NYSDOT Living Willow Snowfence Training Program 2010

T. A. Volk, L.P. Abrahamson and P.J. Castellano State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry NYSDOT Snow Fence Training, Buffalo, NY, May 13, 2010.





The Challenge





Snow and ice removal and control costs over \$2 billion annually in the US

NYSDOT annual S&I costs are \$252 million

- \$154 million labor
- \$38 million equipment
- \$60 million materials
- Blowing and drifting snow causes:
 - Reduced visibility
 - Impaired road conditions
 - Reduced road width
 - More frequent road closures
 - Increased number of accidents and injuries
 - Increased need for plowing and deicing materials



The Challenge



 Mechanical snow removal costs up to 100 times more than trapping snow with snowfences (SHRP 1991)

Options

- Wood, plastic or other structural snowfences
- Living snowfences



Historical Use of Snow Fences

he forest acts powerfully in checking the force of the winds because the elastic swaying of the twigs and branches is a very effective hindrance to the movement of air.



Rock snow fences protecting a railroad cut in SEW Wyoming were probably built in 1868 (Tabler 2003)

© The Research Foundation of SUNY

~Gifford Pinchot, 1905



Snow fences protecting the Union Pacific Railroad in 1901 (Tabler 2003)





 Less costly than snow removal

- Snow removal costs about \$3/ton (Tabler 2003)
- A 4 ft high snowfence can trap up to 4.2 tons of snow per linear ft
- That is >24,000 tons per mile
- Temporary or permanent
 - Wood or plastic composite
 - Cost varies with material and installation location
- Visually unappealing

Temporary Structural Snow Fences



 In areas with large snow transport loads, 4 ft structural snow fences can become buried and ineffective









A Solution – Larger Structural Fences

 Permanent structural snow fence being tested in the town of Scott

 Challenging to properly design and locate permanent snow fences with limited rights of way



A Solution – Larger Structural Fences





Structural snow fence along highway 219



Structural snow fence along highway 219





Another Solution - Living Snowfences

 Designed plantings of trees, shrubs, and/or native grasses that are strategically established short distances upwind of area of concern used to control drifting snow

Key characteristics for suitable species

- High density that extends to the ground
 - » Many deciduous trees do not have this form and are ineffective for snowfences
- Rapid growth
 - » Several conifers have good crown depth and denswity but are slow to establish
- Suited to local soil and climate conditions
- Easy to establish and maintain

ESF

Living Snowfences - Benefits



 Over the long term they can be cheaper than plastic or wood snowfences

Effective in years with heavy snowfall once established

 Young living snowfences can be damaged by heavy snow accumulation

Potential to provide wildlife habitat

 May be a benefit or limitation
Potential for income generation for landowner from materials produced from shrubs and trees
Opportunities for carbon sequestration



Economic Benefit

- Cost benefit ratio of living snow fences in MN ranged from 2:1 to 36:1 (Gullickson et al. 1999)
 - Used average snowfall (32 inches)
 - \$1/ton snow removal (it can be \$3/ton or greater in severe storms)
 - Only benefits related to snow removal were used as benefits
 - Benefits would be higher if road closure and accident reductions were accounted for
- Developing a cost benefit model for conditions in NY as part of this project





 Benefit cost ratio will increase as the amount of snow transported increases and the cost of removal increases

 Benefit cost ratio for snow fences as a function of average annual snow transport and cost of snow removal (Tabler 2003)



Living Snowfences - Limitations



Traditional living snowfences require 6 – 20 years to become effective (Tabler 1994)

- Address with choice of plants and design of system
- Require more space than manufactured snowfences because they often require more than one row of plants
- Biological systems more care need to establish, potential for damage from pests and diseases
- They are permanent installations so sometimes it is harder to get landowner cooperation

SF Potential Solution – Willow Snowfences



Mature single row willow snowfence in central NY

 A double row of densely planted shrub willows

- Easier to establish
- Rapid growth
- Dense canopy and lots of stems near the ground
- May not meet aesthetic expectations of landowners and community
 - Mix with other species if desired
- Shrub willow research at SUNY ESF since 1986
 - Excellent knowledge base of willow growth, development and management





Keys for Success



Willow snowfence two years after coppicing

 Collaboration with multiple agencies and landowners

- Planning and design in advance
 - Use of SnowMan software very beneficial
- Proper site preparation
- Careful planting and maintenance

Principles of Blowing and Drifting Snow and Snow Fence Design



Snow Transport

- Factors influencing the amount of snow that could be transported
- Important for determining the storage capacity of a snow fence



(Tabler 2003)



Near Snow and Far Snow

 Different designs and approaches are needed to address near and far snow problems.



Near snow and far snow often require different solutions (Tabler 2003) © The Research Foundation of SUNY

How Snow Fences Work

Snow fences redirect and change wind speed

- Wind speed increases over the top and around the sides of the barrier
- Wind speed is reduced below the top of the barrier and downwind, from the snow fence





Snow Drift Development

Snow drifts develop in stages over time
Main components of snow drifts are snow below



(Tabler 2003)



Snow Drift Development

♦ Maximum depth of drift in stage 1 (dates 1 – 3 below) is about 1.0

- 1.2 the height of the snow fence for a 50% porous fence
- Stage 3 (dates 4 6 below) occurs as depth of drift approaches its maximum
- Stage 4 (date 7) occurs when drift is smooth with no slip face or circulation zone. At this stage the snow fence is not trapping snow. Good snow fence design will avoid reaching this stage.





Snow Drift Development



Snow storage capacity of structural snow fences can become filled making them ineffective Potential snow storage is related to the height of the snow fence
Doubling the height of the snow fence increases snow storage potential by 4x assuming all other factors are equal



Snow Storage vs. Height 50% Density Structural Snow Fence



 Snow storage capacity in upwind and downwind drifts formed by a Wyoming snow fence (Tabler 2003) Snow can be stored upwind and down wind from snow fences
For 50% density shown here the amount of snow stored upwind is relatively small

 As density increases the amount of upwind snow stored increases



Snow Storage vs. Height 50% Density Structural Snow Fence

Fence Height (ft)	Tons of snow/linear ft.
4.0	4.4
4.5	5.7
6.75	14.0
8.0	20.3
10.0	33.1
12.0	49.5
15.0	79.0

(Tabler 2004)



Snow Drift Development (Double Row of Shrub Willow Two years after Coppicing)







Snow Drift Development (Double Row of Shrub Willow Two years after Coppicing)





Snow Fence Density / Porosity



Snow fence density or porosity effect ability to trap snow and the shape and size of an equilibrium snow drift change

 Solid fence has larger drifts on the upwind side and smaller drift down wind

 Snow fence density of 50 – 60% (porosity of 50 – 40%) has the greatest storage capacity





(Tabler 2003)



Snow Fence Design

 Snow fences- either structural or living – are only some of the options to address blowing and drifting snow

- The situation needs to be addressed properly so that the best solution is implemented
- Other possible solutions may include
 - Modification of cross sections
 - Changes in snow removal practices
 - Modification of safety barriers
 - Management of roadside vegetation or structures including signs

Controlling Far Snow with Snow Fences

- Keys for a successful installation:
 - Adequate storage capacity
 - » Factors such as height, porosity and location are important
 - Durable so that it lasts
 - » Benefits associated with initial investment increase over time
 - Proper coverage of problem area
 - » Long fences without openings and gaps



Snow Fence Design

- Several important factors associated with proper design and placement of snow fences
- Calculating snow transport (i.e. the amount of snow transported by the wind over a given period of time and distance) or capacity needed
 - » Identify the snowfall over the snow accumulation season
 - » Identify the snowfall water equivalent
 - » Identify the relocation coefficient
 - » Determine the prevailing direction of greatest snow transport
 - Measure orientation of snow drifts formed by large objects late in the snow season
 - Analyze historical wind records
 - » Determine the fetch distance for your location



Snow Fence Design

Determine required snow fence height Distance from the road Determine required set back for snow fence - Key factors are » Amount of transported snow » Porosity of snow fence » Height of snow fence Length of fence should extend from either side of the problem area that is calculated


Snow Fence Design - Snowman



Assessing Site Conditions for Plants



Assessing Site Specific Conditions

- More permanent characteristics of living snow fences can be an issue with landowners
- Landowner objectives
 - Clearly identify and discuss the landowner's short and longer term plans and intentions for the area being considered
 - Design will have to fit with the landowner's plans and preferences for the area
 - » Location of living snow fence may not be ideal
 - » Planting design and species selection may have to be adjusted to accommodate landowner
 - » Site preparation and maintenance may have to be modified



Landowner Involvement is Essential





Assessing Site Specific Conditions

- Successful living snow fences start with proper site assessment
- Proper site evaluation will help to avoid many establishment and long term growth and survival problems
 - Site limitations such as wet areas, excessive slopes, stones, fence line removal/trimming
 - Soil conditions
 - Current and previous land use history
 - Existing vegetation
 - » Woody plants
 - » Herbaceous annual or perennials
 - » Agricultural crop



Site Limitations

- Walk the site and determine if there are any barriers to preparing, planting or maintaining the site
- If limitations exist work with the landowner and create a plan to modify them if possible
 - Physically modify the site
 - Adapt equipment to suit the site
 - Change the snow fence design to avoid limitations



Site Assessment – Soil Conditions

Soil survey
Soil samples and testing
Site specific assessments

Drainage problems
Bulk density or root growth restrictions from hardpans or fill material



Assessing Site Specific Conditions

Soil type and conditions

- » USDA soil survey information for fields or areas away from the right of way
- » Specific soil conditions should be assessed, especially on right of ways
 - Soil samples and testing
 - Identify other potential limitations such as wet or seasonally flooded areas, rocks, fence lines, other barriers
- » Collect soil samples, assess rooting depth and potential barriers to successful growth



Soil Survey Information Highway 219 Town of Boston







Soil Survey Information Highway 219 Town of Concord





Soil Series Information

The Mardin series consists of very deep, moderately well drained soils formed in loamy till.

- In glaciated uplands, mostly on broad hilltops, shoulder slopes and back slopes
- Dense fragipan that starts at a depth of 14 through 26 inches

The Volusia series consists of very deep, somewhat poorly drained soils formed in loamy till.

- On concave to planer landscape positions in glaciated upland areas.
- A dense fragipan is at a depth of 10 to 22 inches
- Saturated hydraulic conductivity in the mineral soil above the fragipan is moderately high or high and in the fragipan and substratum it is low to moderately high.



Soil Sampling

 Make use of standard sampling protocol and testing lab
 For woody plants use recommended sampling depths of 0 – 6 inches and 6 – 20 inches



Soil Test Results

	1 8 5 5			The Pennsylvania State University University Park PA 16802 http://www.aasl.psu.edu				
SOIL TE:	ST REPORT FO	DR:		ADDITION	AL COPY TO:	and the second second		
TI SU 1 S	MOTHY VOLI JNY ESF FORESTRY DR YRACUSE NY	C 13210		AMC NY	OS K QUAYE			
DATE	LAB#	SERIAL #	COUNTY	ACRES	FIELD ID	SOIL		
04/09/2010	S09-31226		OUT OF STATE		1			
					South End -	0-6"		
Soil pH Phosphate Potash Magnesiu Calcium((e (P ₂ O ₃) (K ₂ O) m (MgO) CaO)					- Optimum		
RECOMM	ENDATIONS F	OR: Landsca	pe, Maint, pH 7.0					
Limestone,	Calcium And M	lagnesium Rece	ommendations					
Apply the fo	llowing quantitie	es of limestone,	epsom salts and/or gyps	sum to the soil to	correct soil pH, calcium	and magnesium level		
Calcitic Lin (0-3 % Mg)	iestone:	16 lb/100 square	e feet					

(814) 863-0841

Agricultural Analytical Services Laboratory

Fax (814) 863-4540

Magnesium: NONE

Gypsum (CaSO,): NONE

PENNSTATE

7.500

Nitrogen, Phosphate And Potash Recommendations

Apply 3.5 lbs per 100 square feet of 5-10-10 and 0.75 lbs per 100 square feet of 0-46-0.

MESSAGES

The above lime and fertilizer recommendations are for this soil sample and this season only. Nitrogen, phosphate and potash recommendations are for fertilizers containing specific ratios of nitrogen (N), phophate (P_2O_4) and potash (K_2O). As an example 5-10-10 contains 5 % N, 10 % P_2O_5 , and 10 % K_2O . If fertilizers with the ratio(s) shown are not available, contact your local garden center or fertilizer supplier for the appropriate substitution.



BOR	RATORY	RESULTS	:	AVY CT SE			Hitshi	STATISTICS N	ACCORDED IN	Opt	tional Tests	10-11-1 × 1
'pH 'P lb/	² P lb/A	Exchangeable Cations (meq/100g)				% Saturation of the CEC		Organic	Nitrate-N	Soluble salts		
	r IU/A	³ Acidity	² K	² Mg	² Ca	CEC	к	Mg	Ca	Matter %	ppm	mmhos/cm
5.7	24	6.9	0.3	1.4	5.3	13.8	1.9	10.0	38.1			

3120



Soil Testing Results

- If organic matter is less than 1.5% consider incorporating an organic amendment like composted yard waste, manure, or other locally available sources
- Remember that organic amendments will also address some nutrient limitations if they are present
- Pay attention to other characteristics like pH and nutrient level
- Do not add nitrogen (N) fertilizer in the first year, but use results as a guide for second year
 - If mulch is used as part of post planting weed control strategy then N rates should be increased



Selecting Plants for Living Snow Fences



Plant Hardiness Zones



Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics

- A limitation of living snow fences is the time required for them to become effective
 - Can take up to 20 years
 - But can be as short as 2 3 years
- Time for living snow fences to become effective depends on:
 - Site preparation prior to planting
 - Growth rate of plants
 - Growth form and habit of plant
 - Spacing of plants
 - Management of site (weeds and nutrients) after watering
 - Quantity of snow transport

Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics

Growth rate

- Slower growing plants will take longer to form an effective living snow fence
 - In some cases this can be 15 20 years
 - » Greater potential for damage during this time resulting in gaps
- Effect of living snow fence will vary as the plants develop, so different growth stages should be considered in the design
- Interim measures, such as structural snow fences may be required
- Care in placement is necessary so developing plants do not become buried and damaged by snow drifts
- Using a mixture of plants with slower and faster growth rates can be effective



DEC 19 2004

First Year Growth of Shrub Willow Double Row Living Snow Fence



Willow Living Snow Fence One Year Regrowth on Two Year Old Roots



© The Research



© The Research Foundation of SUN

ESF



Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics



This variety of willow (*S. purpurea*) had prostrate growth when planted in a single row living snow fence Height of the plant where the density is great enough to influence wind speed

- Effective height of the plant will influence the amount of snow that can be stored
- Effective height does not necessarily correspond to the general height of the plant

ESF Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics

- Plants need to have dense foliage or branching pattern that extends to close to ground level
 - Self pruning species should be avoided
 - Large gaps (> 10 15% of snow fence height) at the bottom of the plant can create wind tunnels and exacerbate blowing snow problems

ESF Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics

 A space between the ground and the bottom of the snow fence minimizes snow deposition close to the snow fence

 With strong winds and a solid structural (Wyoming) snow fence, larger gaps creates a longer distribution pattern and less snow accumulation on the windward side

Fences that become buried are less efficient at trapping snow



Figure 3.47. Comparison of drifts formed by two 3.8-m (12.4-ft) Wyoming fences that have 30- and 90-cm (12- and 36-in.) bottom gaps, respectively (Tabler 1986).

Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics

- Gaps or openings in living snow fences caused by mortality can result in large drifts downwind
 - Avoid creation of gaps by planting multiple rows and staggering plantings
 - Select plants that are suited to the conditions of the site
 - Gaps that do result should be filled with structural snow fence until replacement vegetation can be established

Continuous Living Snow Fences

Snow fences should be continuous without openings
 Access fields and rights-of-way around ends of snow fences

 If access lanes are required, place them at an angle to the prevailing wind



© The Research F Figure 3.5. Access lanes and roads should be at an angle to prevailing or troublesome winds. (Gullickson 1999)



Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics





Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics

02/19/2007



Open win	d spec	ed 20 l	М.Р.Н.
Deciduous	25%-	35% c	lensi ty

H datance from windbreak	sH	10H	15H	20H	30H
Missperhour	10	13	16	17	20
% of open wind speed	50%	65%	80%	85%	100%

Open wind speed 20 M.P.H. Conifer 40%–60% density								
H distance from windbreak	5H	10H	15H	20H	30H			
Mile per hour	6	10	12	15	19			
% of open wind speed	30%	50%	60%	75%	95%			

🌲 🕯)pen v lulti-r	wind ow 60	speed 0%-80	20 M. % de	.P.H. nsity
H distance from windbreak	5H	10H	15H	20H	30H
Miles per hour	5	7	13	17	19
% of open	26.94	2696	202	9594	05.9/

1			
Ç	9)	
C)	
C)	
C	1	Ľ	
2			
<	2		
2	2		
2		8	
ſ			
		2	

Open wind speed 20 M.P.H. Solid fence 100% density							
H distance from windbreak	5H	10H	15H	20H	30H		
Miles per hour	5	14	18	19	20		
wind speed	25%	70%	90%	95%	100%		

© The Res wind protection zone.

Optical Density

Optical Density

- The amount of area composed of solid material (porosity is the amount of area not covered in solid material)
- For deciduous woody plants this is all stem and branch material
- For conifers this includes foliage
- Solid barrier is 100% density (0% porosity)

 Vary density by species selection, spacing, management, number of rows

 Living snow fences with high density >65% will generally have narrower drift patterns

ESF Effect of Density and Height

 Density and height of snow fences influence the storage capacity and drift size and shape Can vary this feature with species selection, number of rows, spacing and other management decisions





Selecting Plants – Plant Characteristics Change Over Time



(Tabler 2003)

ESF One Year Old Coppice Growth on a Two Year Old Root System – Single Row

Fish Creek - Density - 47.5%

© The Research Foundation of SUNY



2004

ESF Two Year Old Coppice Growth on a Three Year Old Root System – Single Row



Fish Creek - Density - 50%

SV1 - Density - 53%



Snow Break Forests

- Dense plantings that act as a solid barrier can be planted closer to the road
 Shade from plants may effect road conditions
- Drifts may occur as plants are developing



Figure 3.24. Snow break forests used in Japan utilize the principle that dense plantings act as solid barriers to induce snow deposition on the upwind side. (Tabler 1994, p. 282)

ESF Selecting Plants – Growth Characteristics

 Ability to withstand wind Ability to withstand snow loads Native or non native Invasive Species longevity Salt tolerance Avoid plants for which a major pest or disease problem is known Elms or hybrid poplar in our region



Beneficial Willow Characteristics



 Easy to establish with unrooted cuttings

- Easier to handle
- More tolerant of delays in the field
- Cheaper than rooted stock

 Tolerates planting at high density (1.5 – 2 ft spacing)


Beneficial Willow Characteristics



Measuring optical density on a living willow snowfence in Cortland County, NY

- Can reach 50% density in 3 years Larger planting stock can be used to accelerate establishment Effective in as little as two to three years

Rapid height growth

- Can reach >20 ft in 3-years



Beneficial Willow Characteristics



Willow (*S. purpurea*) living snowfence five months after coppicing

 Coppicing ability creates good density from the ground to top of the crown

- Mature willow snowfence has a measured density of 60-70%
- Once established, maintenance is minimal

 Height and density can be modified by selecting willow varieties and changing spacing and/or management



Site Characteristics Influence Plant Selection





Planted May 2009
Excellent initial survival
Late July 2009



Planted two varieties with different growth characteristics

► August 2009 © The Research Foundation of SUNY





August 2009



March 2010

TINA







March 2010



Selecting Plants



March 2010





Site Characteristics Influence Plant Selection







First year willow clone breaking under snow load at 81S site near Tully







Concern about snow drift forming around the end of the living snow fence



Site Characteristics Influence Plant Selection





Installation and Maintenance of Living Snow Fences



Successful Living Snow Fence Installation

- Keys for successful installation of living snow fences
 - Proper site preparation base on good site assessment
 - Careful installation of plants
 - Control of grass and broadleaf weeds for 2-3 years after planting



Site Preparation

 Site preparation is a one time investment that influences the effectiveness of the living snow fence for years or decades

- Take the time, make the effort, do it correctly!

An important rule for successful living snow fence establishment is to address weed problems and soil limitations during site preparation BEFORE the living snow fence is planted

 Controlling weeds or modifying site conditions after planting is more costly and time consuming!



Site Preparation

Benefits of proper site preparation

- Control of existing weed pressure
- Initial control of future weed pressure to minimize future maintenance costs and damage to plants in the living snow fence
- Improve soil structure in rooting zone
- Expand soil volume for rooting
- Results
 - More effective establishment
 - Reduced maintenance efforts and costs
 - Shorter time for living snow fence to be effective





 Weeds compete for moisture, nutrients and light
 Maintain a weed free area 2-3 ft away from where plants are placed
 Control weeds for 2-3 year establishment period



Site Preparation Flow Chart



Site Preparation - Mechanical

- Cultivation to reduce competition of existing grasses and prepare the soil for planting
 - Disking, subsoiling, rototiller
 - Will not provide long term control of perennial vegetation
- Disturbs the soil
 - Increase soil permeability and aeration
 - Reduce or remove barriers limiting plant growth



Mechanical Site Preparation

5/24/2001



Site Preparation - Mechanical





Site Preparation - Chemical

- Herbicides to control competing vegetation before planting
 - If possible this is best done in the late summer or early fall prior to planting
 - Chemical control of existing perennial vegetation is not as effective in the spring
 - Limitations and restrictions on herbicide use
 - Follow label guidelines

Site Preparation - Chemical



One-year old willow snow fence with weed & deer browse problems in western NY



Establishing a living snow fence in Cortland County in the spring of 2001. © The Research Foundation of SUNY

Good weed control for the first two years

- Herbicides for Site Prep fall before planting
 - » glyphosate (Round Up Touchdown)
 - 2 lbs. a.i./Acre
- Depending on weeds present may also add following in a herbicide mixture:
 - » 2,4-D @ .5 1 lb a.i./Acre
 - » dicambia (Banvel) @ .5 1 lb a.i./Acre
 - » Mixture of 2,4-D and triclopyr (Crossbow) (.5 1 lb a.i./A and ¼ - ½ lb a.i./A)
- Herbicides for Site Prep in spring of planting
 - » glyphosate (Round Up Touchdown)
 - ◆ 2 lbs. a.i./Acre



Existing Vegetation – Woody Plants

- Bush hog then apply appropriate herbicide
- Stumps less than 3" can usually be removed during tillage operations
- Stumps >3"
 - Remove if only a few
 - Incorporate plants into the living snow fence



Existing Vegetation – Herbaceous

- Bush hog if greater than 10-12 inches tall because effectiveness of herbicide will be limited
- Determine type of vegetation
 - Perennial herbicide and mechanical cultivation
 - » Check efficacy of post emergent herbicides after use by inspecting above and below ground plant parts
 - » Retreat sections that were missed or where herbicide was not effective
 - Annual mechanical cultivation alone may be effective



Existing Vegetation – Agricultural Crop

 If actively used for cropping then ask for list and rates of recent herbicides used

 Some herbicides have a carry over effect and can influence establishment of new plants

 Annual crop – mechanical tillage
 Perennial crop – chemical and mechanical control



Post Planting Weed Control

No method provides 100% guarantee
Periodic monitoring of site is necessary
Be prepared to respond quickly to weed pressure before it becomes a serious issue

 i.e. smaller weeds are easier to control and will have less effect on the plants you are trying to establish



Post Planting Weed Control – Mechanical

Mechanical cultivation

- Various types of equipment are available
 - » Disks
 - » Spring tooth harrows
 - » Cultivators
 - » Specialized cultivators
- Work best on young weed seedlings that are not well established
- Less aggressive cultivation will not be effective on perennial weeds



Post Planting Weed Control – Mechanical

Mowing of adjacent weeds

- Important for areas beyond immediate 2-3 foot zone around living snow fence plants
- Will not effectively reduce weed competition for water and nutrients in the immediate zone around establishing plants



Post Planting Weed Control-Mechanical

- Mechanical cultivation alone not recommended
 - Timing is essential and hard to ensure
 - Up to 4 5 cultivations per year required for effective control
 - With each cultivation there is potential for damage to living snow fence plants
 - Difficult to mechanically control weeds near and in between plants
 - » Often requires manual weed control to be effective



Post Planting Weed Control -Chemical

 Can be effective in combination with proper site preparation
 Requires proper selection and use of herbicide
 Monitoring still required to ensure that weed control goals are being met



Post Planting Weed Control -Premergence



One-year old willow snowfence with weed & deer browse problems in NY



Establishing a living snowfence in Cortland County in the spring of 2001. © The Research Foundation of SUNY Proper follow up weed control after planting is essential for success - Pre-emergence herbicides: » oxyfluorfen (Goal) 1 - 2 lbs a.i./Acre » simizine (not in sandy soils) $\diamond 2 - 4$ lbs a.i./Acre » pendimethalin (Prowl – Pendulum) ◆2 lbs a.i./Acre Other pre-emergence herbicides that look ok » norflurazon (Solicam) (.8lb a.i./A)

- » flumioxazin (Sureguard) (.25lb a.i./A)
- » imazaquin (Scepter) (.125lb a.i./A)



Post Planting Weed Control – Post Emergence



One-year old willow snowfence with weed & deer browse problems in NY



Establishing a living snowfence in Cortland County in the spring of 2001. © The Research Foundation of SUNY Proper follow up weed control after planting is essential for success Post-emergence herbicides: » glyphosate (Round Up - Touchdown) 1- 2 lbs. a.i./Acre shielded/directed spray » paraquat (Gramoxone) (burn down only) ◆.5 - 1 lbs. a.i./Acre shielded/directed spray » clopyralid (Stinger) (not in Nassau & Suffolk Counties – max of .25 lb a.i./A/year in NY) ◆ 125 - 25 lb a i /Acre » Any grass only herbicide • Fusilade or Poast. etc.



Post Planting Weed Control – Organic Mulches

- Can help with weed control but will need to be maintained over time if it is the primary weed control method
 - Annual addition of mulch as material degrades and weeds become established on the surface
- Additional benefits include
 - Moisture retention
 - Moderated soil temperatures which can potentially extend root growth into the fall but slows soil warming in the spring



Post Planting Weed Control – Organic Mulches

Wood chips are the preferred mulch

- -3-4 inch layer of chips
- If possible the lower layer should be composted
- Limitations
 - Potential for introduction of addition weed seeds
 - May enhance rodent damage in the winter
 - Labor cost associated with spreading mulch


Post Planting Weed Control – Landscape Fabric



Establishing a willow living snow fence in Lewis Co., NY in the spring of 1999.

Can be effective for 1 – 6 years or more depending on type of fabric and use
Mats or rolls available
Select material that has a projected lifespan of 3 – 5 years

 Breakdown will be slower if not exposed to sunlight

 Recommended width is 6 ft, but comes in 3 – 6+ ft widths



(KSU 2004)

Fabric Barrier



Figure 1. Fabric mulch dispensing machine.

Fabric Advantages

- Applied only once
- Improved tree and shrub establishment and survival
- Increases growth rates immediately following planting
- Easier and more timely weed control

Long lasting weed control Comparable cost to other weed control methods averaged over several years



Fabric Barrier



Fabric Disadvantages

- Initially expensive
- Requires specialized machinery to install or done by hand
- Proper installation is critical to prevent pulling loose in winds
- Does not break down, especially within the shade of plants or under mulch
- Stems may be girdled by fabric as trees and shrubs grow
- Dense sod can become established on top of fabric, negating benefits and complicating future maintenance
- Ideal habitat for ground hogs, voles and mice

(PNW 2003)



Post Planting Weed Control – Landscape Fabric

 Should remove or till in weeds before use
 Fabric needs to be secured at time of installation to avoid abrasion of planted material

 Plastic pegs, cover edges with soil (but will promote weed growth), cover with mulch

 Create openings in fabric using X-shaped cuts to avoid girdling as plants grow



Post Planting Weed Control – Landscape Fabric

 Improper installation can result in significant damage to plants

- Broken stems and braches
- Girdling from abrasion
- Plants covered and smothered
- Excessive temperatures under the fabric



Post Planting Weed Control – Landscape Fabric

> Can be very effective and beneficial

◆BUT

 When installed improperly it can cause extensive damage







Fabric Barrier Recommendations



Proper mulching of landscape fabric is important for success.

Fabric Management

- Inspect as part of monitoring in first 1 – 2 years
- Ensure edges are firmly anchored
- Ensure openings are large enough to avoid stem damage
- Control aggressive weeds that may establish in fabric openings
 - Enlarge openings as needed to prevent stem girdling
- Cover with mulch but leave area immediately around plants uncovered



 Different types available Bare root or plug Small sized material is lower cost and easier to handle and plant Available from commercial nurseries or from DEC or Soil and Water **Conservation Districts**







Proper care of rooted stock is essential

- Keep plants moist and in a cool location
- Roots must never dry out!
- Make the hole deep enough for all roots.
- Cut long roots back to 10 or 12 inches.
- Remove one tree at a time from bucket only after hole is ready for the tree.
- Keep foreign matter (leaves, sticks, rocks, and dry soil) out of hole.
- Place all tree roots in a downward position.
- Place tree in center of hole.
- Hold treetop upright while working soil around roots.



- Firm soil around roots by hand while filling hole, leaving no air spaces. Make sure to use moist soil.
- Bring soil level to root collar (look for color change on stem) above the first roots. Too deep is better than too shallow.
- Firm soil all around tree by hand to give good compaction.





 Insert shovel vertically with blade reversed, push handle forward, then pull soil back and out of hole.



 In first packing, fill hole halfway with soil and place tree in proper position.



 Straighten back of hole and insert tree at proper depth.



 In second packing, fill the hole completely, pack with hand and cover surface with mulch of loose soil. Trees and shrubs planted improperly have little chance to survive. Take an extra moment with each plant and make sure they are planted properly

(adapted from PNW 2003)



Proper Planting is Essential







Planting – Unrooted Cuttings

- Used for establishing willow and hybrid poplar
- Lower cost and easier to handle
- Quality of material is important
- Recommend 10 20" cuttings
- Keep frozen until just before planting
- Store in cool location and do not allow them to dry out after being delivered
- Plant with buds pointing up with at least one bud above ground



Planting – Unrooted Cuttings



Planting of unrooted hardwood cuttings is easy and relatively quick

Plant 10 to 20 in.
 long cuttings
 between late
 April and early
 June

 Use high quality planting stock that has been properly stored and cared for



Post Planting Care



Weed control is probably the single largest factor for the failure of living snow fences Regular monitoring is needed to quickly identify problems before they become serious issues

- Weed pressure
- Browsing damage
- Pest and disease problems
- Herbicide damage from improper use or drift
- Other factors that may be limiting growth



Post Planting Care

- Weed control will be necessary for 2-3 seasons at least
 - Longer for sites where growth of shrubs or trees is slow
- Replanting
 - Even under the best conditions some plants will not survive
 - Gaps will create additional problems in the future
- Coppicing
 - For willows coppicing after the first year of growth is recommended to promote the development of multiple stems
- Fertilizer may be applied after the plants are established
 - Fertilizer on young or poorly established plants will just feed the weeds
 - Spring application of fertilizer based on soil analysis or plant tissue analysis



Successful Installations



Willow snowfence three months after planting.



First year of growth after coppicing



Successful Installations



Willow snow fence two months after planting



Successful Installations





Successful Installations







Lessons Learned/Shared Experiences



Field Installation Activities



Soil Survey Information Highway 219 Town of Boston





Planning and Site Preparation

 Existing structural snow fences Snowman was run for the site to identify location for living snow fence Site inspection and soil samples collected Revisited site and assessed conditions and decisions this spring



Site Preparation

Sprayed site to kill existing vegetation
 Subsoiled site due to concerns about fragipan
 Added lime and fertilizer

 Main nutrient concern was P

 Rototilled site and laid landscape fabric



Site Preparation

- Mark landscape fabric with one double row
 - 2.5 ft between double rows
 - 2 ft along the rows in a staggered design
 - Result is a plant about every foot
 - Slit fabric with X style cut





 Plant 20 inch long cuttings for most of the site
 Trying longer 3 ft long cuttings in wet

area

Add mulch and spread to a depth of 2-3 inches

 Be sure to cover the fabric so it does not get picked up by the wind



Soil Survey Information Highway 219 Town of Concord



Questions and Discussion

"We cannot keep it from snowing, but we can influence the wind that carries tons of blowing and drifting snow" – Gullickson et al. 1999.