of lateral and midlobes
9.	Midlobe of lip suborbicular in outline, half as long
9.	as the entire length of the lip; lateral lobes subfal-
	cate, obtuse at apex
9a.	Midlobe of lip reniform in outline, one-fourth the
e ai	length of the entire lip; lateral lobes broadly
	rounded at apex
10.	Lip lobate from middle; lateral lobes of lip
	truncate in front
10a.	Lip lobate one-fourth from apex; lateral lobes of lip
	oblique in front
11.	Lip wider than long; midlobe deeply emarginate to
	divaricately bilobulate in front O. Lubbersiana
11a.	Lip longer than wide; midlobe cuneate or with a
	distinct claw, at most retuse to indented in front O. pandurata
12.	Inflorescence laxly racemose; flowers greenish-

[255]

	yellow with maroon dots; sepals and petals
	ovate-oblong, acute
12a.	
	sepals and petals linear-oblong, obtuse O. latifolia
13.	Blades of leaves narrow, linear
13a.	Blades of leaves broad, ovate to elliptic
14.	Leaves long-acuminate, lorate, 50 cm. or more long,
	gradually tapering to pseudobulb without a distinct
	petiole O. Perrieri
14a.	Leaves acute or obtuse, linear-oblong, 30 cm. or less
	long, petiolate or subpetiolate
15.	Spur vesicular, longer than lip; median lobe of lip
	reflexed; disc in front of callus inornate O. quadriloba
15a.	Spur cylindrical, shorter than lip; median lobe of
	lip not reflexed; disc in front of callus 3-carinate 16
16.	Pseudobulbs 2-leaved; leaves subpetiolate, 20-30
	cm. long; inflorescence racemose; lateral lobes of
	lip rounded, larger than median lobe O. sclerophylla
16a.	Pseudobulbs 1-leaved; leaves petiolate, less than
	15 cm. long; inflorescence branched; lateral lobes
	of lip subquadrate with obtuse angles, equal to, or
. ~	somewhat smaller than median lobe O. analavelensis
17.	Plants caespitose; pseudobulbs aggregate, ecol-
	liferous or with hardly any projections; leaves sessile or with conduplicate, short, petiole-like
	base
17a.	
1/a,	prominently colliferous, leaves distinctly petiolate 25
18.	Pseudobulbs 2-leaved
	Pseudobulbs 1-leaved
	Inflorescence profusely paniculate; lateral lobes of
19.	lip falcate, when expanded parallel with, and as long
	as midlobe; midlobe of lip wider than long; spur
	conical, acuminate O. gracillima
100	Inflorescence racemose or rarely with one or few
100.	short branches; lateral lobes of lip triangular to
	oblong, when expanded rectangular with midlobe;
	midlobe of lip as wide as long, subquadrate; spur
	vesicular, obtuse O. roseovariegata
20.	Leaves ovate, subcordate at base
20a.	
21.	Sepals and petals similar, elliptic to ovate-elliptic,
	obtuse; lateral lobes of lip much larger than mid-
	[ ara ]

[256]

	lobe; column short, erect O. boinensis
21a.	
~ 101	acute; lateral lobes of lip as large as midlobe;
	column elongate, arcuate
22.	Lip as long as or shorter than wide; terminal lobe
	separated from the lateral lobes by acute, indented
	or rounded sinuses
22a.	Lip as long as or longer than wide; terminal lobe
	separated from the lateral lobes by a distinct
	isthmus O. monophylla
23.	Spur subglobose; callus of lip bilobed O. ambongensis
23a.	Spur clavate to cylindric; callus of lip bilamellate 24
24.	Sepals and petals acute: lip as long as wide; sinuses
	in middle of lip; inflorescence always racemose . O. maculata
24a.	Sepals and petals obtuse; lip shorter than wide;
	sinuses one-third from apex of lip; inflorescence racemose or subpaniculate
25.	Pseudobulbs narrowly cylindrical, often stem-like,
20.	terete, two-leaved; lateral sepals longer than dor-
	sal sepal; lip equally 4-lobed O. Saundersiana
25a.	
	same length; lip flabellate or unequally 4-lobed 26
26.	Lateral lobes of lip larger than median lobe
26a.	Lateral lobes of lip much smaller than median
	lobe, ear-like
27.	Leaves lanceolate, long-attenuate, subacuminate;
07	sepals and petals apiculate O. zanzibarica
27a.	Leaves lanceolate-elliptic or narrowly elliptic, acute to subobtuse; sepals and petals obtuse O. alismatophylla
00	
28. 28a.	
20a. 29.	Leaves broadly elliptic, large, 7-nerved, with petiole
20.	30-50 cm. long; column-wing ciliolate-hirsute along
	margins; lateral lobes of lip rounded O. cordylinophylla
29a.	Leaves narrowly ovate-lanceolate, 1-3 nerved, with
	petiole 13-20 cm. long; column-wings glabrous along
	margins; lateral lobes of lip obliquely triangular-
	falcate O. analamerensis
30.	Lip constricted in middle, pandurate, basal part
	suborbicular, apical part divergingly bilobed with
	rounded lobes; disc bilamellate at base in front of which are 3 thickened veins O. petiolata
30a	Lip not pandurate, 3-lobed; lateral lobes obtusely
0.004	sip not pandulate, o lobed, lateral lobes obtusely

[ 257 ]

angular, semiovate, terminal lobe divergingly bilobulate with rectangular-oblong lobules;																
disc withou															0	longhonhulla
lamenae	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•		•	•	•	U.	. lonch <b>o</b> phyll <b>a</b>

#### ENUMERATION OF SPECIES

**Oeceoclades alismatophylla** (Rchb.f.) Garay & Taylor, *comb. nov.* 

Basionym: Eulophia alismatophylla Rchb.f. in Flora 68: 543, 1885.

Syn.: Eulophidium alismatophyllum (Rehb.f.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 394, 1957.

Type: Madagascar, Forêt d'Ankaye. Coll. Humblot s.n.! (W).

Distribution: Madagascar.

Vegetatively the plants of this species are very similar to O. analamerensis, O. petiolata and O. lonchophylla, but readily distinguishable from them in the floral structure, especially in the shape of the lip with the lateral lobes being larger than the median lobe. We have seen only the type specimen.

Oeceoclades ambongensis (Schltr.) Garay & Tay-

lor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: Eulophidium ambongense Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille, ser. 3, 1: 182, t. 17, 1913.
- Syn.: Eulophia Schlechteri H. Perr. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 82: 154, 1935.

Lissochilus Schlechteri (H. Perr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 27, 1941.

Type: Madagascar, Manongarivo (Ambongo). Coll. Perrier no. 1684! (P).

Distribution: Madagascar.

From the related species of the O. maculata alliance, the plants of this species are readily identifiable by the comparatively larger flowers, subglobose spur and the bilobed callus of the lip.

[258]

Oeceoclades analamerensis (H. Perr.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: Lissochilus analamerensis H. Perr. in Not. Syst. 8: 42, 1939.

Syn. : *Eulophidium analamerense* (H. Perr.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 394, 1957.

Type: Madagascar, Province de Diégo-Suarez, Analamera, rive droite de la rivière Analabe, affluent du Rodo. Coll. Humbert no. 19247! (P.K).

Distribution: Madagascar.

The two small approximate lamellae near the base of the lip and the hirsute disc of the lip amply separate the plants of this species from the vegetatively similar O. alismatophylla.

Perrier gives Humbert no. 19020 as the type number, but the specimens in Paris as well as at Kew bear the number 19247!

Oeceoclades analavelensis (H. Perr.) Garay & Tay-

lor. comb. nov.

Basionym: Lissochilus analavelensis H. Perr. in Not. Syst. 8: 41, 1939.

Syn.: Eulophidium analavelense (H. Perr.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 395, 1957.

Type: Madagascar, Forêt d'Analavelona, au N. du Fiherenana. Coll. Humbert no. 14218! (P,K).

Distribution: Madagascar.

Florally the plants of this species are very similar to those of O. sclerophylla, both having three thickened ridges in front of the callus, but they are very dissimilar vegetatively.

Oeceoclades angustifolia (Sengh.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym:

Eulophidium angustifolium Sengh. in Adansonia ser. 2, 6: 558, 1967.

- Type: Madagascar: near Diégo-Suarez. Coll. Rauh & Buchloch no. 7987 (HEID).
- Syn.: Eulophidium angustifolium ssp. diphyllum Sengh. in Adansonia ser. 2, 6: 561, 1967.

[259]

# Type: Madagascar, near Sakaraha, river Fiherenana. Coll. Rauh no. 10423 (HEID).

Distribution: Madagascar.

From the related O. Decaryana, the plants of this species are distinguished in having petiolate leaves as well as differently proportioned lips.

Oeceoclades atrovirens (Lindl.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: *Eulophia atrovirens* Lindl., Gen. and Sp. Orch. Pl. 184, 1833.

- Syn.: Graphorchis atrovirens (Lindl.) O. Ktze., Rev. Gen. Pl. 2: 662, 1891.
- Type: India, without proper locality. Coll. Wallich s.n.! (K).

Distribution: India orientalis.

This peculiar plant is known from a colored drawing prepared by Wallich in 1828 for the East India Co., now in the Kew Herbarium. Ironically, Lindley originally has written on the drawing "Oeceoclades", then at a later time he crossed it out. So far no specimens are known to exist of this plant. Judging from the drawing, especially the floral details, it is near O. latifolia from which it differs in having a simple raceme, rather pointed sepals and petals and a somewhat different lip.

Oeceoclades boinensis (Schltr.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: *Eulophidium boinense* Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille ser. 3, 1: 182, t. 17, 1913.
- Syn.: Lissochilus boinensis (Schltr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 26, 1941.
- Type: Madagascar, bords de la rivière Andranofasy (Boina). Coll. Perrier no. 1834! (P).

Distribution: Madagascar.

This species has its only relative in O. Rauhii, both having a more or less cordate base to the leaves. In floral structures they are, however, very different. The type number of O. boinensis is Perrier 1834! and not 1384 as given by Schlechter.

[ 260 ]

Oeceoclades calcarata (Schltr.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: Cymbidium calcaratum Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille ser. 3, 1: 181, t. 16, 1913.
- Syn.: Eulophia calcarata (Schltr.) Schltr. in Fedde Rep. Beih. 32: 262, 1925.

Type: Madagascar, Manongarivo (Ambongo). Coll. Perrier no. 1681! (P). Eulophia paniculata Rolfe in Gard. Chron. ser.
3, 38: 197, 1905, not Oeceoclades paniculata Lindl.
Lissochilus paniculatus (Rolfe) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 29, 1941.
Eulophidium paniculatum (Rolfe) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 399, 1957.

Type: Madagascar, without precise locality. Collector unknown. Flowered in cultivation at the Royal Botanic Garden, Glasnevin in June 1904! (K).

Distribution: Madagascar.

The forward-projecting spur under the lip is unique in the genus.

Oeceoclades cordylinophylla (Rchb.f.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: *Eulophia cordylinophylla* Rchb.f. in Flora 68: 541, 1885.
- Syn.: Lissochilus cordylinophyllus (Rchb.f.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 20, 1941. Eulophidium cordylinophyllum (Rchb.f.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 395, 1957.
- Type: Comoro Islands, without proper locality. Coll. Humblot s. n. (W). Eulophia lokobensis H. Perr. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 82: 153, 1935.

[261]

Lissochilus lokobensis (H. Perr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag, Orch. 2: 22, 1941. Eulophidium lokobense (H. Perr.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 396, 1957.

Type: Madagascar, Forêt de Lokobe dans l'Ile de Nossi-Bé. Coll. Perrier no. 19013! (P).

Distribution: Comoro Islands, Madagascar.

The actual specimen of *Eulophia cordylinophylla* is missing. However, there is a sheet among Humblot's collections from the Comoro Islands with an unpublished name by Reichenbach, which agrees well with the original description. This specimen also is identical with the type of *E. lokobensis* from Ile de Nossi-Bé, near the Comoro chain. Perrier's description of the column-wing as being toothed is incorrect. The margins of the column are ciliolate-hirsute.

# Oeceoclades Decaryana (H. Perr.) Garay & Taylor,

comb. nov.

Basionym: *Eulophia Decaryana* H. Perr. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 82: 154, 1935.

- Syn.: Lissochilus Decaryanus (H. Perr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 32, 1941.
   Eulophidium Decaryanum (H. Perr.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 395, 1957.
- Type: Madagascar, without proper locality. Coll. Decary s.n. (P). Type is cultivated in Serres du Museum d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris (no. K 467).
- Distribution: Madagascar, Mozambique, Rhodesia, Kenya.

Judging from the assortment of specimens we have examined, the length of the sepals varies with age. It may be separated easily from the related *O. spathulifera* by the shape of the spur. Because of the cylindrical spur, the illustrations published in Die Orchidee 18: 246, 1967, as *Eulophidium spatuliferum* are referable here.

Oeceoclades gracillima (Schltr.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: Eulophia gracillima Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille ser. 3, 1: 170, t. 14, 1913, not Ridl. 1886.

Syn.: Eulophidium gracillimum Schltr. in Fedde Rep. Beih. 33: 255, 1925, nom. nov. Lissochilus gracillimus (Schltr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 28, 1941.

Type: Madagascar, bassin du Besafotra, affluent de Menavava (Boina). Coll. Perrier no. 1059! (P).

Distribution: Madagascar.

This species is closely related to O. roseovariegata, but the diffusely paniculate inflorescence and the shape of the lip and spur readily keep them apart.

Oeceoclades Hebdingiana (Guillaum.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: Lissochilus Hebdingianus Guillaum. in Bull. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat. ser. 2, 35: 521, 1963.
- Type: Madagascar, sous bois d'Anipanihy, Provenance Montagnac. Flowered in cultivation in Jardin Botanique "Les Cèdres". Collector unknown! (P).

Distribution: Madagascar.

Related to O. calcarata from which it differs primarily in the shape of the lip and not having a forward-projecting spur.

Oeceoclades lanceata (H. Perr.) Garay & Taylor,

comb. nov.

Basionym: *Eulophia lanceata* H. Perr. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 82: 156, 1935.

Type: Madagascar, bois des pentes occidentales a Manerinerina sur le Tampoketsa, entre l'Ikopa et la Betsiboka. Coll. Perrier no. 16843! (P). Distribution: Madagascar.

Perrier has reduced this species to a synonym of *Eulophia pandu*rata Rolfe, but the two are amply distinct from one another in floral details, especially in the shape of the lip. Vegetatively it is reminiscent of *O. seychellarum*. The flowers are rose-colored.

**Oeceoclades latifolia** (Rolfe) Garay & Taylor, *comb. nov.* 

Basionym: *Eulophia latifolia* Rolfe in Bol. Soc. Broter. 9: 139, 1891.

Syn.: Eulophidium latifolium (Rolfe) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 396, 1957.

Type: Island of São Tomé. Coll. Quintas s.n.! (K). Distribution: Africa—Island of São Tomé.

Florally the plants referable to this species are rather similar to O. ugandae, but vegetatively they are very different and more reminiscent of O. atrovirens. The lateral veins of the lip are papilloseciliolate in this alliance to which O. pandurata, O. seychellarum and O. lanceata also belong.

Oeceoclades lonchophylla (Rchb.f.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: *Eulophia lonchophylla* Rchb. f. in Flora 68: 542, 1885.

- Syn.: Eulophidium lonchophyllum (Rchb.f.) Schltr. in Fedde Rep. Beih. 33: 256, 1925.
  Lissochilus lonchophyllus (Rchb.f.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 26, 1941.
- Type: Comoro Islands, without proper locality. Coll. Humblot no. 433! (P,W).
  Eulophia tainioides Schltr. in Engl., Bot. Jahrb. 26: 339, 1899.
  Eulophidium tainioides (Schltr.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 403, 1957.
- Type: Mozambique, between Morumben and Massinga, region of Inhambane. Coll. Schlechter no. 12106! (K,Z).

Eulophia dissimilis Dyer in Fl. Pl. Afr. 27: t. 1066, 1949.

Eulophidium dissimile Dyer in Fl. Pl. Afr. 27: t. 1066, 1949, nom. altern. in obs. Type: Mozambique, Lourenco Marques District, Lebombo Mountains. Coll. Daintree s. n. (PRE). Distribution: Mozambique, Comoro Islands.

We cannot find enough distinction between E. lonchophylla and E. tainioides to maintain them separately.

Humblot no. 433 is a mixture, containing material also referable to O. cordylinophylla and to O. Perrieri. In the Reichenbach Herbarium no. 6531 the original description and drawings by Reichenbach are mounted with a specimen with long, lorate leaves. This specimen does not belong to O. lonchophylla. We have chosen Herbarium Reichenbach no. 5902 as the holotype of Humblot no. 433, O. lonchophylla, for it agrees with the specimens under that name in Paris.

Oeceoclades Lubbersiana (De Wildem. & Laurent) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: Eulophia Lubbersiana De Wildem. & Laurent in Rev. Hort. Belg. 26: 4, 1900.
- Syn.: Eulophidium Lubbersianum (De Wildem. & Laurent) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 397, 1957.

Type: Zaïre, Sankur. Coll. Laurent s.n.! (BR).

Distribution: Zaïre, Uganda.

Vegetatively the plants of this species are very similar to O. atrovirens. The shape of the lip which is wider than long, however, is unique in the relationship to which also O. latifolia and O. pandurata belong.

Oeceoclades Mackenii (Rolfe ex Hemsl.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: Eulophia Mackenii Rolfe ex Hemsl. in Gard. Chron. ser. 3, 12: 583, 1892.
- Syn.: Eulophidium Mackenii (Rolfe ex Hemsl.) Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille ser. 3, 1: 183, 1913.

Type: Natal, near Verulam. Coll. McKen no. 11! (K). Distribution: Natal, Mozambique, Rhodesia.

Superficially the plants of this species are rather similar to O. maculata. However, the lip which is shorter than wide and the branched inflorescence afford easy recognition in both the field and the herbarium.

- Oeceoclades maculata (Lindl.) Lindl., Gen. and Sp. Orch. Pl. 237, 1833.
  - Basionym: Angraecum maculatum Lindl., Collect. Bot. t. 15, May 1821.
  - Syn.: Limodorum maculatum Lodd., Bot. Cab. 5:t. 496, June 1821. Aerobion maculatum (Lindl.) Spreng., Syst. Veg. 3: 718, 1826. Eulophia maculata (Lindl.) Rehb.f. in Walp. Ann. 6: 647, 1863. Eulophidium maculatum (Lindl.) Pfitz., Entw. Nat. Anordn. Oreh. 88, 1887. Graphorchis maculata (Lindl.) O. Ktze., Rev. Gen. Pl. 2: 662, 1891.
  - Type: Brazil, without proper locality. Introduced and cultivated by Loddiges no. 34.10.16! (BM).
    Geodorum pictum Link & Otto, Ic. Pl. Sel. pt. 3: 35, t. 14, July 1821.
  - Lectotype: Brazil, without precise locality. Received from British Gardens and cultivated in Berlin. (Probably part of the original introduction by Loddiges). Holotype was destroyed during World War II. The published plate is designated here as the Lectotype.

Eulophia Ledienii Stein ex N.E. Br. in Kew Bull. 90, 1899.

Eulophidium Ledienii (Stein ex N.E.Br.) De Wildem. in Ann. Mus. Congo ser. 5, 1: 115, 1904.

Type: Zaïre, without precise locality. Coll. Ledien s.n. (WRSL).

Eulophidium Warneckeanum Krzl. in Engl., Bot. Jahrb. 33: 70, 1902.

Type: West Africa, Togo, near Lome. Coll. Warnecke no. 196! (K). Eulophidium nyassanum Schltr. in Engl., Bot. Jahrb. 53: 593, 1915.

Lectotype: Tanzania, near Mbaka Kilambo. Coll. Stolz no. 1909! (BM,K).

Distribution: U.S.A. — Florida, Venezuela, Colombia, Guyana, Peru, Bolivia, Argentina, Paraguay, Brazil, Trinidad, Bahamas, Dominican Republic, W.I., Senegal, Guinee Bissau, Sierra Leone, Liberia, Ghana, Togo, Nigeria, São Tomé, Gabon, Zaïre, Congo-Brazzaville, Burundi, Sudan, Uganda, Tanganyika, Zanzibar, Pemba, Zambia, Rhodesia, Angola.

The plants of all three species, O. maculata, O. monophylla, and O. Mackenii are very similar in appearance. Yet the proportions of the lip in all are sufficiently distinct to allow easy recognition. The lip of O. monophylla always has a distinct elongate isthmus.

#### Oeceoclades maculata var. pterocarpa (Hauman) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: Eulophidium maculatum var. pterocarpum Hauman in Anal. Mus. Hist. Nat. B. Aires 29: 381, 1917.
- Type: Argentina, Formosa, Pilaya. Coll. Kermes no. 507. (BA).
- Syn.: Epidendrum connivens Vell., Fl. Flumin. 9: t. 44, 1831.
- Type: Brazil, Santa Cruz. Coll. Vellozo s. n. No specimen is known to exist. Vellozo's original drawing in Flora Fluminensis is regarded here as representing the holotype.

Distribution: Argentina, Paraguay, Brazil.

This variety differs from the typical form in having winged capsules. It is possible that when more material is at hand, especially fresh flowers, this variety may prove to represent a species sufficiently distinct from *O. maculata*. There is also a certain difference in the outline of the lip.

#### Oeceoclades monophylla (A. Rich.) Garay & Tay-

lor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: Angraecum monophyllum A. Rich. in Mem. Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 4: 58, t. 9, 1828.
- Syn.: Eulophidium monophyllum (A. Rich.) Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille, ser. 3, 1: 183, 1913.
- Type: Mauritius Island, without proper locality. Coll. Commerson s.n.! (P).

Distribution: Mascarene Islands.

The distinction between the plants of this species and those of O. maculata have already been stated above. If the distance of articulation of leaves and pseudobulb is of specific importance, as Summerhayes seems to have thought, then Eulophia Ledienii now included in O. maculata, will probably be recognized on its own as a close relative of O. monophylla.

Oeceoclades pandurata (Rolfe) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: Eulophia pandurata Rolfe in Journ. Linn. Soc. London 29: 52, 1891.

Syn. : Lissochilus panduratus (Rolfe) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 29, 1841. Eulophidium panduratum (Rolfe) Summerh. in

Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 399, 1957.

Type: Madagascar, near Fort Dauphin. Coll. Elliot no. 2546! (K).

Distribution: Madagascar, Rhodesia.

The free, truncate lateral lobes of the lip are very characteristic for this species. The lateral veins on the disc are papillose-ciliolate.

**Oeceoclades Perrieri** (Schltr.) Garay & Taylor, *comb. nov*.

Basionym: Eulophidium Perrieri Schltr. in Fedde Rep. Beih. 33: 256, 1925, not Eulophidium ambongense Schltr.

 Syn.: Eulophia ambongensis Schltr. in Ann. Col. Mus. Marseille ser. 3, 1: 169, t. 13, 1913. Lissochilus ambongensis (Schltr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 19, 1941. Type: Madagascar, Manongarivo (Ambongo). Coll. Perrier no. 1654! (P).

Distribution: Madagascar, Mozambique.

The long-acuminate, lorate leaves without a distinct petiole distinguishes the plants of this species from the related O. quadriloba, O. sclerophylla and O. analavelensis.

Oeceoclades petiolata (Schltr.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: Eulophia petiolata Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille ser. 3, 1: 175, t. 13, 1913.

- Syn.: Eulophidium petiolatum (Schltr.) Schltr. in Fedde Rep. Beih. 33: 256, 1925.
  Lissochilus petiolatus (Schltr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 25, 1941.
- Type: Madagascar, Manongarivo (Ambongo). Coll. Perrier no. 478 bis! (P).

Distribution: Madagascar.

Vegetatively the plants of this species are practically identical with those of *O. alismatophylla*, but the shape of the lip is very different proportionately. From the related *O. lonchophylla* it differs in having a pandurate lip with three thickened veins in front of the calli.

Oeceoclades quadriloba (Schltr.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: *Eulophia quadriloba* Schltr. in Ann. Mus. Col. Marseille ser. 3, 1: 176, t. 12, 1913.

Syn.: Eulophidium quadrilobum (Schltr.) Schltr. in Fedde Rep. Beih. 33: 256, 1925. Lissochilus quadrilobus (Schltr.) H. Perr. in

Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 30, 1941.

Type: Madagascar, Manongarivo (Ambongo). Coll. Perrier no. 1696! (P).

Distribution: Madagascar, Rhodesia.

The unique structure of the lip in these plants is reminiscent of those belonging to the Asiatic genus *Grosourdya*. The vesicular, hanging spur is longer than the reflexed midlobe of the lip.

[269]

**Oeceoclades Rauhii** (Sengh.) Garay & Taylor, *comb. nov.* 

Basionym: *Eulophidium Rauhii* Sengh. in Die Orchidee 24: 61, 1973.

Type: Madagascar, south of Anivorano. Coll. Rauh & Senghas no. 22865 (HEID).

Distribution: Madagascar.

Closely related to *O. boinensis* from which it differs in having linerlanceolate sepals and petals and an equally four-lobed lip.

#### Oeceoclades roseovariegata (Sengh.) Garay & Tay-

lor, comb. nov.

Basionym: Eulophidium roseovariegatum Sengh. in Adansonia ser. 2, 6: 561, 1967.

Type: Madagascar, near Diégo-Suarez, "Montagne des Français". Coll. Rauh & Buchloch no. 7985 (HEID).

Distribution: Madagascar.

As it was stated above, this species is closely allied to O. gracillima. As a matter of fact, the plants were already known to Schlechter through a collection by Perrier no. 16224! (P), and was regarded by him as an undescribed species. The Perrier specimen has Schlechter's original drawings of the floral parts attached to the sheet. It was also collected on "Montagne des Français". Perrier identified it as *Eulophidium gracillimum* var., but cited it without a varietal name in his Orchids of Madagascar.

The photographs of *O. roseovariegata* in Die Orchidee 18:24, 1967 show the spur as being distinctly bilobed. This is apparently due to the angle in photographing, revealing the ventrally compressed and slightly grooved tip.

Oeceoclades Saundersiana (Rchb.f.) Garay & Tay-

lor, comb. nov.

Basionym: Eulophia Saundersiana Rchb.f. in Bot. Zeit. 24: 378, 1866.

Syn.: Graphorchis Saundersiana (Rchb.f.) O.Ktze., Rev. Gen. Pl. 2: 662, 1891.

Eulophidium Saundersianum (Rchb.f.) Sum-

[ 270 ]

merh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 401, 1957.

- Type: Africa, West Coast, without precise locality. Coll. Mann s.n.! (W). Lissochilus barombensis Krzl. in Engl., Bot. Jahrb. 17: 52, 1893.
- Type: Cameroun, Barombi. Coll. Preuss no. 546! (K). Eulophia Bierleri De Wildem., Not. Pl. Util. Congo 1: 311, 1904.
- Type: Zaïre, Coquilhatville. Coll. Bierler s.n. (BR). Eulophia Mildbraedii Krzl. in Engl., Bot. Jahrb. 43: 339, 1909.
- Type: Zaïre, Ruwenzori Range, Semliki Plains. Coll. Mildbraed no. 275! (K).
- Distribution: Sierra Leone, Liberia, Ivory Coast, Ghana, Nigeria, Cameroun, Gabon, Zaïre, Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania, Zambia, Angola.

The long cylindrical pseudobulbs with two leaves and the equally four-lobed lip of rather large flowers easily identify the plants of this species.

Oeceoclades sclerophylla (Rchb.f.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

- Basionym: *Eulophia sclerophylla* Rchb. f. in Flora 68: 542, 1885.
- Syn.: Eulophidium sclerophyllum (Rchb.f.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 402, 1957.
- Type: Madagascar, Forêt d'Ankaye. Coll. Humblot s.n.! (W).

Eulophia Elliotii Rolfe in Journ. Linn. Soc. London 29: 52, 1891.

Lissochilus Elliotii (Rolfe) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 47, 1941.

Type: Madagascar, near Fort Dauphin. Coll. Elliot no. 2424! (K).

[271]

Among the plants with long, linear leaves O. sclerophylla may be compared with O. analavelensis, but the two-leaved pseudobulbs and the differently proportioned lips readily separate the two. Vegetatively it also resembles O. quadriloba.

Oeceoclades seychellarum (Rolfe ex Summerh.) Garay & Taylor, comb. nov.

Basionym: *Eulophia seychellarum* Rolfe ex Summerh. in Bull. Misc. Inf. Kew 363, 1928.

- Syn.: Eulophidium seychellarum (Rolfe ex Summerh.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 402, 1957.
- Type: Seychelles, Mahé, Cascade Estate. Coll. Thomasset no. 38! (K).

Distribution: Seychelles.

Vegetatively the plants of *O. seychellarum* are identical with those of *O. lanceata*. The two may be kept apart on account of the differences in the floral structures, especially in the shape and proportion of the lip as shown in the key.

- Oeceoclades spathulifera (H. Perr.) Garay & Taylor. comb. nov.
  - Basionym: Eulophia spathulifera H. Perr. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 82: 157, 1935, as E. spatulifera, sphalm.
  - Syn.: Lissochilus spathulifer (H. Perr.) H. Perr. in Humbert, Fl. Madag. Orch. 2: 33, 1941. Eulophidium spathuliferum (H. Perr.) Summerh. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 27: 403, 1957.
  - Type: Madagascar, Ambongo-Boina. Coll. Perrier no. 15930 (P).

Distribution: Madagascar.

The distinctly spathulate sepals and petals combined with a globose spur easily separates the plants of this species from the related O. calcarata and O. Hebdingiana.

[272]

**Oeceoclades ugandae** (Rolfe) Garay & Taylor, *comb. nov*.

Basionym: Eulophia ugandae Rolfe in Bull. Misc. Inf. Kew 339, 1913.

Type: Uganda, Mabira Forest. Coll. Brown no. 443! (K).

Distribution: Zaïre, Ghana, Uganda.

Summerhayes considered this species to be synonymous with O. *latifolia*. As a matter of fact the shape of the lip superficially looks very much alike in both. Yet vegetatively the plants of these two species are closer to other members than to one another. The lip of O. ugandae is also provided with a pair of gibbosities at the junction of the lateral and midlobes, this character is absent in O. *latifolia*.

Oeceoclades zanzibarica (Summerh.) Garay & Tay-

lor, comb. nov.

Basionym: Eulophidium zanzibaricum Summerh. in Bull. Misc. Inf. Kew 417, 1927.

Type: Zanzibar, without precise locality. Coll. Last s.n.! (K).

Distribution: Zanzibar, Pemba.

The lanceolate, long-attenuate leaf is rather unique in the genus. Florally the plants of this species are closest to those of *O. alismato-phylla* from Madagascar, but differ from one another in the shape of the sepals and petals and in the proportions of the lip.

#### **Excluded Species**

O. falcata (Thunb.) Lindl. = Neofinetia falcata (Thunb.) Hu

O. flexuosa Lindl. = Cleisostoma ramosum (Lindl.) Hook.f.

- O. funalis (Sw.) Lindl. = Dendrophylax funalis (Sw.) Benth. ex Rolfe
- O. gracilis (Thou.) Lindl. = Chamaeangis gracilis (Thou.) Schltr.
- O. javanica Teijsm. & Binn. = Hymenorchis javanica (T. & B) Schltr.
- O. Lindleyana Regel. = Neofinetia falcata (Thunb.) Hu
- O. Lindleyi Regel=Neofinetia falcata (Thunb.) Hu
- O. paniculata Lindl. = Robiquetia succisa (Lindl.) Seidenf. & Garay
- O. parviflora (Thou.) Lindl. = Angraecopsis parviflora (Thou.) Schltr.
- O. pusilla Lindl. = Saccolabiopsis pusilla (Lindl.) Seidenf. & Garay
- O. Retzii Lindl. = Chiloschista pusilla (Retz) Schltr.
- O. tenera Lindl. = Trichoglottis tenera (Lindl.) Rchb.f.

The following list of names constitutes the Section Pulchrae Krzl. of the genus *Eulophia* (Gard. Chron. ser. 3, 22: 262, 1897). Some of these names have been referred to the genus *Eulophidium* (= Oeceo-clades) previously.

Eulophia gracilis Lindl. in Bot. Reg. 9: t. 742, 1823.

Eulophia emarginata Bl., Fl. Java, n.s. 1: 152, 1858.

Eulophia guamensis Ames in Philipp. Journ. Sci. Bot. 9: 12, 1814.

Eulophia macrostachya Lindl., Gen. and Sp. Orch. Pl. 183, 1833.

Eulophia megistophylla Rchb.f. in Flora 68: 379, 1885.

Eulophia minimiflora Krzl. in Not. Syst. 4: 137, 1928.

Eulophia novo-ebudae Krzl. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 76: 301, 1929.

Eulophia pulchra (Thou.) Lindl., Gen. and Sp. Orch. Pl. 182, 1833.

Eulophia Rouxii Krzl. in Sarasin & Roux, Nova Caled. 1: 82, 1914.

Eulophia silvatica Schltr. in Engl., Bot. Jahrb. 53: 586, 1915.

Eulophia striata Rolfe in Journ. Linn. Soc. London 29: 53, 1891.

Eulophia Wendlandiana Krzl. in Gard. Chron. ser. 3, 22: 262, 1897. Lissochilus ambrensis H. Perr. in Not. Syst. 14: 159, 1951.

[274]



Garay, Leslie A. and Taylor, Peter. 1976. "The Genus Oeceoclades Lindl." *Botanical Museum leaflets, Harvard University* 24(9), 249–274. <u>https://doi.org/10.5962/p.168575</u>.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/31873">https://doi.org/10.5962/p.168575</a> Permalink: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/168575">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/168575</a>

**Holding Institution** Missouri Botanical Garden, Peter H. Raven Library

**Sponsored by** Missouri Botanical Garden

**Copyright & Reuse** Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection. License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.